## Section 1: Applications for new B installations

Permitted applications under the LAPPC regime dealt with in 2009/2010
You should not include any installations that have been the subject of a duly made A2 application - these are captured in the Part A2 sub-survey - LA-IPPC.

### 1.1 Number of duly made applications for a permit <br> 1.1.1 On hand at beginning of year (Footnote 2) <br> 1.1.1 $=$ 1.1.4 from last year's survey $(0)$ <br> 1.1.2 Received during year (Footnote 3) <br> 1.1.3 Withdrawn or called in during year (Footnote 4) <br>  <br> 1.1.4 On hand at end of year (Footnote 5) <br> 1.1.4 = 1.1.1 + 1.1.2-1.1.3-1.2.3

1.2 Decisions made on applications for new B installations during 2009/2010 How many decisions were for applications being:
1.2.1 Permitted (Footnote 6) $\square$
1.2.2 Refused (Footnote 7) $\square$
1.2.3 Total number of decisions

0
1.2.3 = 1.2.1 + 1.2.2

### 1.3 Times taken for decisions on applications for new B installations during 2009/2010

Please fill in the tables below to provide information on the number of decisions made and the time taken from receipt of applications to a decision being made. (Footnote 8)
1.3.1 For new applications for Part B installations, excluding service stations, dry cleaners, waste oil burners (<0.4MW) and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b).

| Up to 4 <br> months | 4 to 6 <br> months | Over 6 <br> months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

1.3.2 For new applications for service stations, dry cleaners, waste oil burners ( $<0.4 \mathrm{MW}$ ) and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b). (Note the times below are different to those in the above table).

| Up to 2 <br> months | 2 to 4 <br> months | Over 4 <br> months | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Total(1.3.1) $+\operatorname{Total}(1.3 .2)=1.2 .3$

## Section 2: Applications for substantial changes to existing B installations <br> Substantial changes applications by operators under Regulation 20(1) of EPR 2007 dealt with in 2009/2010

You should not include any installations that have been the subject of a duly made A2 application - these are captured in the Part A2 sub-survey - LA-IPPC.

### 2.1 Number of duly made substantial change applications <br> 2.1.1 On hand at beginning of year (Footnote 9) <br> 2.1.1 $=$ 2.1.4 from last year's survey ( 0 ) <br> 2.1.2 Received during year (Footnote 10) <br> 2.1.3 Withdrawn or called in during year (Footnote 11) <br>  <br> 0 <br> 2.1.4 On hand at end of year (Footnote 12) <br> 2.1.4 = 2.1. $1+2.1 .2-2.1 .3-2.2 .3$

2.2 Decisions made on applications for substantial change during 2009/2010 How many decisions were for applications being:
2.2.1 Permitted (Footnote 13)
2.2.2 Refused (Footnote 14)
2.2.3 Total number of decisions

| 0 |
| ---: |
| 0 |
| $0 \mid$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 2 . 3}=\mathbf{2 . 2 . 1}+\mathbf{2 . 2 . 2}$ |

2.3 Times taken for decisions on applications for substantial change applications to Part B installations during 2009/2010

Please fill in the tables below to provide information on the number of decisions made and the time taken from receipt of applications to a decision being made. (Footnote 15)
2.3.1 For substantial change applications for Part B installations, excluding service stations, dry cleaners, waste oil burners (<0.4MW) and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b).

| Up to 4 <br> months | 4 to 6 <br> months | Over 6 <br> months | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2.3.2 For substantial change applications for service stations, dry cleaners, waste oil burners ( $<0.4 \mathrm{MW}$ ) and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b) (Note the times below are different to those in the above table).

| Up to 2 months | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { to } 4 \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ | Over 4 months | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Section 3: Number of B Permits held, revoked or surrendered during 2009/2010

3.1 In column 3.1, please fill in the number of B permits of each type held on 31st March 2010.

These figures should include all permits and not just those issued during 2009/2010. Permits which had been surrendered or revoked before 1st April 2009 should not be included.
3.2 In column 3.2, please fill in the number of $B$ permits that were surrendered or revoked during 2009/2010.
3.3 In column 3.3, please fill in the number of B permits of each type that were partially surrendered or revoked during 2009/2010.
If no installations were permitted or revoked for an installation type, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table.

|  | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.1 Combustion activities (not waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.1 Waste oil burners (under 0.4 MW) | 3 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.1 Waste oil burners (0.4-3 MW) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Storage at terminals | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Service stations | 15 | 1 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Gasification | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Surface treating metals and plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Cement and lime | 15 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.2 Asbestos | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass and glass fibre | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Other mineral activities | 20 | 10 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.6 Ceramics production | 1 | 4 | 0 | Edit |
| 4.1 Fibre Reinforced Plastics (FRP) activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Edit |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Di-isocyanate | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 4.8 Storage of bulk chemicals | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |
| 5.1a Incineration (not cremation) | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |
| 5.1b Cremation | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |
| 6.3 Tar and bitumen | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| 6.4 Coating activities, printing \& textile treatments (Footnote 16) | 5 | 1 | 0 | Edit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6.4a Vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b only) (Footnote 16) | 1 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.5 Coating manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.6 Timber | 2 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber | 1 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal \& vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 7a Coating, SED activities (not dry cleaners but include vehicle refinishing <br> PG6/34a) (Footnote 16) | 0 | 1 | 0 | Edit |
| 7b Dry Cleaners | 4 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

$\operatorname{Total}(3.1)=1.2 .1-\operatorname{Total}(3.2)+\operatorname{Total}(3.1)$ from last year's survey $=(67)$

## Section 4: Notices served on B installations during 2009/2010

4.1 How many variation notices were issued during 2009/2010?

How many variation notices which involved substantial change (Footnote 17) were issued
during 2009/2010?
4.3 Does LAPC enforcement in your Local Authority comply with the Regulators Compliance Code? (Footnote 18)

- Yes ONo
4.4 How many enforcement notices were issued during 2009/2010? (Footnote 19) 1
4.5 How many suspension notices were issued during 2009/2010? (Footnote 20)

0

## Section 5: Inspection visits to B installations <br> Inspection visits made to B installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.

### 5.1 Question 5.1 has been removed. Please continue to question 5.2.

## Inspection visits in connection with applications

5.2 How many inspection visits did your authority make in 2009/2010 in connection with:
5.2.1 Applications for permit
5.2.2 Applications for substantial change
5.2.3 Total number of visits in connection with applications

5.3 Please fill in the table below to provide information on the types of installations visited in connection with applications and the duration of the visits.
Please check that the total at the bottom of the table is the same as the answer given in 5.2.3 above and rework your figures if there is a difference.
If no inspections were made for an installation type, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table.

|  | Less than <br> half an hour <br> over year | Half an hour <br> to 2 hours <br> over year | More than 2 <br> hours over <br> year | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.1 Combustion activities (not waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.1 Waste oil burners (under 0.4 MW) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.1 Waste oil burners (0.4-3 MW) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Storage at terminals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Service stations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Gasification | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Surface treating metals and plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |
| 3.1 Cement and lime | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |


| 3.2 Asbestos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.3 Glass and glass fibre | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Other mineral activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.6 Ceramics production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 4.1 Fibre Reinforced Plastics (FRP) <br> activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 4.1 Di-isocyanate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 4.8 Storage of bulk chemicals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not cremation) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Cremation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.3 Tar and bitumen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating activities, printing \& textile <br> treatments (Footnote 16) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4a Vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b only) <br> (Footnote 16) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.5 Coating manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.6 Timber | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal \& vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 7a Coating, SED activities (not dry <br> cleaners but include vehicle refinishing <br> PG6/34a) (Footnote 16) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7b Dry Cleaners | $\mathbf{0}$ | Edit |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 |

## Section 5: Inspection visits to B installations <br> Inspection visits made to B installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.

## Inspection visits made once a permit has been granted

### 5.4 Inspection visits made by your authority not in connection with applications for permits or applications for substantial change.(Footnote 21) <br> How many inspections were

5.4.1(a) to check upgrading had been undertaken

0
5.4.1(b) for general compliance checking 76
5.4.1 Total number of routine/programmed inspections
$\frac{76}{\text { 5.4.1 }=5.4 .1(a)+5.4 .1(b)}$
5.4.2 Inspections in response to complaints
5.4.3 Other reasons
5.4.4 Total inspection visits made once a permit had been granted.

$5.4 .4=5.4 .1+5.4 .2+5.4 .3$
5.4.5 Please provide details (specifically including details regarding the installations involved) if you have (Footnote 22) :
a) Granted a permit for any installations within the last 6 months of 2009/2010 (i.e. after 30th September 2009)

| Number of applications <br> granted in last 6 <br> months of 2009/2010 | Explanation if appropriate |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

b) Been unable to conduct inspection visits on any mobile plants whose permits are still current in 2009/2010

| Number of mobile <br> plants not inspected | Explanation if appropriate |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| 0 |  |

c) Revoked a permit for any installation during 2009/2010

| Number of permits <br> revoked during year | Explanation if appropriate |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| 21 |  |

5.5 Please fill in the table below to provide information on the numbers of these inspection visits and the duration of the visits.
It is important that the total d) at the bottom of the table is the same as the answer given in 5.4.4. If there is a difference, please rework your figures.

|  | Less than half an hour over year | Half an hour to 2 hours over year | More than 2 hours over year | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 Combustion activities (not waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.1 Waste oil burners (under 0.4 MW) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | Edit |
| 1.1 Waste oil burners (0.4-3 MW) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Storage at terminals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 1.2 Service stations | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | Edit |
| 1.2 Gasification | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Surface treating metals and plastics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Cement and lime | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | Edit |
| 3.2 Asbestos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass and glass fibre | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Other mineral activities | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | Edit |
| 3.6 Ceramics production | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | Edit |
| 4.1 Fibre Reinforced Plastics (FRP) activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 4.1 Di-isocyanate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 4.8 Storage of bulk chemicals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not cremation) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Cremation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.3 Tar and bitumen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating activities, printing \& textile treatments (Footnote 16) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |


| 6.4a Vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b only) <br> (Footnote 16) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | Edit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6.5 Coating manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.6 Timber | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal \& vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 7a Coating, SED activities (not dry <br> cleaners but include vehicle refinishing <br> PG6/34a) (Footnote 16) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 7b Dry Cleaners | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | 4 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | d) $\mathbf{7 6}$ |  |

Total d) $=5.4 .4$

## Section 5: Inspection visits to $B$ installations

Inspection visits made to B installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.

## Please note: the answers given to these questions will be used by Defra and WAG to assess the relative performance of Local Authorities

5.6 Revised guidance on LAPPC risk-based regulation and inspection frequency issued in March 2009 (Footnote 23) requires that all regulated installations be categorised as either high, medium or low risk (Footnote 24)
Please complete the questions below:
5.6.1 $\quad$ Question 5.6.1 has been removed, please continue to question 5.6.2

For the following questions, installations are divided into three groups:
Group I - Standard Installations - 1 year frequency for low risk installations
Group II - Vehicle refinishing(PG6/34b only) and mobile plant - 2 year frequency for low risk installations
Group III - Other reduced fee activities (small waste oil burners, dry cleaners, petrol stations (PVRI and II) and Gas odorisers) - 3 year frequency for low risk installations
5.6.2 Of the total of question 3.1, how many were classified as high, medium or low risk? The risk category should be the one assigned at the beginning of the year (i.e. if the category has changed between April 2009 and March 2010, record the original category)

| Risk Category | Group I | Group II | Group III | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Medium | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | Edit |
| Low | 32 | 6 | 22 | 60 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |  |
| Total <=Total(Section 3) (67) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

5.6.3 In table 5.5 you were asked how many inspection visits had been conducted at all installations. Please break down these inspections by type of inspection and risk category of installation in the table below (Footnote 25, 26). (If no inspections were conducted for a specific risk category, please leave the corresponding cell in the table as zero)

| Risk Category | FullGroup I | FullGroup II | FullGroup III | Check <br> Group <br> I | Check <br> Group <br> II | Check <br> Group <br> III | ExtraGroup I | ExtraGroup II | ExtraGroup III | Total Group I | Total Group II | Total Group III |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Medium | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Low | 32 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 6 | 22 | Edit |



The minimum annual inspection levels expected by Defra/WAG for the three risk categories are as follows:

High: For Group one: two full and one check per year. For Groups two and three one full and one check per year
Medium: For Group one: one full and one check per year. For Groups two and three one full per year Low: For Group one :one full per year. For Group two: one full every two years. For Group three: one full every three years.

There may, however, be reasons why you have not carried out the number of inspections implied by your answers to question 5.6.2. Please note any such instances in the box below.

## Section 5: Inspection visits to B installations <br> Inspection visits made to B installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.
5.7 Where it is a condition of a permit that the operator provides you with monitoring information, please fill in the table below regarding the numbers of installations for which you receive such information and the total time spent in examining that information during the year.
5.7.1 In how many B installations excluding service stations, dry cleaners, waste oil burners under 0.4 MW and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b) has a condition been included requiring monitoring information to be sent to council offices:
5.7.1 < = Total(3) less combined total of waste oil burners (<0.4MW), service stations, dry cleaners and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b) figures from section 3 (64)

Of these, how much time was spent examining this information?

|  | Less than half an hour over year | Half an hour to 2 hours over year | More than 2 hours over year | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Installations | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Total (5.7.1) < 5 5.7.1 |  |  |  |  |

5.7.2 In how many service stations, dry cleaners, waste oil burners under 0.4 MW and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b) installations has a condition been included requiring monitoring information to be sent to council offices:

$$
24
$$

5.7 .2 < $=3.1+3.2+3.3$ values for waste oil burners (<0.4MW), service stations, dry cleaners and vehicle refinishing (PG6/34b) figures from section 3 (24)

Of these, how much time was spent examining this information?

|  | Less than <br> half an <br> hour over <br> year | Half an <br> hour to 2 2 <br> hours over <br> year | More than <br> 2 hours <br> over year | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Installations | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 |

Total (5.7.2) <= 5.7.2

## Section 6: Charging income received from B installations

Received in 2009/2010 (Footnote 29)

### 6.1 Charging

Amount received in 2009/2010 £'s
Total amount received in fees in respect of applications for permits and for variations involving a substantial change (Footnote 27) and annual subsistence charges in respect of permitted installations

### 6.2 Cost Accounting

It is a statutory requirement to place cost accounts on the public register. As of 31 March 2010 did you account separately for the costs (Footnote 28) and income associated with your role as regulator under the LAPPC system?

Yes (Please go to section 7) No (Please go to section 6.3)

### 6.3 If you answered No to question 6.2

a) Please give your reasons
b) Please state whether senior managers in your department are aware


An additional question relating to the cost of running the service can be found within Section 11 of the Common Questions Section

## Section 7: Periodic reviews of permitted B installations

Reviews of installations having held a permit for four or more years in 2009/2010

Under LAPPC the legislation requires permits to be reviewed periodically but does not specify a frequency. It is considered for this sector that a frequency of once every six years ought normally to be sufficient for the purposes of Regulation 34(1) of the EPR 2007.

More frequent reviews may be necessary in individual cases and regulation 34(2) requires 'appropriate' periodic inspections. Further guidance on permit reviews is contained in Chapter 26 of the "General Guidance Manual on Policy and Procedures for A2 and B Installations" available from:

## http://http://www.defra.gov.uk/environment/quality/pollution/ppc/localauth/pubs/guidance/manuals.htm

Whether or not a periodic review is due should be calculated by taking the date of the last review and determining whether the 6 years was up during the period covered by this survey.
7.1 How many permits were due a periodic review 2009/2010?
$7.1<=\operatorname{Total}(3.1)$ (67)
7.2 Because it is not always appropriate to undertake reviews exactly on a six year frequency, please give the number of reviews undertaken.

| Years from date of last review | Number of Reviews |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up to 5 years | 0 | Edit |
| 5 to 7 years | 0 | Edit |
| Over 7 years | 0 | Edit |
| Total | 0 |  |
| Total <= Total(3.1) (67) |  |  |

You have now completed the survey for Part B installations.

Now please complete the next section: Common Questions Type B and Type A2.
For these questions, please consider both type B and Type A2 installations when calculating your answers.

## Section 8: Prosecutions of B and A2 installations

Details of prosecutions in progress during 2009/2010
8.1 How many prosecutions for offences under Regulation 38 of EPR 2007 (Footnote 30) were in progress during 2009/2010?
Include those that are withdrawn, pending and unsuccessful as well as those which were successful.

Total Number of Prosecutions
8.2 For each individual prosecution in progress during 2009/2010, please provide the information requested in the table below.
If a company has been prosecuted for more than one offence in 2009/2010, please enter details for each prosecution in a separate row.

Add Prosecution No Prosecution Data Recorded
8.3 For each prosecution which was successful during 2009/2010, please provide the additional information requested in the table
8.5 For each caution which was given during 2009/2010, please provide the additional information requested in the table below.
Please enter each successful prosecution from table 8.2 in the table below, using the appropriate prosecution number from table 8.2

No Successful Prosecutions Data
8.4 How many cautions were given for offences under Regulation 38 of EPR 2007 during 2009/2010?

Total Cautions
0

Add Caution No cautions data

## North West Leicestershire

## Section 9: Minimum inspection criteria

EU recommendation on minimum inspection criteria

Please respond to the following questions by completing the relevant tables or providing the answer in the box provided.
9.1 What is your overview of the extent to which the installations you regulate are complying with the legislation?

- Completely $\bigcirc$ Substantially OInadequately Poorly
9.2 How many visits did you plan to make to your 76 installations in 2009/2010?
9.3 Was an inspection plan (Footnote 31) drawn up at the beginning of the reporting year?76

Are inspection visits coordinated with other agencies such as EA, HSE?
9.5 How are inspection reports communicated to the site operator?

Written Orally © Both ONeither
9.6 Are inspection reports available to the public either via the public register or on request?

## Section Solvent Emissions Directive (SED) <br> 10: <br> Review of installations holding a permit under SED 1st April 2009 to 31st March 2010

Local authorities should have identified all relevant existing SED installations for the purpose of obtaining substitution and solvent management plans, as well as issuing a permit to any new installations in accordance with the Directive. These six questions are to assess progress in relation to the directions.

| 10.1 | Number of SED installations holding a permit | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.2 | Number of installations using the reduction scheme | 7 |
|  |  | $10.2<10.1$ |
| 10.3 | Number of installations that did not submit to the regulator a solvent management plan for assessing compliance | 0 |
|  |  | $10.3<10.1$ |
| 10.4 | Number of operators breaching SED requirements | 0 |
|  |  | $10.4<10.1$ |
| 10.5 | Number of permits withdrawn | 0 |
|  |  | $10.5<10.1$ |
| 10.6 | Dealing with derogations under Article 5.3 of the SED (Footnote 32) |  |
|  | 10.6.1 Have you given any derogations under Article 5.3 of the SED? | - No |
|  | 10.6.2 If your answer to 10.6.1 was "yes", please supply additional information concerning the action taken, and a name and contact telephone number of the individual whom Defra can contact to obtain further details. |  |


| Action Taken | Telephone No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact |  |

10.7 Paints Directive
10.7.1 How many instances of non-compliance with the PD?

10.7.4 How many litres of paint were non-compliant? (Total of all non-compliance in the year)

## Section 11: Costs of running the service

You may need to consult your senior manager when completing this section.

### 11.1 Direct and Indirect costs

Costs Received in 2009/2010
How much are your direct costs of regulation under PPC (Costs of directly delivering the service: salaries, monitoring equipment related to contact time, visits, drafting permit conditions, maintaining the register, enforcement etc - see Annex $X$ of the revised General Guidance Manual)

How much are your indirect costs related to regulation under PPC (premises and transport, supplies and services, any capital charges, an appropriate share of all services and overheads etc)

### 11.2 Efficiency Savings Targets

|  |  | Council | Environmental Services | PPC Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.2.1 | Does your Council have an efficiency savings target for 2009/2010 for these services? (Yes/No) | Yes <br> - No | Yes <br> - No | Yes <br> - No |
| 11.2.2 | If you answered yes to 11.2.1, can you please give the percentage target |  |  |  |
| 11.2.3 | If you answered yes to 11.2.1, can you state (if known) whether the savings were: a) $<25 \%$ cashable b) $25-50 \%$ cashable c) $50 \%-75 \%$ cashable d) $>75 \%$ cashable e) Not known (Footnote 33) | <25\% 25 50\% 50 75\% >75\% Not known | $<25 \%$ 25 <br> 50\% 50 75\% >75\% Not <br> known | $<25 \%$ 25 50\% 50 75\% >75\% Not known |

## You have now completed the Common Questions section

## Section Applications for new A2 installations

12:
Permitted applications under the LA-IPPC regime dealt with in 2009/2010
You should include all new A2 applications, even if the installation is currently a permitted B installation.

### 12.1 Number of duly made applications for a permit

12.1.1 On hand at beginning of year (Footnote 2)
12.1.2 Received during year (Footnote 3)
12.1.3 Withdrawn or called in during year (Footnote 4)
12.1.4 On hand at end of year (Footnote 5)

### 12.2 Decisions made on applications for new A2 installations during 2009/2010

How many of these decisions were for applications being:
12.2.1 Permitted (Footnote 6)
12.2.2 Refused (Footnote 7)
12.2.3 Total number of decisions

12.3 Types of installation and times taken for decisions on applications for new A2 installations 2009/2010

Please fill in the table below to provide information on the number of decisions made for each type of installation and the time taken from receipt of applications to a decision being made. (Footnote 8)
It is important that your total figure at the bottom of the table is equal to the answer given to Question 12.2.3. Please rework your figures if there is a difference.

If no decisions were made for applications for an installation type, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table.

|  | Up to 6 <br> months | $\mathbf{6}$ to 9 <br> months | Over 9 <br> months | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.1 Refining Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous Metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Galvanising | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Grinding clinker/slag | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |


| 3.5 Calcium silicate board manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.6 Ceramic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not roadstone coating <br> burning waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Roadstone coating burning waste oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.1 Particleboard etc. manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber tyres | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal and vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

Total $=12.2$. 3
12.4.1 Have you followed Public Participation Directive procedures as outlined in the General Guidance Manual at Chapter 9, Paragraphs 24 to 47 and AnnexV? In summary: applications must include an assessment of alternatives; draft decisions must be publicised and where the public does not comment on the draft decision, final decisions must be publicised.

12.4.2 Do you use other means of consultation (e.g. using notices in local libraries, writing to those who have commented on the original application or occupiers of nearby premises, street notices, or advertisement in a local newspaper) ?

## Section Applications for substantial changes to existing A2 13: installations <br> Substantial changes applications by operators under Regulation 20(1) of EPR 2007 dealt with in 2009/2010

You should include all new A2 applications, even if the installation is currently a permitted B installation.

### 13.1 Number of duly made substantial change applications

13.1.1 On hand at beginning of year (Footnote 9)


13.2 Decisions made on applications for substantial change during 2009/2010 How many of these decisions were for applications being:
13.2.1 Permitted

13.3 Types of installations and times taken for decisions on substantial change applications to A2 installations during 2009/2010

Please fill in the table below to provide information on the number of decisions made for each type of installation and the time taken from receipt of the applications to a decision being made (Footnote 15). It is important that your total figure at the bottom of the table is equal to the answer given to Question 13.2.3. Please rework your answers if there is a difference.

If no decisions were made for applications for an installation type, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table.

|  | Up to 6 <br> months | $\mathbf{6}$ to 9 <br> months | Over 9 <br> months | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.1 Refining Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous Metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Galvanising | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Grinding clinker/slag | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |


| 3.5 Calcium silicate board manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.6 Ceramic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not roadstone coating <br> burning waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Roadstone coating burning waste oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.1 Particleboard etc. manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber tyres | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal and vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

Total $=13.2 .3$

## Section Number of A2 Permits held, revoked or surrendered during 14: 2009/2010

14.1 In column 14.1, please fill in the number of A2 permits of each type on 31st March 2010. These figures should include all permits and not just those issued during 2009/2010. Permits which had been surrendered or revoked before 1st April 2009 should not be included.
14.2 In column 14.2, please fill in the number of A2 permits that were surrendered or revoked during 2009/2010.
14.3 In column 14.3, please fill in the number of A2 permits of each type that were partially surrendered or revoked during 2009/2010.

|  | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.1 Refining Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous Metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Galvanising | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Grinding clinker/slag | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Calcium silicate board manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.6 Ceramic | 5 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not roadstone coating burning waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Roadstone coating burning waste oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.1 Particleboard etc. manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber tyres | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal and vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

Total(14.1) $\boldsymbol{=}$ 12.2.1 $\boldsymbol{-}$ Total(14.2) $\boldsymbol{+}$ Total(14.1) from last year's survey (5)

## Section Notices served on A2 installations during 2009/2010 15:

15.1 How many variation notices were issued on A2 installations during 2009/2010?

0
15.2 How many variation notices which involved substantial change (Footnote 17) were issued during 2009/2010?
15.3 This question has been removed, please continue to 15.4
15.4 How many enforcement notices (Footnote 19) were issued during 2009/2010?
15.5 How many suspension notices (Footnote 20) were issued during 2009/2010?

## Section Inspection visits to A2 installations

## 16:

Inspection visits made to A2 installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.
16.1 Question 16.1 has been removed, please continue to question 16.2

## Inspection visits made in connection with applications

### 16.2 How many inspection visits did your authority make in 2009/2010 in connection with:

### 16.2.1 Applications for permit


16.3 Please fill in the table below to provide information on the types of installations visited in connection with applications and duration of the visits.
If no inspections were made for an installation type, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table.

|  | Less than half <br> an hour over <br> year | Half an hour to 2 <br> hours over year | More than 2 <br> hours over <br> year | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.1 Refining Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous Metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Galvanising | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Grinding clinker/slag | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Calcium silicate board <br> manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |
| 3.6 Ceramic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not roadstone <br> coating burning waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |


| 5.1b Roadstone coating burning <br> waste oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6.1 Particleboard etc. <br> manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber tyres | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal and vegetable <br> treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

Total $=16.2 .3$

## Section Inspection visits to A2 installations

## 16:

Inspection visits made to A2 installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.

## Inspection visits made once a permit has been granted

16.4 Inspection visits made by your authority not in connection with applications for permits or applications for substantial change (Footnote 21)
How many inspections were
16.4.1(a) to check upgrading had been undertaken

16.4.1(b) for general compliance checking
16.4.1 Total number of routine/programmed inspections
16.4.2 Inspections in response to complaints
16.4.3 Other reasons
16.4.4 Total inspection visits made once a permit had been granted.
$16.4 .1=16.4 .1(\mathrm{a})+16.4 .1(\mathrm{~b})$
6
0
16.4.4 $=16.4 .1+16.4 .2+16.4 .3$
16.4.5 Please provide details (specifically including details regarding the installations involved) if you have (Footnote 22) :
a) Granted a permit for any installations within the last 6 months of 2009/2010 (i.e. after 30th September 2009)

| Number of Applications <br> granted in the last 6 <br> months of 2009/2010 | Explanation if appropriate |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

b) Been unable to conduct inspection visits on any mobile plants whose permits are still current in 2009/2010

| Number of Mobile <br> plants not inspected | Explanation if appropriate |
| :--- | :--- |


c) Revoked a permit for any installation during 2009/2010

| Number of permits <br> revoked during year | Explanation if appropriate |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| 0 |  |

Please note you may be contacted and asked for further information
16.5 Please fill in the table below to provide information on the numbers of these inspection visits and the duration of the visits.
It is important that the total at the bottom of the table is the same as the answer given in 16.4.4. If there is a difference please rework your figures

If no inspections were made for an installation type, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table.

|  | Less than half an hour over year | Half an hour to 2 hours over year | More than 2 hours over year | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 Refining Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous Metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Galvanising | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Grinding clinker/slag | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Calcium silicate board manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.6 Ceramic | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not roadstone coating burning waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Roadstone coating burning waste oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.1 Particleboard etc. manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber tyres | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal and vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 14 | 20 |  |
| Total $=16.4 .4$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Section Inspection visits to A2 installations

## 16:

Inspection visits made to A2 installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.

## Inspection visits made once a permit has been granted

16.4 Inspection visits made by your authority not in connection with applications for permits or applications for substantial change (Footnote 21)
How many inspections were
16.4.1(a) to check upgrading had been undertaken

16.4.1(b) for general compliance checking
16.4.1 Total number of routine/programmed inspections
16.4.2 Inspections in response to complaints
16.4.3 Other reasons
16.4.4 Total inspection visits made once a permit had been granted.
$16.4 .1=16.4 .1(\mathrm{a})+16.4 .1(\mathrm{~b})$
6
0
16.4.4 $=16.4 .1+16.4 .2+16.4 .3$
16.4.5 Please provide details (specifically including details regarding the installations involved) if you have (Footnote 22) :
a) Granted a permit for any installations within the last 6 months of 2009/2010 (i.e. after 30th September 2009)

| Number of Applications <br> granted in the last 6 <br> months of 2009/2010 | Explanation if appropriate |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

b) Been unable to conduct inspection visits on any mobile plants whose permits are still current in 2009/2010

| Number of Mobile <br> plants not inspected | Explanation if appropriate |
| :--- | :--- |


c) Revoked a permit for any installation during 2009/2010

| Number of permits <br> revoked during year | Explanation if appropriate |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| 0 |  |

Please note you may be contacted and asked for further information
16.5 Please fill in the table below to provide information on the numbers of these inspection visits and the duration of the visits.
It is important that the total at the bottom of the table is the same as the answer given in 16.4.4. If there is a difference please rework your figures

If no inspections were made for an installation type, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table.

|  | Less than half an hour over year | Half an hour to 2 hours over year | More than 2 hours over year | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 Refining Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous Metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Galvanising | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Grinding clinker/slag | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.3 Glass manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Calcium silicate board manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.6 Ceramic | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not roadstone coating burning waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Roadstone coating burning waste oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.1 Particleboard etc. manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.4 Coating | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | Edit |
| 6.7 Rubber tyres | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal and vegetable treatment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 14 | 20 |  |
| Total $=16.4 .4$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Section Inspection visits to A2 installations

## 16:

Inspection visits made to A2 installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.

## Please note: the answers given to these questions will be used by Defra and WAG to assess the relative performance of Local Authorities

16.6 Revised Guidance on LA-IPPC risk-based regulations and inspection frequency issued in April 2005 (Footnote 23) requires that regulated installations can be categorised as "high", "medium" or "low" risk (Footnote 24) .

Please complete the questions below
16.6.1 Question 16.6.1 has been removed, please continue to question 16.6.2
16.6.2 Of the total of question 14.1, how many were classified as "high", "medium" or "low" risk? The risk category should be the one assigned at the beginning of the year (i.e. if the category has changed between April 2009 and March 2010, record the original category)

| Risk Category | Number of Installations |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | 1 | Edit |  |
| Medium | 0 | Edit |  |
| Low | 4 | Edit |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6 . 6 . 2 ~ < = \text { Total (14) (5) }}$ |  |  |  |

16.6.3 In table 16.5 you were asked how many inspection visits had been conducted at all installations. Some or all of those inspections will have been carried out at installations which have been risk assessed. Please break down these inspections by type of inspection and risk category of installation in the table below (Footnote 25) .

| Risk Category | Full-A2 Inspections | Check-A2 Inspections | Extra-A2 Inspections | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| High | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | Edit |
| Medium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| Low | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | Edit |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |  |

If all installations have been risk assessed, then Total $=16.5$, otherwise Total $<16.5$ (20)

The minimum annual inspection levels expected by Defra/WAG for the three risk categories are as follows:

High: two full and one check per year
Medium: one full and one check per year;
Low: For group one; one full per year. For Group two; one full every two years. For group three; one full every three years.

There may, however, be reasons why you have not carried out the number of inspections implied by your answer to question 16.6.2. Please note any such instances in the box below.

## North West Leicestershire

## Section Inspection visits to A2 installations

## 16:

Inspection visits made to A2 installations in 2009/2010

In this section each inspection visit should be recorded only once under the main reason for that visit. Only on-site visits should be recorded as inspection visits. Please note the visit includes reasonable travel time, having regard to the efficiency benefits of batching visits by geographical area.

Please note that a drive-by viewing of an installation should not constitute an inspection for this return. Refer to General Guidance Manual, Chapter 27, paras 13 et seq. Further guidance on inspections is contained in the CIEH Management Guide 2004.
16.7 Where it is a condition of a permit that the operator provides you with monitoring information, please fill in the table below regarding the number of installations for which you receive such information and the time spent examining that information during the year.
If no monitoring information was received for an installation, please enter zero in the corresponding cell in the table

|  | Less than half an <br> hour over year | Half an hour to 2 <br> hours over year | More than 2 <br> hours over year |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.1 Refining Gas | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.1 Ferrous Metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.2 Non-ferrous metals | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 2.3 Galvanising | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.1 Grinding clinker/slag | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3.3 Glass manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.5 Calcium silicate board <br> manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 3.6 Ceramic | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1a Incineration (not roadstone <br> coating burning waste oil) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 5.1b Roadstone coating burning <br> waste oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.1 Particleboard etc. <br> manufacture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.4 Coating | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |
| 6.7 Rubber tyres | 0 | 0 | 0 | Edit |
| 6.8 Animal and vegetable <br> treatment | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | Edit |  |

Total <=Total(14) (5)

## Section Charging income received from A2 installations

17:
Received in 2009/2010

### 17.1 Charging

Amount received in 2009/2010
Total amount received in fees in respect of applications for permits and for variation involving a substantial change (Footnote 27) and annual subsistence charges in respect of permitted installations

### 17.2 Cost Accounting

These questions now only need to be completed in the part B survey
An additional question relating to the cost of running the service can be found within Section 11 of the Common Questions section

