

2013 Air Quality Progress Report for

North West Leicestershire District Council
In fulfilment of
Part IV of the Environment Act 1995
Local Air Quality Management

Date: May 2013

Local Authority Officer	Gareth Rees
Department	Street Action Team Community Services
Address	North West Leicestershire District Council, Council Offices, Whitwick Road, Coalville, Leicestershire, LE67 3FJ
Telephone	01530 454545
e-mail	Environmental.protection@nwleicestershire.gov.uk
Report Reference number	NWLDC-PR-2013
Date	May 2013

Executive Summary

This progress report has been produced as part of North West
Leicestershire District Council's requirement to assess present and
predicted future air quality against the objectives prescribed by the Air
Quality Regulations 2000 (as amended). If an exceedence of any objective
is considered likely, there is a requirement to proceed to a Detailed
Assessment of that pollutant and to declare an Air Quality Management
Area (AQMA), if the exceedence is confirmed. The council has declared 5
Air Quality Management Areas since 2001. In order to assess the air
quality in the district this report considers new monitoring data and
assesses the impact of new developments on air quality within the district.

The council undertakes extensive monitoring of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) using passive diffusion tubes and automatic monitors.

Exceedences of the annual mean air quality standard for NO_2 were detected within several of the AQMAs it is also assumed that the M1 Mole Hill AQMA exceeded the 1 hour mean objective for NO_2 as the annual mean exceeded 60 μ gm⁻³. No exceedence of the hourly mean air quality standard for NO_2 was detected in the Coalville AQMA.

The council needs to:

- Publish Coalville traffic assessment as recommended by the 2013
 Further assessment of Coalville AQMA[23]
- Publish a revised air quality action plan

Table of Contents

<u>Execu</u>	<u>ıtive Summa</u>	ary		i
<u>Table</u>	of Contents	3		ii
List of	Appendice	S		iii
List of	Tables			iii
List of	Figures			iv
<u>1</u>	Introdu	ıction		1
_	1.1		tion of Local Authority Area	1
	1.2	Purpos	e of Purpose of Progress Report	2
	1.3	Air Qua	lity Objectives	3
	1.4	Summa	ary of Previous Review and Assessments	5
<u>2</u>	Method	dology		13
	2.1	Projecti		
		dioxide	concentrations to future years	13
	2.2	Façade	Correction	14
	2.3	Annuali	sation	15
<u>3</u>	New M	onitoring D	ata	16
	3.1	Summa	ary of Monitoring Undertaken	16
		3.1.1	Automatic Monitoring Sites	16
		3.1.2	Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	18
	3.2	Compa	rison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality	
		Objectiv	ves	23
		3.2.1	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	23
		3.2.2	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	38
		3.2.3	Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	41
		3.2.4	Benzene	41
		3.2.5	Other Pollutants Monitored	41
	3.3	Summa	ary of Compliance with AQS Objectives	42

<u>4</u>	<u>New</u>	Local Developments	<u>43</u>
	4.1	Road Traffic Sources	43
	4.2	Other Transport Sources	43
	4.3	Industrial Sources	43
	4.4	Commercial and Domestic Sources	43
	4.5	New Developments with Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources	43
<u>5</u>	Loca	al / Regional Air Quality Strategy	44
<u>6</u>	<u>Air C</u>	Quality Planning Policies	44
<u>7</u>	Loca	al Transport Plans and Strategies	44
<u>8</u>	<u>lmpl</u>	ementation of Action Plans	44
<u>9</u>	Con	clusions and Proposed Actions	44
	9.1	Proposed Actions	44
<u>10</u>	Refe	erences	<u>45</u>
	10.1	Previous Review and Assessment Reports	45
	10.2	Acts and Statutory Instruments and orders	48
	10.3	British Standards	50
	10.4	Technical guidance	51
	10.5	Other Documents	51
<u>11</u>	App	endices	<u>53</u>
List of	App	pendices	
Appendix	Α	QA:QC Data	54
Appendix	В	Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data	57
Appendix	С	Automatic Monitoring Data	58
List of	Tab	les	
Table 1.		Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of	
		Local Air Quality Management in England.	3

Progress Report iii

Table 2.	Box 2.1 from <i>Errata to LAQM.TG(09): Is the example in box 2.1</i>	of
	TG(09) correct?	13
Table 3.	Box 2.3: Predicting nitrogen dioxide concentrations at different	
	distances from roads?	14
Table 4.	Box 3.2: Estimation of annual mean concentrations from short-te	erm
	monitoring data	15
Table 5.	Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites	18
Table 6.	North West Leicestershire Diffusion tube monitoring locations	19
Table 7.	East Midlands Airport Diffusion tube Monitoring Locations	21
Table 8.	Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO ₂ : Comparison with Annu	al
	Mean Objective	23
Table 9.	Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO ₂ : Comparison with 1-hou	ır
	Mean Objective	28
Table 10.	Results of NO ₂ Diffusion Tubes 2004 TO 2012	32
Table 11.	Façade Correction data	37
Table 12.	Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM ₁₀ : Comparison with Annu	ual
	Mean Objective	38
Table 13.	Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM ₁₀ : Comparison with 24-h	our
	Mean Objective	38
List of Fi	gures	
Figure 1	Map of North West Leicestershire District	1
Figure 2	Kegworth AQMA (highlighted in blue).	8
Figure 3	M1 AQMA (Outlined in Dark Blue)	9
Figure 4	Castle Donington Air Qua lity Management Area	10
Figure 5	Coalville Air Quality Management Area (Broom Leys Junction)	11
Figure 6	Copt Oak AQMA	12
Figure 7	Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites	17
Figure 8	Map of Coalville Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites	22
Figure 9	Trends in Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations Measured at Coalvi	lle
	Automatic Monitoring Sites	24
Figure 10	Trends in Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations Measured at Castle)
	Donington Automatic Monitoring Sites	25

Figure 11	Trends in Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations Measured a	t Copt Oak
	Automatic Monitoring Sites	26
Figure 12	Trends in Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentrations Measured a	t East
	Midlands Airport Automatic Monitoring Sites	27
Figure 13	Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations	Measured
	at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites	36
Figure 14	Bradgate Drive PM ₁₀ Concentrations	39
Figure 15	East Midlands Airport PM ₁₀ Concentrations	40

1 Introduction

1.1 Description of Local Authority Area

Map of North West Leicestershire District Stairte Dale Abbey 1:160,000 by-Dale Ockbrook TAPLEF 8 Erewash Borough Km All maps reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the Controller of her Majesty's Stationery Office Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. This copy has been produced specially for reference purposes only. No further copies may be made. NW Leicestershire LA 078832 2013 EATON Chellaston Willington Hemington Nottingham Castle= Donington East Midlands Airport South Derbyshire Kegworth isley 5 Wilson Walton Long Diseworth Whatton Worthington SWADLINCOTE pld Haff Newbold SHEPSHED Lount Osgathorpe HBY-Peggs DE'LA-Green ZOUCH Thringston Caleorton Blackfordby Norris Hill Swannington Whitwick C Moira Packington Donisthorpe COALVILLE Oakthorpe Ravenstone A 278 Stretton Normanton en le Bardon Field. Measham Heather Appleby Ibstock Magna Stanton' Swepsto Litchfield District under Snarestons Bardon North Warwickshire Norton-Justs Hinckley and Bosworth Naitstone Shackerstone in the Beans Barlestone Botcheston Newtown

North West Leicestershire lies in the East Midlands Region and is both the name and geographical location. The district is situated in the heart of the National Forest and lies between Leicester, Burton-on-Trent, Derby and Nottingham, covering approximately 280Km² (approximately 108 square miles). The district is mostly rural with a large extent of industry historically from coal mining, but more recently with Nottingham East Midlands Airport and large quarries.

The 2011 census found the population of the district to be 93,468[50]; the population is mainly distributed in the principle towns of Coalville and Ashby-de-la-Zouch; and the large villages of Castle Donington, Kegworth and Ibstock.

Three established main roads run through the district,

- the M42/A42 between Birmingham and Nottingham,
- the M1,
- and the A511 from Leicester to Burton-on-Trent.

1.2 Purpose of Purpose of Progress Report

This report fulfils the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management process as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995), the Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007 and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents. The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where exceedences are considered likely, the local authority must then declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

Progress Reports are required in the intervening years between the threeyearly Updating and Screening Assessment reports. Their purpose is to maintain continuity in the Local Air Quality Management process.

They are not intended to be as detailed as Updating and Screening Assessment Reports, or to require as much effort. However, if the Progress Report identifies the risk of exceedence of an Air Quality Objective, the Local Authority (LA) should undertake a Detailed Assessment immediately, and not wait until the next round of Review and Assessment.

1.3 Air Quality Objectives

The air quality objectives applicable to Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) in England are set out in the

- The Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000 (SI 2000/0928)[25],
- The Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 (SI 2002/3043)[26]
- The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2007 (SI 2007/0717)[27]
- The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010 (SI 2010/1001)[28]

They are shown in Table 1. Table 1 includes the number of permitted exceedences in any given year (where applicable).

Table 1. Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of Local Air

Quality Management in England.

Pollutant	Concentration	Measured as	Date to be achieved by
Benzene	16.25 μgm ⁻³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Delizerie	5.00 μgm ⁻³	Running annual mean	31.12.2010
1,3-Butadiene	2.25 μgm ⁻³	Running annual mean	31.12.2003
Carbon monoxide	10.0 μgm ⁻³	Running 8- hour mean	31.12.2003
Lead	0.5 µgm ⁻³ 3	Annual mean	31.12.2004
Leau	0.25 μgm ⁻³	Annual mean	31.12.2008
Nitrogen dioxide	200 µgm ⁻³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2005
	40 μgm ⁻³	Annual mean	31.12.2005
Particles PM ₁₀ (gravimetric)	50 μgm ⁻³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004

Pollutant	Concentration	Measured as	Date to be achieved by
	40 μgm ⁻³	Annual mean	31.12.2004
Particles PM _{2.5} (gravimetric) (not currently included in regulations)	25 μgm ⁻³ (target)	Annual mean	2020
regulations) Sulphur dioxide	350 µgm ⁻³ , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	31.12.2004
	125 µgm ⁻³ , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	31.12.2004
	266 µgm ⁻³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	31.12.2005

1.4 Summary of Previous Review and Assessments

Six AQMAs were designated in North West Leicestershire during the first round of review and assessment for the level of nitrogen dioxide concentrations. After Further Assessments it was determined that only two of these locations required AQMA designations and the remaining four were revoked. The Update and Screening Assessment (USA) undertaken in 2006 [1] concluded that these two sites should remain AQMAs and identified three additional locations where Detailed Assessments should be undertaken to determine whether new AQMAs were required for nitrogen dioxide concentrations. The two AQMAs designated during the first round are presented in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

The Detailed Assessment [6] undertaken in September 2007 of the three locations identified as possible areas for AQMAs in the USA 2006 [1], the three locations were High Street/Bondgate in Castle Donington, Broom Leys Road, Coalville and Bardon Road, Coalville, found that exceedences of the nitrogen dioxide objective were occurring in Castle Donington at properties located next to the carriageway along High Street and Bondgate due to traffic emissions. Monitoring at both locations in Coalville identified nitrogen dioxide concentrations that exceeded the mean annual objective during 2005, 2006 and 2007. The Detailed Assessment concludes that AQMAs should be designated at all three locations. As a result of these reports, two additional AQMAs were designated; the first in Castle Donington, presented in Figure 4, and the second covering Broom Leys Road and Bardon Road in Coalville, presented in Figure 5.

The Air Quality Progress Report conducted in April 2008 [7] recommended that a detailed assessment of the village of Copt Oak and the area surrounding East Midlands airport be undertaken to determine if AQMA's should be determined at these locations.

The Detailed Assessment of Copt Oak published in January 2009 [9] found that an AQMA should be declared and that the area should cross the district boundary to include an area within the borough of Hinckley and Bosworth as shown in Figure 6.

The Detailed assessment of East Midlands airport published in March 2009 [8] concluded that the Air quality objective for NO₂ would not be exceeded within 1000m of the airport as a result of air traffic emissions.

The further assessment of Bardon Road, Coalville published in February 2009 [10] supported the original declaration of the AQMA comprising the four residential properties at Broom Leys Junction and the one hundred and seventy two residential properties on Bardon Road.

The further assessment of High Street Castle Donington published in April 2009 [11] supported the original declaration of the AQMA comprising ninety one residential properties on High Street and Bondgate, Castle Donington.

The update and screening assessment published October 2009 [12] found that a detailed assessment for SO_2 was required in some areas of the district in relation to the burning of solid fuel, to which this report relates. The report also recommended that the M1 AQMA is expanded to include an exceedence of the 1-hour mean objective for NO_2 as the yearly mean has exceeded $60\mu gm^{-3}$.

The Progress Report published in April 2010 [13] found no significant change in the district.

A Detailed Assessment for SO₂ was conducted in 2010 [14]. This found that solid fuel usage within off-gas areas of the district was insufficient to warrant further investigation.

A Detailed assessment of the M1 AQMA conducted in 2011 [16] found that most of the declared area could be revoked as there is either no relevant receptor or the annual mean air quality standard for NO₂ is not being exceeded.

A Detailed Assessment of the Coalville AQMA conducted in 2011 [15] found that the declared area could be reduced to the declared area of Stephenson Way as the annual mean air quality standard for NO₂ is not being exceeded along Bardon Road.

The 2011 progress report [17] found that Broomleys junction in the Coalville AQMA exceeded the 1-hour mean air quality standard for NO₂ and recommended that a detailed assessment be undertaken.

The progress report also found that the current air quality action plan is insufficient and needs to be updated.

The 2011 detailed assessment of 1-hour Mean Air Quality Standard at Broomleys junction Coalville[18] found that the 1-hour mean air quality standard was being exceeded and the AQMA should be amended.

The 2012 detailed assessment of Castle Donington[20] found that a large proportion of the AQMA was not exceeding the air quality standard and recommended the AQMA be amended.

The 2012 Further assessment of Copt Oak [21] found that a large proportion of the AQMA was not exceeding the Air Quality Standard and recommended the AQMA be amended.

The 2012 Detailed assessment of Kegworth [22] found that it was likely that most of the AQMA was exceeding the Air Quality Standard and recommended a new monitoring location was installed in the north of the AQMA.

The 2013 Further assessment of Coalville AQMA[23] found that some of its area was not exceeding the annual mean or hourly mean air quality standards for NO₂. The report recommended that a traffic survey be undertaken to further inform action planning

Figure 2 Kegworth AQMA (highlighted in blue).





Figure 3 M1 AQMA (Outlined in Dark Blue)








Figure 5 Coalville Air Quality Management Area (Broom Leys Junction)





2 Methodology

2.1 Projecting measured annual mean roadside nitrogen dioxide concentrations to future years

The technical guidance LAQM.TG(09) [39] defines a method for projecting the NO₂ concentration to future years in paragraph 2.13 page 2-3 and box 2.1 on page 2-4. A correction to box 2.1 was published in an Errata published in 2010 [40]. The corrected version of Box 2.1 is reproduced in Table 2 for reference.

Table 2. Box 2.1 from Errata to LAQM.TG(09): Is the example in box 2.1 of TG(09) correct?

Box 2.	Box 2.1: Projecting measured annual mean roadside nitrogen dioxide concentrations to future years										
			or to be a	Example:							
Year	Central London	Inner London	Outer London	Rest of UK	The measured NO ₂ concentration at a roadside site in Outer London in 2000 in 45.8 years. ³ The						
2008	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	in 2009 is 45.8 µgm ⁻³ . The projected concentration for 2010						
2009	0.940	0.926	0.916	0.916	would be						
2010	0.881	0.853	0.832	0.832	(a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a						
2011	0.824	0.799	0.780	0.783	$45.8 \times \left(\frac{0.832}{0.916}\right) = 41.6 \mu\text{gm}^{-3}$						
2012	0.766	0.746	0.729	0.735	0.916)						
2013	0.709	0.692	0.678	0.687	Roadside locations are typically						
2014	0.652	0.639	0.626	0.639	within 1 to 5 metres of the						
2015	0.595	0.585	0.575	0.591	kerbside, but may extend up to 15						
2016	0.554	0.549	0.542	0.557	metres depending upon the road configuration and traffic flow.						
2017	0.513	0.513	0.508	0.523	corniguration and traine now.						
2018	0.472	0.477	0.475	0.489							
2019	0.430	0.441	0.442	0.454							
2020	0.389	0.405	0.408	0.420							

Modified from Box 2.1 in Errata to TG(09): Is the example in Box 2.1 of TG(09) correct? [40]

From the example given in Box 2.1 it is believed the projection factors should be used as follows

$$Y_p = {}^{r}_{m} \times \frac{4F_p}{AF_m}$$

Where:

 Y_p = NO₂ concentration for the Projected Year

 Y_m = Measured NO₂ Concentration

 AF_p = Adjustment factor for the year to be projected

 AF_m = Adjustment factor for the year NO₂ was measured

2.2 **Façade Correction**

Some diffusion tubes required a façade correction; the corrections were undertaken using the procedure outlined in Box 2.3: Predicting nitrogen dioxide concentrations at different distances from road of the technical guidance (reproduced in Table 3)

Table 3. Box 2.3: Predicting nitrogen dioxide concentrations at different distances from roads?

Box 2.3: Predicting nitrogen dioxide concentrations at different distances from

A method has been developed to allow NO₂ measurements made at one distance from a road to be used to predict concentrations at a different distance from the same road. It is appropriate for distances between 0.1 m and 140 m of the kerb.

Step 1: Identify the local background concentration in µgm⁻³, either from local monitoring or from the national maps published at www.airquality.co.uk. (Note that the background concentration must be less than the measured concentration).

Step 2: apply the following calculation

$$C_z = \left(\frac{C_y - C_b}{-0.5476 \times \ln \mathbf{D}_y + 2.7171}\right) \leftarrow -0.5476 \times \ln \mathbf{D}_z + 2.7171 + C_b$$

Where:

is the total predicted concentration (μgm^{-3}) at distance D_z ; is the total measured concentration (μgm^{-3}) at distance D_y ;

is the background concentration (µgm⁻³);

is the distance from the kerb at which concentrations were measured;

is the distance from the kerb (m) at which concentrations are to be predicted.

Ln(D) is the natural log of the number D.

Results derived in this way will have a greater uncertainty than the measured data. Further assistance with this procedure and interpretation of the results can be obtained from the Review and Assessment helpdesk (http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/helpdesks.html).

Calculator

The equation above is available as a simple calculator (available at http://lagm.defra.gov.uk/tools-monitoring-data/no2-falloff.html). This is set up to work from 0.1 to 50 m from the kerb, as this is the range that is likely to be relevant for Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) work. Kerbside sites should be treated as being at 0.1 m from the kerb. The calculator works for receptors either closer to or further from the kerb than the monitor. The greater the distance between the receptor and monitor, the greater the uncertainty in the derived receptor concentration. It is therefore recommended that if the receptor is further from the kerb than the monitor it should be no more than 20m away. If the receptor is closer to the kerb, then it should be no more than 10 m from the monitor.

Modified from Box 2.3 page 2-6 of the technical Guidance 2009 [39] (modification are improved layout of equation and insertion of updated hyperlinks where footnotes are present in the original.

2.3 Annualisation

Where only short-term periods of monitoring data are available, the results may be adjusted to estimate an annual mean concentration using the approach set out in Box 3.2: Estimation of annual mean concentrations from short-term monitoring data of the technical guidance LAQM.TG(09) [39] (reproduced in Table 4).

Table 4. Box 3.2: Estimation of annual mean concentrations from short-term monitoring data

Box 3.2: Estimation of annual mean concentrations from short-term monitoring data

Example

It has only been possible to carry out a monitoring survey (automatic or diffusion tube) at site **S** for six months between July and December 2008. The measured mean concentration **M** for this period is 30.2µgm⁻³. How can this be used to estimate the annual mean for this location?

Adjustment to estimate annual mean

The adjustment is based on the fact that patterns in pollutant concentrations usually affect a wide region. Thus if a six month period is above average at one place it will almost certainly be above average at other locations in the region. The adjustment procedure is as follows:

- 1. Identify two to four nearby, long-term, continuous monitoring sites, ideally those forming part of the national network. These should be background sites to avoid any very local effects that may occur at roadside sites, and should, wherever possible lie within a radius of about 50 miles.
- 2. Obtain the annual means, Am, for the calendar year for these sites, 2008 in this example.
- 3. Work out the period means, **Pm**, for the period of interest, in this case July to December 2008. [It may be necessary to use unratified automatic data.]
- 4. Calculate the ratio, **R**, of the annual mean to the period mean $\left(\frac{Am}{Pm}\right)$ for each of the sites.
- 5. Calculate the average of these ratios, R_a . This is then the adjustment factor.
- 6. Multiply the measured period mean concentration \mathbf{M} by this adjustment factor $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}}$ to give the estimate of the annual mean for 2008.

Long term site	Annual mean 2008 (Am)	Period Mean 2008 (Pm)	Ratio $\left(\frac{Am}{Pm}\right)$
A	28.6	29.7	0.963
В	22.0	22.8	0.965
С	26.9	28.9	0.931
D	23.7	25.9	0.915
		Average (R _a)	0.944

For this example the best estimate of the annual mean for site **S** in 2008 will be $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{R_a} = 30.2 \times 0.944 = 28.5 \mu \text{gm}^{-3}$.

Notes

Monitoring data for the long-term sites must have adequate data capture rates: above 90% is preferable; sites with data capture below 75% should not be used.

It may be appropriate to use diffusion tube results from a long-term survey to adjust short-term diffusion tube results. To allow for the greater uncertainty of diffusion tubes results from four or more sites should be used. Ensure that the tubes are from the same supplier using the same method of preparation.

If the short-term period covers, for instance, February to June 2009, and the work is being carried out in August 2009, then an annual mean for 2009 will not be available. The calculation can then be carried out using the ratio to the 2008 annual mean, but the result is then an estimate of the 2008 annual mean at the short-term site.

Modified from Box 3.2 page 3-4 of the technical Guidance 2009 [39].

3 New Monitoring Data

3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

3.1.1.1 Council Run Sites

Currently North West Leicestershire District Council operates 2 automatic monitors in the district located at Castle Donington, Coalville. These monitors are all located with AQMAs declared for exceedences of the nitrogen dioxide air quality standards.

The Council cease operating an automatic monitor in Copt Oak in may.

Details of the sites are shown in Table 5. Full Data is available from North West Leicestershire District Council Website [52]

All 3 monitors are API 200A NO_x analysers

3.1.1.2 Site operated by third parties

Aggregate industries operate 1 partisol PM₁₀ monitor located on Bradgate Drive in Coalville for environmental monitoring relating to their environmental permit.

East Midlands Airport operate an automatic monitor for NO₂ as part of their environmental monitoring program.

Details of the sites are shown in Table 5.

Figure 7 Map of Automatic Monitoring Sites




Table 5. Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

	ic o. Detailo	or / tatorne		ernig en						
Site ID	Site Name Site Type		OS Gı	rid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	Monitoring Technique	In AQMA?	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) to relevant exposure)	Distance to kerb of ne road (N/A if not applicable)	Does this location represent worst-case exposure?
			Х	Y	itored	ınique		SURE?	of nearest licable)	ation t-case
1	Coalville	Roadside	443660	314002	NO NO ₂ NO _x	Chemilumi nescence	1 1 1 2 2 1		2	Υ
2	Castle Donington	Roadside	444534	327365	NO NO ₂ NO _x	Chemilumi nescence	Y 0 1.		1.5	Y
3	Copt Oak	Other	448144	313029	NO NO ₂ NO _x	Chemilumi nescence	Υ	0	14	Υ
4	Bradgate Drive Coalville	Other	445147	313563	PM ₁₀	Partisol 2025 Sequential sampler	N	Y	N/A	Ν
5	ЕМА	Other	444226	326396	NO NO ₂ NO _x	Chemilumi nescence TEOM	N	N	N/A	Z

3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

3.1.2.1 Council Run Sites

The council undertakes extensive diffusion tube monitoring within its AQMAs. Details of the tubes are shown in Table 6. Full Data is available from North West Leicestershire District Council Website [51]

3.1.2.2 East Midlands Airport run sites

The East Midlands Airport undertakes extensive diffusion tube monitoring in the area of the airport. Details of the tubes are shown in Table 7..

Table 6. North West Leicestershire Diffusion tube monitoring locations


Table 6. North West Leicestershire Diffusion tube monitoring locations											
Site details		Locati	Grid Re	Our Tı	Pollumoni	In AC	Is Mor Co-loca a Con Analys	Rela Exposu with dista	Distanc of near (N/A appli	Wors Loca	
	location	Location type	х	Y	Our Tube No.	Pollutant monitored	In AQMA?	Is Monitoring Co-located with a Continuous Analyser (Y/N)	Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) to relevant exposure)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable)	Worst-case Location?
06N	Broomleys junction (1)	Roadside	443632	314026	6	NO ₂	Υ	N	5.8	2	Υ
08N	End Cottage Copt Oak	Rural	448138	313012	8	NO ₂	Υ	N	0	N/A	N
09N	Whitwick Rd Copt Oak	Rural	448120	313066	9	NO ₂	Υ	N	N	N/A	N
12N	Aeropark	Other	444161	326355	12	NO ₂	Ν	N	N	N/A	N
14N	69 High St Castle Donington	Roadside	444216	326788	14	NO ₂	N	N	0	2.9	Υ
16N	Crossroads Castle Donington	Roadside	444450	327233	16	NO ₂	N	N	7.53	1	Y
17N	13 Bondgate Castle Donington	Roadside	444512	327335	17	NO ₂	Υ	N	2	2.5	Υ
18N	34 Bondgate Castle Donington	Roadside	444580	327411	18	NO ₂	Υ	N	0	2.3	Υ
19N	94 Bondgate Castle Donington	Roadside	444707	327603	19	NO ₂	Υ	N	0.8	1.4	Υ
20N	Derby Rd Kegworth	Roadside	448523	326885	20	NO ₂	Υ	N	3.2	1	Υ
22N	A6 2 Kegworth	Roadside	448817	326621	22	NO ₂	Υ	N	0	2.3	Υ
23N	120 Whatton Rd Kegworth	Suburban	448108	326305	23	NO ₂	Z	N	N	N/A	Υ
26N	Molehill House	Roadside	447457	326420	26	NO ₂	Υ	N	0	50	Υ
31N	Sinope	Roadside	440167	315264	31	NO ₂	Ν	N	7.8	3.2	Y
32N	M1 Bridge Copt Oak	Other	448082	313100	30	NO ₂	Z	N	N	N/A	Υ
33N	Monitoring Station Copt Oak (1)	Other	448124	313048	5	NO ₂	Υ	Y	N	N/A	Υ
34N	Monitoring Station Copt Oak (2)	Other	448124	313048	10	NO ₂	Υ	Y	N	N/A	Y
35N	Monitoring Station Coalville (1)	Roadside	443660	314002	7	NO ₂	Υ	Y	5.8	2	Y
36N	Monitoring Station Coalville (2)	Roadside	443660	314002	27	NO ₂	Υ	Υ	5.8	2	Υ
37N	Monitoring Station Castle Donington (1)	Roadside	444534	327365	24	NO ₂	Υ	Y	0	1	Y
38N	Monitoring Station Castle Donington (2)	Roadside	444534	327365	25	NO ₂	Υ	Y	0	1	Y

Site details		Grid Reference		Our T	Pollt moni	In AQ	Is Monit Co-locate a Contin Analyser	Relevan Exposure? with distance (relevant expos	Distance t of neares (N/A if applica	Worst-ca Location	
	location	Grid Reference Pollutant monitored X Y Cocation type	Is Monitoring colocated with a Continuous Analyser (Y/N)	Relevant Dosure? (Y/N distance (m) to vant exposure)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable)	Worst-case Location ?					
39N	LW New M1	Other	446935	323744	11	NO ₂	Υ	N	N	N/A	N
40N	35 High Street Castle Donington	Roadside	444323	326975	13	NO ₂	N	N	3	0.9	Υ
41N	18 Highstreet Castle Donington	Roadside	444474	327171	15	NO ₂	N	N	4	1	Υ
42N	Lamppost A511 W of Broomleys junc	Roadside	443613	314114	1	NO ₂	Υ	N	16	1.9	Ν
43N	Direction Sign Bardon Rd/A511 RBT	Roadside	443675	313642	2	NO ₂	Υ	N	2.4	3	Ν
44N	Copt oak cross roads	Roadside	448147	312961	3	NO ₂	Υ	N	3	2.3	N
45N	Outside Corner farm Copt oak	Roadside	448119	312920	4	NO ₂	Υ	N	27	4.3	N
46N	Kegworth PO Derby Road	Roadside	448724	326702	21	NO ₂	Υ	N	0	1.3	Υ
47N	12 Derby Rd Kegworth	Roadside	448639	326805	28	NO ₂	Υ	N	4.7	2.5	Υ
48N	28 London Road Kegworth	Roadside	448792	326533	29	NO ₂	Υ	N	0.8	1.5	Υ
49N	10 Central Road Hugglescote	Roadside	442578	312871	5	NO ₂	n	N	4.1	2.5	у
50N	Hugglescote Crossroads	Roadside	442562	312823	10	NO ₂	n	N	5.4	1	у

Table 7. East Midlands Airport Diffusion tube Monitoring Locations

Table 7. East Midialias 7 in port Billiasion tabe Monitoring Locations										
Site details	location	Location type	Grid Reference		Pollutant monitored	In AQMA	Is Monitoring Co-located Continuous Analyser (Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) to relevant exposure)	Distance to kerb of r (N/A if not appl	Worst-case Loo
			x	Y	itored	?	cated with a ser (Y/N)	e? (Y/N with nt exposure)	of nearest road applicable)	Location?
A1	Stand 15 (amended 16)	Other	445091	325690	NO ₂	n	n	N/A	N/A	N
A2	Crash gate 27 ILS	Other	447136	326169	NO ₂	n	n	N/A	N/A	N
A3	Crash gate 4	Other	445265	326382	NO ₂	n	n	N/A	N/A	N
A4	Central IRVR	Other	445147	326042	NO ₂	n	n	N/A	N/A	N
A5	Western perimeter fence	Other	443879	326271	NO ₂	n	n	N/A	N/A	N
A6	Aeropark	Other	444230	326396	NO ₂	n	n	N/A	N/A	N
A7	Ambassador Rd	Other	444548	325418	NO ₂	n	n	N/A	N/A	N
A8	Aeropark (2)	Other	444230	326396	NO ₂	n	у	N/A	N/A	N
A9	Aeropark (3)	Other	444230	326396	NO ₂	n	У	N/A	N/A	N

Figure 8 Map of Coalville Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites



3.2 Comparison of Monitoring Results with Air Quality Objectives

3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

3.2.1.1 **Automatic Monitoring Data**

Table 8. Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO₂: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective

CDJCCHVC		İ	i	1	
Site ID		1	2	3	5
		Coalville	Castle Donington	Copt Oak	EMA
Site Type		Roadside	Roadside	Other	Other
Within AQMA?		Y	Y	Y (at time of monitoring)	N
Valid Data Cap Monitoring Peri		93.7	99.8	97.4	92.6
Valid Data Cap %	ture 2012	93.7	99.8	44.3	92.6
Annual Mean	2010 ^c	54.63	40.84		
Concentration (µgm ⁻³)			26.9	28.89	24.83
	2012 ^c	45.56	37.5	34.54	28.5

A i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

	Annualised mean (See Box 3.2 of TG(09))
???	Value exceeds Annual mean air quality standard
???	Value is approaching Annual mean air quality standard (exceeded 36µgm ⁻³)

B i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%.)

C Means should be "annualised" as in Box 3.2 of TG(09), if monitoring was not carried out for the full year. Highlighted in green








Figure 10 Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations Measured at Castle Donington Automatic Monitoring Sites






-Annual Mean

Figure 11 Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations Measured at Copt Oak Automatic Monitoring Sites

- Coalville Hourly Data

— annual mean target






Table 9. Results of Automatic Monitoring for NO₂: Comparison with 1-hour Mean Objective

	Objective									
Site ID		Sito Typo	Within AQMA?	Valid Data Capture for period of monitoring % ^a	Valid Data Capture 2012 % ^b	Number of Exceedences of Hourly Mean (200 µgm ⁻³) If the period of valid data is less than 90% of a full year, include the 99.8 th percentile of hourly means in brackets.				
		Site Type				2010 ^C	2011 ^c	2012 ^c		
1	Coalville	Roadside	Υ	93.66	93.66	29 (270.44)	20	3		
2	Castle Donington	Roadside	Υ	99.8	99.8	0 (130.28)	0	0		
3	Copt Oak	Other	Υ	97.44	44.3		0	0 (63.86)		
5	EMA	Other	N	92.6	92.6		0	0		

A i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the

year.

B i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%.)

C If the period of valid data is less than 90%, include the 99.8th percentile of hourly means in brackets

^{*}Number of exceedences for previous years are optional.

3.2.1.2 **Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data**

An overview of the data is show in Table 10 Façade corrections of relevant tubes is shown in Table 11. Full monitoring data is available in Appendix B

Coalville

Tubes 06N 35N 36N 42N 43N are located within the AQMA

Tubes 06N and 36N exceeded the annual mean AQS for NO₂

Tubes 43N and 35N did not exceed the NO₂ AQS

Tube 42N did not exceed the NO₂ AQS but is close to it.

Castle Donington

Tubes 12N 14N 16N 40N are not located within an AQMA and did not exceed the air quality standard

Tube 41N did not exceed the NO_2 AQS but is close to it however when the results are façade corrected to the nearest receptor it is unlikely that the NO_2 AQS is being exceeded at the nearest receptor.

Tubes 19N are located at the northern most extent of an AQMA and did not exceed the NO₂ AQS

Tube 18N is located on the façade of a property within an AQMA and significantly exceeded athe NO₂ AQS

Tubes 17N 37N 38N are located within an AQMA, they did not exceed the AQS but are close to it.

Copt Oak

Tubes 08N 33N 34N are not within an AQMA and did not exceed the NO₂ AQS

Tubes 09N 32N are not located within an AQMA but did exceed the NO₂ AQS however there are no residential premises within 50m

Tube 44N is not in an AQMA and did not exceed the NO₂ AQS however it was close to it. Façade correction of the data to the nearest residential

property shows it is unlikely that the nearest residential property is exceeding the AQS.

Tubes 45N is located within an AQMA but did not exceed the NO₂ AQS. However it is not possible to assess the nearest residential property from this tube due to its proximity to the M1

Kegworth

Tube 20N is located within the AQMA and did not exceed the NO₂ AQS

Tube 22N is located on the façade of a property within the AQMA the tube did not exceed the NO₂ AQS however the tube did exceed 36µgm⁻³.

Tube 46N is located on the façade of a property within the AQMA and exceeded the NO₂ AQS

Tube 47N and 48N are located within the AQMA and both exceeded the NO₂ AQS. When the sites are façade corrected the NO₂ AQS was not exceeded at the boundary of the nearest receptors however the neatest receptors are estimated to be above 36µgm⁻³

Tube 23N is not located with in an AQMA. The site is significantly below the NO_2 AQS.

M1 (Mole Hill Farm and Long Whatton)

Tube 26N is located on the façade of a property in an AQMA. The site did not exceed the NO₂ AQS but was above 36µgm⁻³

Tube 39N is not located within an AQMA and is significantly below the NO_2 AQS

Other Tubes

Tube 31N is located in Sinope near to the A511. The did not exceed the NO_2 AQS but was above $36\mu gm^{-3}$. When a façade correction is applied the nearest receptor is significantly below the NO_2 AQS

Tube 49N is located on central road Hugglescote. The period mean is significantly below the NO₂ AQS. The annualised mean is significantly below the NO₂ AQS. When a façade correction is applied tot both the

period and annualised means the nearest receptor is significantly below the $NO_2\,AQS$

Tube 50N is located on central road|station road crossroads in Hugglescote. The period mean is below the NO₂ AQS but exceeded 36µgm⁻³. The annualised mean is below the NO₂ AQS but exceeded 36µgm⁻³. When a façade correction is applied to both the period and annualised means the nearest receptor is significantly below the NO₂ AQS

Table 10. Results of NO₂ Diffusion Tubes 2004 TO 2012

	TO: TROUGHTO OF	110 ₂ Billiao															
	Tube leastion	Location	In AC	Is monitoring collocated Continuous Analyser (Triplicate or Collocated Tube	Data Capture 20 Months	Data with less has been ann	Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	Tube location	Туре	AQMA?	collocated with a Analyser (Y/N)		2012 (Number of hs or %) ^b	with less than 9 months been annualised (Y/N)°	BAF	0.98	1.1	1.01	0.99	0.94	0.9	1.06	1.06	0.91
06N	BROOMLEYS junc (1)	Roadside	Υ	N	N	100%				45.76	37.57	38.23	39.63	39.37	43.77	39.66	41.18
08N	End Cottage Copt Oak	Rural	Υ	N	N	100%							29.90	29.02	33.76	31.27	30.94
09N	whitwick rd COPT OAK	Rural	Υ	N	Ν	100%			35.23	44.49	40.11	45.12	41.58	42.68	48.06	42.22	42.16
12N	AEROPARK	Other	N	N	Ν	100%			19.32	21.12	18.36	18.02	18.10	17.44	28.36	21.68	22.37
14N	CD 69 HIGH st	Roadside	N	N	Ν	100%						38.26	36.36	25.42	33.14	29.33	28.36
16N	Bondgate CD crossroads	Roadside	N	N	N	91.7%						38.26	34.01	33.46	42.10	33.44	35.57
17N	13 BondGate	Roadside	Υ	N	Ν	100%			36.59	40.64	35.91	38.38	34.01	33.61	44.69	36.13	37.23
18N	34 bondgate	Roadside	Υ	N	Ν	100%						42.25	47.83	43.94	57.88	59.07	49.22
19N	Bondgate CD (94)	Roadside	Υ	N	N	100%							35.12	29.78	41.14	35.95	34.43
20N	DERBY RD Keg	Roadside	Υ	N	Ν	91.7%				37.45	36.16	39.87	33.79	35.69	43.18	33.48	35.16
22N	Keg A6 2	Roadside	Υ	N	Ν	100%						43.80	38.78	36.95	46.50	38.64	35.95
23N	KEG EMA 120 whatton road	Suburban	N	N	N	100%			26.42	29.08	22.07	27.55	23.54	18.75	27.82	24.19	24.80
26N	MOLEHILL HOUSE	Roadside	Υ	N	N	100%				40.00	39.70	39.91	35.30	40.64	41.29	36.13	37.08
31N	SINOPE	Roadside	N	N	Ν	100%			28.51	32.67	28.22	32.20	29.64	30.44	37.89	38.78	36.70

	Tube location	Location	In AQMA	Is monitoring collocated with a Continuous Analyser (Y/N)	Triplicate or Collocated Tube	Data Capture 2012 Months or	Data with less than 9 months has been annualised (Y/N)°	Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	rabo iocalicii	Туре	MA?		located Tube)12 (Number of or %) ^b	than 9 months ualised (Y/N) ^c	BAF	0.98	1.1	1.01	0.99	0.94	0.9	1.06	1.06	0.91
32N	M1 Bridge Copt Oak	Other	Ν	N	N	91.7%								58.28	71.21	50.79	50.55
33N	Monitoring station Copt Oak (1)	Other	Υ	Υ	С	33.3%	Y								38.76	31.18	18.45
34N	monitoring station Copt oak (2)	Other	Υ	Υ	С	33.3%	Υ								40.16	28.27	18.45
35N	monitoring station Coalville (1)	Roadside	Υ	Υ	С	66.7%									48.90	39.32	35.95
36N	monitroing station Coalville (2)	Roadside	Υ	Y	С	91.7%									47.90	31.62	40.45
37N	monitoring station CD (1)	Roadside	Υ	Υ	С	100%									42.57	38.16	37.01
38N	monitoring station CD (2)	Roadside	Υ	Υ	С	83.3%									43.44	35.51	37.40
39N	NEW M1 LW	Other	Υ	N	Ν	91.7%									34.35	31.91	29.62
40N	35 high street castle donington	Roadside	N	N	N	100%										27.52	31.02
41N	18 highstreet castle donington	Roadside	N	N	N	91.7%										37.67	39.71

	Tube location	Location	In AQMA	Is monitoring co Continuous A	monitoring Continuous	Triplicate or Collocated Tube	Data Capture 2012 Months or	Data with less has been annu	Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	rube rocution	Туре	MA?	collocated with a Analyser (Y/N)	ollocated Tube	012 (Number of or %) ^b	with less than 9 months been annualised (Y/N) ^c	BAF	0.98	1.1	1.01	0.99	0.94	0.9	1.06	1.06	0.91	
42N	lamppost A511 W of broomleys junc	Roadside	Υ	N	N	83.3%										41.07	38.77	
43N	Direction Sign Bardon Rd/A511 RBT	Roadside	Υ	N	N	91.7%										33.47	32.26	
44N	copt oak cross roads	Roadside	Υ	N	N	100%										36.51	37.16	
45N	outside corner farm copt oak	Roadside	Υ	N	N	100%										38.79	35.41	
46N	Kegworth PO Derby Road	Roadside	у	N	N	91.7%										44.12	42.52	
47N	12 Derby Rd Kegworth	Roadside	у	N	N	83.3%										32.86	43.59	
48N	28 london road kegworth	Roadside	у	N	N	100%										45.15	40.19	
49N	Hugglescote crossroads	Roadside	N	N	N	50.0%	Υ										27.02	
50N	10 central road hugglescote	Roadside	N	N	N	58.3%	Υ										27.96	

i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.
b i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%.)
c Means should be "annualised" as in Box 3.2 of TG(09), if monitoring was not carried out for the full year.
D values exceeding air quality objective are highlighted in Red
E values within 1 standard deviation of AQS (i.e. above 36µgm-3) are displayed in Blue

		Locati	In AQMA	Is monitoring collocated Continuous Analyser	Triplicate or Collocated Tube	Data Capture 2 Month	Data with less than 9 r	Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Tube location		Location Type	QMA?	Is monitoring collocated with a Continuous Analyser (Y/N)	collocated Tube	Data Capture 2012 (Number of Months or %) ^b	than 9 months nualised (Y/N)°	BAF (unless otherwi se stated)	0.98	1.1	1.01	0.99	0.94	0.9	1.06	1.06	0.91
A1	Stand 15 (amended 16)	Other	n	n	n	100%	N/A		40.10 BAF=?	51.10 BAF=?	45.00 BAF=?	51.10 BAF=?	43.50 BAF=?			34.64 BAF=0.84	32.18 BAF=0.83
A2	Crash gate 27 ILS	Other	n	n	n	100%	N/A		30.60 BAF=?	40.20 BAF=?	40.20 BAF=?	37.20 BAF=?	36.20 BAF=?			31.80 BAF=0.84	27.73 BAF=0.83
А3	Crash gate 4	Other	n	n	n	91.7 %	N/A		30.60 BAF=?	37.70 BAF=?	32.90 BAF=?	32.50 BAF=?	32.50 BAF=?			25.27 BAF=0.84	22.26 BAF=0.83
A4	Central IRVR	Other	n	n	n	100%	N/A		32.50 BAF=?	37.70 BAF=?	36.50 BAF=?	32.50 BAF=?	36.20 BAF=?			29.69 BAF=0.84	27.72 BAF=0.83
A5	Western perimeter fence	Other	n	n	n	100%	N/A		24.80 BAF=?	30.40 BAF=?	30.40 BAF=?	34.90 BAF=?	25.60 BAF=?			22.86 BAF=0.84	20.49 BAF=0.83
A6	Aeropark	Other	n	n	n	100%	N/A		26.70 BAF=?	34.10 BAF=?	31.60 BAF=?	27.90 BAF=?	28.60 BAF=?			21.73 BAF=0.84	20.09 BAF=0.83
A7	Ambassador Rd	Other	n	у	у	100%	N/A		28.70 BAF=?	35.30 BAF=?	34.10 BAF=?	34.90 BAF=?	32.90 BAF=?			28.43 BAF=0.84	25.25 BAF=0.83
A8	Aeropark (2)	Other	n	у	у	100%	N/A			28.00 BAF=?	26.80 BAF=?	25.60 BAF=?	28.60 BAF=?			21.07 BAF=0.84	18.00 BAF=0.83
A9	Aeropark (3)	Other	n	у	у	100%	N/A		24.80 BAF=?	31.60 BAF=?	38.90 BAF=?	32.50 BAF=?	33.90 BAF=?			21.46 BAF=0.84	19.98 BAF=0.83

Figure 13 Trends in Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites




Table 11. Façade Correction data

		Bias	façade correction - fall-off in nitrogen dioxide concentrations with distance from road See Box 2.3 pg 2-6 of LAQM.TG(09)							
Site details	location	Adjusted Mean	concer	round ntration erence	relevant background concentration	receptor correction for roadside tubes (Bias adjusted	receptor correction for roadside tubes (annualised Bias adjusted mean used)			
			Х	Υ		mean used)	adjusted mean deed,			
06N	Broomleys Junction (1)	41.18	443500	313500	13.05	32.21				
16N	Crossroads CD	35.57	443500	326500	11.49	25.17				
17N	13 Bondgate CD	37.23	443500	326500	11.49	33.49				
19N	94 Bondgate CD	34.43	444500	327500	14.60	32.49				
20N	Derby Rd Kegworth	35.16	447500	326500	26.31	32.60				
31N	Sinope	36.70	439500	314500	9.57	27.88				
35N	monitoring station Coalville (1)	35.95	443500	313500	13.05	28.65				
36N	monitoring station Coalville (2)	40.45	443500	313500	13.05	31.72				
40N	35 High Street Castle Donington	31.02	443500	326500	11.49	25.37				
41N	18 High Street Castle Donington	39.71	443500	326500	11.49	30.56				
42N	lamppost A511 W of Broomleys Junction	38.77	443500	313500	13.05	25.42				
43N	Direction Sign Bardon Rd/A511 RBT	32.26	443500	313500	13.05	29.34				
44N	Copt Oak crossroads	37.16	447500	312500	18.45	33.38				
45N	outside corner farm Copt Oak	35.41	447500	312500	18.45	25.80				
47N	12 Derby Road Kegworth	43.59	448500	326500	17.90	36.87				
48N	28 London Road Kegworth	40.19	448500	325500	14.71	37.80				
49N	10 Central Road Hugglescote	31.40	442500	312500	13.18	27.02	26.93			
50N	Hugglescote Crossroads	36.79	442500	312500	13.18	27.96	27.87			

3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

No exceedences of PM₁₀ air quality standards has been recorded.

Table 12. Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM₁₀: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective

<u> </u>	JULIVU							
	Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Valid Data Capture for monitoring Period	Valid Data Ca 2012 %b	Confirm Gravimetric Equivalent(Y or NA)	Annua Concentra	ıl Mean ation μgm ⁻³
		ype	QMA?	Capture ng Period	Capture %b	avimetric Y or NA)	2011 ^c	2012
4	Bradgate Drive Coalville	Other	N	96.99	96.99	Y	21.67	19.93
5	EMA	Other	N	94	94	NA	21.02	17.6

a i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

Table 13. Results of Automatic Monitoring for PM₁₀: Comparison with 24-hour Mean Objective

			Wi	Valid Data monitoring	Valid Da	Confirm Eq	Exceeder Hour M	ber of nces of 24- lean (50 /m3)
	Site ID	Site Type	Within AQMA?	Data Capture for itoring Period % ^a	Data Capture 2012 %b	irm Gravimetric Equivalent	2011	2012
4	Bradgate Drive Coalville	Other	N	96.99	96.99	Y	11	9
5	EMA	Other	N	94	94	NA	5	2

a i.e. data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

b i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%.)

c Means should be "annualised" as in Box 3.2 of TG(09), if monitoring was not carried out for the full year.

b i.e. data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for six months the maximum data capture for the full calendar year would be 50%.)

c if data capture is less than 90%, include the 90th percentile of 24-hour means in brackets

Figure 14 Bradgate Drive PM₁₀ Concentrations





Figure 15 East Midlands Airport PM₁₀ Concentrations



3.2.3 Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂)

This authority does not monitor for this pollutant

3.2.4 Benzene

This authority does not monitor for this pollutant

3.2.5 Other Pollutants Monitored

This authority does not monitor for any other pollutants

3.3 Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives

This authority has recorded exceedences of the annual mean Air Quality Standard(AQS) for NO₂ at several locations within its declared AQMA's

The authority has not detected an exceedence of the 1-hour mean AQS for NO₂ within the Coalville AQMA. It should be noted that the recorded annual mean at Broomleys junction has never exceeded 60 µgm⁻³.

Exceedences of 60 µgm⁻³ were historically detected near to molehill farm however these monitoring locations have been discontinued. The diffusion tube on the façade of molehill farm house did not record an exceedence of the annual mean AQS however the bias adjusted annual mean did exceed 36µgm⁻³

The diffusion tube placed directly over the M1 near to Copt Oak also recorded an exceedence of the annual mean AQS for NO₂ however there are no relevant receptors within 50m of the site. The nearest domestic property to the site is End Cottage. There is a diffusion tube on the façade of this property (08N) which did not record an exceedence of the annual mean AQS.

North West Leicestershire District Council has examined the results from monitoring in the district.

- Concentrations within the Castel Donington AQMA still exceed the annual mean standard for nitrogen dioxide and the AQMA should remain.
- Concentrations within the Kegworth AQMA still exceed the annual mean standard for nitrogen dioxide and the AQMA should remain.
- Concentrations within the M1 Mole hill AQMA still exceed the annual mean standard and hourly mean standard for nitrogen dioxide and the AQMA should remain.
- Concentrations within the Copt Oak AQMA still exceed the annual mean standard for nitrogen dioxide and the AQMA should remain.
- Concentrations within the Coalville AQMA still exceed the annual mean standard for nitrogen dioxide and the AQMA should remain.

Concentrations outside of the AQMAs are all below the objectives at relevant locations, therefore there is no need to proceed to a Detailed Assessment.

4 New Local Developments

4.1 Road Traffic Sources

There are no new sources since he last update and screening assessment

4.2 Other Transport Sources

There are no new sources since the last update and screening assessment

4.3 Industrial Sources

There are no new sources since the last update and screening assessment

4.4 Commercial and Domestic Sources

There are no new sources since the last update and screening assessment

4.5 New Developments with Fugitive or Uncontrolled Sources

There are no new sources since the last update and screening assessment

North West Leicestershire District Council confirms that there are no new or newly identified local developments which may have an impact on air quality within the Local Authority area.

North West Leicestershire District Council confirms that all the following have been considered:

- Road traffic sources
- Other transport sources
- Industrial sources
- Commercial and domestic sources
- New developments with fugitive or uncontrolled sources.

5 Local / Regional Air Quality Strategy

There is not currently a local or regional air quality strategy covering North West Leicestershire District

6 Air Quality Planning Policies

North West Leicestershire District council has not adopted policies specifically to deal with air quality however Policy E3 of the adopted Local Plan seeks to protect residential amenities by not permitting development which by virtue of "smell, fumes, smoke, soot, ash, grit…" would be injurious to residential amenity.

7 Local Transport Plans and Strategies

The Council is currently working with Leicestershire County Council as the Highway authority to develop action plan and for the actions to be included in the Local transport plan 3 implementation plans.

8 Implementation of Action Plans

All action in the council's action plan have either been completed or have stalled waiting for other agencies to progress actions. It is therefore necessary for the council to review its action plan

The Council is currently working with Leicestershire County Council as the Highway authority to develop a new action plan and for the actions to be included in the Local transport plan 3 implementation plans.

9 Conclusions and Proposed Actions

Air quality objectives are still being exceeded within air quality management areas

9.1 Proposed Actions

- Publish Coalville traffic assessment as recommended by the 2013
 Further assessment of Coalville AQMA[23]
- Publish a revised air quality action plan

10 References

10.1 Previous Review and Assessment Reports

- [1] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2003a, *Air Quality Stage 4**Review and Assessment. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District

 *Council. Available at:

 *https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment_[Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [2] North West Leicestershire District Council , 2003b, *Air Quality Updating and Screening Assessment 2003*. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local air quality review and assessment [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [3] North West Leicestershire District Council , 2005a, *Air Quality Detailed Assessment*. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council.

 Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local-air-quality-review-and-assessment

 [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [4] North West Leicestershire District Council , 2005b, *Air Quality Progress Report 2005*. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council.

 Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [5] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2006, Air Quality Updating and Screening Assessment 2006. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 ent [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [6] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2007, *Air Quality Detailed Assessment for Coalville and Castle Donington*. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

- https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [7] Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (Europe) Ltd, 2008, *Air Quality Progress Report 2008 Report No. 933628*. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_air_quali
- [8] Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (Europe) Ltd, 2009a, Air Quality Detailed Assessment For East Midlands Airport Report No.933690-1. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at: https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [9] Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (Europe) Ltd, 2009b, Air Quality Detailed Assessment For Copt Oak Report No. 933690-2-RPT2. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at: https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [10] Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (Europe) Ltd, 2009c, *Air Quality Further Assessment Of Bardon Road AQMA, Coalville Report No.* 933690-2-RPT3. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at: https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local air quality review and assessment [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [11] Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (Europe) Ltd, 2009d, Air Quality Further
 Assessment of Castle Donington AQMA Report No.933690-4. Coalville:
 North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:
 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment_ent_[Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [12] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2009e, *Air Quality Update and Screening Assessment 2009*. Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment_air_quality_review_and_assessment_air_quality_review_air_qu

- [13] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2010a, Air Quality Progress Report 2010, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at: https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local-air-quality-review-and-assessment-air-quality-review-and-assessment-air-quality-review-air
- [14] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2010b, *Air Quality Detailed Assessment for SO*₂, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_
- [15] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2011a, *Air Quality Detailed Assessment of Coalville AQMA*, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 <a href="https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quality_air_quali
- [16] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2011b, *Air Quality Detailed Assessment of M1 AQMA*, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment
 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment
- [17] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2011c, *Air Quality Progress Report 2011*, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council.

 Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [18] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2011d, 2011 Air Quality

 Detailed Assessment of 1-hour Mean Air Quality Standard at Broomleys

 junction Coalville, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council.

 Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 ent [Accessed 07/05/2013]

- [19] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2012a, 2012 Air Quality Update and Screening Assessment 2012, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at: https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local-air-quality-review-and-assessment-assessment-air-quality-review-and-assessment-air-quality-review-and-assessment-air-quality-review-and-assessment-air-quality-review-air-quality-revie
- [20] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2012b, 2012 Air Quality

 Detailed Assessment of Annual Mean Air Quality Standard at Castle

 Donington, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available
 at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment_ent_[Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [21] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2012c, 2012 Air Quality Further

 Assessment of Annual Mean Air Quality Standard at Copt Oak, Coalville:

 North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 ent [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [22] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2012d, 2012 Air Quality

 Detailed Assessment of Annual Mean Air Quality Standard at Kegworth,

 Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment

 ent [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [23] North West Leicestershire District Council, 2013, 2013 Air Quality Further0

 Assessment of Coalville AQMA, Coalville: North West Leicestershire

 District Council. Available at:

 https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/local_air_quality_review_and_assessment_ent_[Accessed 07/05/2013]

10.2 Acts and Statutory Instruments and orders

[24] Environment Act 1996 (c. 25), London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office Available at: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1995/25/contents [Accessed 07/05/2013]

- [25] Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000 SI 2000/0928, London: HMSO Available at: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2000/928/contents/made [Accessed 07/05/2013]]
- [26] Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002 SI 2002/3043, London: HMSO. Available at: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2002/3043/contents/made [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [27] The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2007 SI 2007/0717, London: HMSO Available at http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2007/64/contents/made [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [28] The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010 SI 2010/1001, London: HMSO. Available at http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/1001/contents/made [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [29] North West Leicestershire District Council Air Quality Management Order 2009 (No. 1), 2009 Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council.

 Available at
 http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air_quality_managment_area_copt_oak
 [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [30] North West Leicestershire District Council Air Quality Management Order 2008 (No. 1), 2008, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council.

 Available at

 http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air quality management area castle do nington [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [31] North West Leicestershire District Council Air Quality Management Order 2008 (No. 2), 2008, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council. Available at http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air_quality_managment_area_coalville [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [32] The North West Leicestershire District Council (Kegworth Air Quality Management Area) Order 2001, 2001, Coalville: North West

- Leicestershire District Council Available at http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air_quality_managment_area_high_streeto.com/ http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air_quality_managment_area_high_st
- [33] North West Leicestershire District Council (M1 Air Quality Management Area) Order 2001, 2001, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council Available at http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/m1 mole hill farm kegworth [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [34] M1 Air Quality Management Area (nitrogen dioxide) Revocation Order 2004, 2004, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council Available at http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/m1 mole hill farm kegworth [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [35] Air Quality Management Area (Nitrogen Dioxide) Amendment Order 2011 (No.1), 2011, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council Available at http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/m1 mole hill farm kegworth [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [36] Air Quality Management Area (Nitrogen Dioxide) Amendment Order 2011 (No.)2, 2011, Coalville: North West Leicestershire District Council Available at http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air quality managment area coalville [Accessed 07/05/2013].

10.3 British Standards

- [37] British Standards Institution, 2007. BS EN 15259:2007 Air quality.

 Measurement of stationary source emissions. Requirements for Progress

 Report 32 measurement sections and sites and for the measurement

 objective, plan and report. Milton Keynes: BSI
- [38] British Standards Institution 2007. BS ISO 4226:2007 Air quality. General aspects. Units of measurement. Milton Keynes: BSI

10.4 Technical guidance

- [39] Department for Food and Rural Affairs, 2009, Local Air Quality

 Management Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(09). Department for Food
 and Rural Affairs
- [40] Department For Environment Food and Rural Affairs. 2010. *Errata to LAQM.TG(09): Is the example in Box 2.1 of TG(09) correct?* London: Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs.[Online] Available at http://laqm2.defra.gov.uk/supportguidance/ [accessed 15/02/2011]
- [41] Department for Food and Rural Affairs, 2009. Local Air Quality

 Management Policy Guidance LAQM.PG(09). London: Department for
 Food and Rural Affairs
- [42] Department for Food and Rural Affairs, 2003. *Local Air Quality*Management Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(03). London: Department for Food and Rural Affairs

10.5 Other Documents

- [43] AEA, 2007a, National Atmospheric Emissions Inventory. www.naei.org.uk
 Department for Food and Rural Affairs
- [44] AEA, 2010, Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) framework. [Online] London: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Available at: http://laqm1.defra.gov.uk/review/tools/no2/qa-qc.php [Accessed 21/03/2011]
- [45] Bureau Veritas, 2011, *National Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet*. [online] London: Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs. Available at: http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/bias-adjustment-factors/bias-adjustment.html [Accessed 07/05/2013]
- [46] Department for Food and Rural Affairs, 2007, *The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. CM 7169 NIA 61/06-07*, London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office.
- [47] Department for Transport, 2008. *Annual Average Daily Traffic Flows*. London: Department for Transport http://www.dft.gov.uk/matrix

- [48] Highways Agency, 1992 (updated June 2010). Design Manual for Roads and Bridges Volume 11, Section 3 Environmental Assessment Progress Report 34 Techniques. Birmingham: Highways Agency. Available at: http://www.standardsforhighways.co.uk/dmrb/index.htm [accessed 25/10/2010].
- [49] Laxen & Marner. 2003. Analysis of the Relationship between 1-Hour and Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide at UK Roadside and Kerbside Monitoring Sites. Available from DEFRA..
- [50] Office for National Statistics, updated 30 January 2013, *Population Density, 2011 (QS102EW)*. [online] Available at:

 http://neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk/dissemination/LeadTableView.do?a

 =3&b=6275189&c=LE67+3FJ&d=13&e=61&g=6445343&i=1001x1003x10

 32x1004&m=0&r=0&s=1363603753470&enc=1&dsFamilyId=2491
- [51] North West Leicestershire District Council, updated 30th April 2012,
 Diffusion Tube Data [Online]. Available at:
 http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air quality monitoring no2 diffusion tubes
- [52] North West Leicestershire District Council, updated 30th April 2012, Automatic Monitoring Data [Online]. Available at: http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air_quality_realtime_monitoring

11 Appendices

Appendix A QA:QC Data

QA/QC of automatic monitoring

The analyser at Coalville is an API 200 chemiluminescence analyser,

Routine instrument calibrations are conducted once per month, which involve zero and span checks, a written record of the gas analyser diagnostics and a general visual inspection of all equipment is undertaken.

Data retrieval and daily data checking

Data from the monitoring station is retrieved and processed on a data logger as 15-minute mean data. The logger is interrogated via a Siemens TC35i GSM modem at 8-hourly intervals by the ENVIEW 2000 software hosted at TRL. This is used to retrieve, check and archive data.

TRLs internal QA/QC procedures require all data to be backed up on a secure server and all documentation associated with each site to be uniquely identified and securely stored to provide an audit trail.

Daily data inspections are undertaken during office hours using the facilities of the Data Management System. Initial observations of the Management System indicate whether the site has been contacted during its nominated 'poll time' overnight. If this has not been successful a manual poll of the site may be required. If this is not successful further investigation of the communications integrity will be required to establish contact with the site modem and data logger.

Three day plots of recorded data are viewed for the requested site, and these are inspected and assessed for continuity, validity, minimum and maximum values, date and time, power failures and general integrity. All anomalies are recorded on the Daily Check sheet, as required. Any anomalies or queries arising from daily inspection of data, or system operation, are brought to the attention of the Project Manager who will evaluate the situation, and initialise any necessary action. In the event that the PM is not available, contact will be made with the next available senior person within the monitoring team. Any issues identified with equipment operation will be referred to the client for attention within 24 hours (excluding weekends).

On a weekly basis, data are examined using summary statistics and outlier analysis to establish data validity. In the event that unusual data episodes are recorded, these would be routinely examined over longer data periods to establish their impact on trends, but would also be cross referenced with data peaks and troughs recorded at other national monitoring stations. In addition, integrity and validity of data logger clock times are checked, and any significant errors recorded in the Data Management System logbook.

All site data recorded through the Data Management System is archived on TRLs Network. The data is backed up daily, and the TRL IT Department maintains these data within their long-term and secure archives. This secures all data in the event of any system failure.

Data calibration and ratification

Data is ratified as per AURN recommended procedures. The calibration and ratification process for automatic gas analysers corrects the raw dataset for any drift in the zero baseline and the upper range of the instrument. This is done using a Microsoft Excel-based calibration and ratification file which incorporates the zero and span check information from the calibration visits. The zero reading recorded during the calibration visits is used to adjust any offset of the baseline of

the data. The difference between the span value obtained between one calibration visit and the next visit is used to calculate a factor. This change is assumed to occur at the same rate over the period between calibrations and as such the factor is used as a linear data scaler. This effectively results in the start of the period having no factor applied and the end of the period being scaled with the full factor with a sliding scale of the factor in-between. After applying the calibration factors, it is essential to screen the data, by visual examination, to see if they contain any unusual measurements or outliers. Errors in the data may occur as a result of equipment failure, human error, power failures, interference or other disturbances. Data validation and ratification is an important step in the monitoring process. Ratification involves considerable knowledge of pollutant behaviour and dispersion, instrumentation characteristics, field experience and judgement.

On completion of this data correction procedure, these data were converted to hourly means and a summary of these data were provided to North West Leicestershire District Council.

Appendix B Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data

Full details and results of Diffusion Tube monitoring in North West Leicestershire is available from the councils website

http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air quality monitoring no2 diffusion tubes

Appendix C Automatic Monitoring Data

Full details and results of Automatic monitoring in North West Leicestershire is available from the councils website

http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/air quality realtime monitoring