## SCHEDULE OF MAIN MODIFICATIONS TO PUBLICATION VERSION OF THE NORTH WEST LEICESTERSHIRE LOCAL PLAN

**June 2017** 

This document details the Council's proposed Main Modifications to the North West Leicestershire Publication version Local Plan. A separate schedule sets out the Additional Modifications which are proposed to be made to the Local plan.

"Main Modifications" are required to resolve issues that make the Local Plan unsound (see paragraph 182 of the National Planning Policy Framework) or where it is not legally compliant. They involve changes or insertions to policies and text that are essential to enable the Plan to be adopted. Main Modifications are therefore significant changes that have an impact on the implementation of a policy.

The Main Modifications have been the subject of Sustainability Appraisal, the results of which can be viewed in a separate Sustainability Appraisal Addendum published for consultation alongside the Main Modifications.

Changes that the Council are proposing to policies/supporting text are shown as **bold** and <u>underlined</u>, and proposed deleted text is shown as <del>strikethrough</del>. The Document Page number referred to in the schedule is the 'tracked changes' version which can be viewed separately.

Modification Reference	Document Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Table	Modified Text	Reasons for modification
	Number	Tubic		
MM1	23	New	A Housing and Economic Needs Development Assessment (HEDNA) has been undertaken for	To provide
		paragraph	the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing Market Area (HMA) which identifies the future	update
		5.4	housing and employment needs for the district. Whilst the HEDNA was completed towards	
			the end of the process of preparing this Plan it was considered and subjected to public	
			consultation as part of the evidence base and provides the basis for the housing and	
			employment provision made in this plan.	
MM2	23	Paragraph	It is essential that there is a sufficient supply of land for future business needs in order to	To provide
		5.4	ensure that the local economy continues to grow. We have had regard to work undertaken on	update
			behalf of the Leicester and Leicestershire Enterprise Partnership in 2013 by the Public and	
			Corporate Economic Consultants (PACEC) on this matter. This identified that up to 2031 there	
			would be 5,600 employment jobs created (that is those uses which fall within the B Use Class	
			of the Use Classes Order 2015). This then translates in to a need for about 96 hectares of land	

		(new paragraph 5.5)	for employment. This is the basis upon which the employment land needs of the plan are based.  The HEDNA has identified a need for 66 hectares of employment land (comprising those uses which fall within Class B1, B2 and B8 of less than 9,000sq metres (as defined by the Use Classes Order 2015). A study¹ in respect of the need for additional provision for distribution uses (Class B8) of more than 9,000 sq metres has identified a need for both additional road and rail connected sites across the HMA but it does not identify any specific requirements for individual districts/boroughs. Within North West Leicestershire such provision has already been made through a Strategic Rail Fright Interchange (SRFI) near to East Midlands Airport/junction 24 (referred to as Roxhill), which was approved by the Secretary of State in January 2016.	
MM3	24	Paragraph 5.5 (new paragraph 5.6)	North West Leicestershire forms part of the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing Market Area (HMA). In accordance with the NPPF a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) has been undertaken to identify future housing needs both across the HMA and for individual districts. For North West Leicestershire <a href="the HEDNA">the HEDNA</a> this identified an Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) of 285 to 350 481 dwellings each year for the period 2011-2031. This equates to a total of between 5,700 and 7,000 9,620 dwellings.	To provide update
MM4	24	Paragraph 5.6	However, we also have to have regard to other evidence before we can confirm whether this is the appropriate level of development to plan for. The Planning Practice Guidance advises that in identifying housing need account should be taken of "the likely change in job numbers". A Strategic Rail Freight Interchange (SRFI) near to East Midlands Airport/junction 24 (referred to as Roxhill), was approved by the Secretary of State in January 2016. It is estimated that it will create 7,400 jobs. In contrast the PACEC study referred to above forecast an increase in the number of jobs in the B8 Use Class (Storage or distribution) (which the vast majority of the	To provide update

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Leicester and Leicestershire Strategic Distribution Study

			new jobs at Roxhill would comprise of) of only 3,400. Therefore, the PACEC study does not	
			does not make sufficient allowance for jobs in the B8 sector.	
MM5	24	Paragraph	We commissioned a study (Review of Housing Requirements 2011-31 by JG Consulting) to look	To provide
		5.7	at what the potential impact of the SRFI with its projected additional 7,400 jobs would be in	update
			terms of housing needs. This work also updated the demographic data used to inform the	
			SHMA. It found that the most significant impact of the SRFI would be on North West	
			Leicestershire as just over 50% of all employees at the SRFI could be expected to be resident in	
			the district. The study concluded by recommending that an Objectively Assessed Need for	
			North West Leicestershire of 520 dwellings each year (or 10,400 dwellings over the plan period	
			would be appropriate). This is slightly less than the figure we had proposed in our draft Local	
			Plan in September 2015 (535 dwellings per annum).	
MM6	24	Paragraph	Having regard to this evidence this Local Plan makes provision sufficient to seeks to ensure	To provide
		5.8	that a minimum of 10,400 9,620 dwellings will be delivered over the plan period 2011-31.	update
		(New		
		paragraph		
		5.7)		
MM7	24/25	Paragraph	As noted at paragraph 1.14 we have, together with the other HMA authorities, commissioned	To provide
		5.9	a Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment (HEDNA) which will identify new	update
			housing and employment requirements. This work is currently scheduled to be completed in	
			September 2016. Following this a new Memorandum of Understanding (or equivalent) will be	
			agreed by the HMA authorities. It is possible that the HEDNA and subsequent agreement could	
			result in a change to the housing requirements of this Local Plan. We have considered whether	
			we should delay publication of the Local Plan until this work has been completed. However,	
			having regard to the Government's stated intention for local authorities to get local plans in	

			place by early 2017 and the advantages of having up-to-date policies in place we have concluded that such a delay would not be appropriate.	
MM8	25	Paragraph 5.10 (new paragraph 5.8)	Policy S1 recognises that there <u>is may be</u> a need to undertake an early review of the Local Plan in the event that the HEDNA and/or subsequent agreement require that additional provision be needed. This is because whilst the current total provision of employment land is about 291 hectares, there is a mismatch between the type of land identified as being required in the HEDNA and the actual provision. There is a shortfall of about 29 hectares when compared to the HEDNA requirement for Class B1, B2 and B8 of less than 9,000sq metres. This reflects the fact that the HEDNA was completed towards the end of the process of preparing this plan. In addition, it is apparent that not all of the other HMA will be able to accommodate their housing needs within their boundaries. The Council is committed to working with the other HMA authorities to agree how and where this unmet need will be accommodated. It may, therefore, be necessary for additional provision to be made for housing (and/or employment) when this work is completed.	To provide update
MM9	26	Policy S1	Policy S1 – Future housing and economic development needs  Over the plan period to 2031 provision is made for a minimum of 10,400 dwellings (520 dwellings each year), 96 hectares of land for employment purposes and 7,300 sq meters for shopping purposes, will be made to meet the housing and employment land needs of the district as identified in the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment (January 2017).  This means that:  • provision will be made for the development of a minimum of 9,620 dwellings (481 dwellings per annum) which is the Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) and Housing Requirement for the district;  • provision will be made for 66 hectares of land for employment purposes (B1, B2 and B8 of less than 9,000sq metres)	To confirm what the Objectively Assessed Need/Housing requirement is and to clarify that an early review of the plan will be undertaken and the

				timescales for
			Provision will also be made for 7,300sq metres for shopping purposes.	such a review.
			The Council will continue working collaboratively with other Authorities, including those in	
			Leicester & Leicestershire to establish through a Housing and Economic Development Needs	
			Assessment, objectively, the level of long term housing and economic growth required	
			including testing options for, and agreeing, its scale and distribution amongst the authorities	
			<del>concerned.</del>	
			In the event that this work indicates an additional need in North West Leicestershire, the	
			Council commits to bringing forward an early review of this Plan (either partial or otherwise)	
			unless there is sufficient flexibility within the Local Plan.	
			The Council will continue to work collaboratively with the Leicester & Leicestershire Housing	
			Market Area (HMA) authorities to establish the scale and distribution of any additional	
			provision that may be necessary in North West Leicestershire and elsewhere in the HMA as a	
			result of the inability of one or more authority to accommodate its own needs as identified	
			in the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment.	
			The District Council will commence a review of this Local Plan (defined as being publication	
			of an invitation to make representations in accordance with Regulation 18 of The Town and	
			Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012) by the end of January 2018 or	
			within 3 months of the adoption of this Local Plan (whichever is the later). The Plan Review	
			will be submitted for examination within two years from the commencement of the review.	
			In the event that the reviewed plan is not submitted within two years then this Local Plan will be deemed to be out of date.	
			will be decilled to be out of date.	
MM10	27/28	Policy S2	Policy S2 – Settlement Hierarchy	To provide
			The following Settlement Hierarchy will be used when assessing the suitability of a settlement	clarity in respect of
			for new development, with the general principle being that those settlements higher up the	proposals for
			hierarchy will take more growth than those lower down and that the type of development	the
<u> </u>			The state of the s	

proposed is appropriate to the scale and character of the se hierarchy.	ttlement and its place in the	redevelopment of suitable brownfield
Settlement Classification	Settlement(s)	sites situated outside
Principal Town		defined settlement
The primary settlement in the district which provides an extensive range of services and facilities including employment, leisure and shopping and which is accessible by <a href="mailto:public-sustainable">public-sustainable</a> transport from surrounding areas and to other large settlements outside the district. The largest amount of new development will be directed here, including retail development, to support the regeneration of	Coalville Urban Area which comprises of Coalville, Donington-le-Heath, Greenhill, Hugglescote, Snibston, Thringstone and Whitwick as well as the Bardon employment area.	limits.
Coalville Town Centre.  Key Service Centre		
Smaller than the Principal Town in terms of population and also the range of services and facilities they provide, they play an important role providing services and facilities to the surrounding area and are accessible by some public sustainable transport. A significant amount of development will take place in these settlements but less than that in the Principal Town.	Ashby de la Zouch  Castle Donington	
Local Service Centre		

Settlements which provide some services and facilities primarily of a local nature meeting day-to-day needs and where a reasonable amount of new development will take place  Sustainable Villages  Settlements which have a limited range of services and facilities where a limited amount of growth will take place within the defined Limits to Development.	Ibstock  Kegworth  Measham  Albert Village, Appleby Magna, Belton, Blackfordby, Breedon on the Hill, Coleorton (the Lower Moor Road area only), Diseworth, Donisthorpe, Ellistown, Heather, Long Whatton, Moira (including Norris Hill), Oakthorpe, Packington, Ravenstone, Swannington, Worthington.
Small Village  Settlements with very limited services and where development will be restricted to conversions of existing buildings or the redevelopment of previously developed land (as defined in the National Planning Policy Framework) or affordable housing in accordance with Policy H5 (Rural Exceptions Sites for Affordable Housing).  Hamlets  Small groups of dwellings with no services and facilities and where development will be considered	Battram, Coleorton (the part not considered to be a Sustainable Village), Griffydam, Hemington, Lockington, Lount, Newbold, Newton Burgoland, Osgathorpe, Peggs Green, Sinope, Snarestone, Swepstone, Spring Cottage, Tonge, Wilson.

			in the context of the countryside policy (Policy <u>S3</u> S4).  The re-use of previously developed land (as defined in the National Planning Policy Framework) will be supported where it is compatible with the settlement hierarchy set out above. The redevelopment of previously developed land for housing should be within or well-related to the Principal Town, a Key Service Centre, Local Service Centre, Sustainable Village or Small Village.  Any development provided for within this policy which discharges wastewater into the Mease catchment will be subject to the provisions of policy En2. Any such development which does not meet these provisions will not be permitted.	
MM11	29	Paragraph 5.20 (new paragraph 5.18)	Any further development in such settlements will be restricted to either infilling or physical extensions previously developed land which is well related to the settlement concerned."	To be consistent with Policy S2
MM12	30/31	Policy S3	(1) Land outside the Limits to Development is identified as countryside which will be protected for the sake of its intrinsic character and beauty, the diversity of its landscapes, heritage and wildlife, the wealth of its natural resources and to ensure it may be enjoyed by all where those uses listed (a) to (s) below will be supported, subject to those considerations set out in criteria (i) to (vi) below.  (2) In areas designated as Countryside on the Policies Map, development for the following uses will be supported:  (a) Agriculture including agricultural workers dwellings;	To provide clarity in respect of proposals for the redevelopment of suitable brownfield situated outside defined settlement

	(b)	Forestry including forestry workers dwellings;	limits and to
	(c)	The preservation of Listed Buildings;	cross refer to
	(d)	The re-use and adaptation of buildings for appropriate purposes including	policy Ec2.
		housing in accordance with the Settlement Hierarchy (Policy \$3\$2);	
	(e)	The redevelopment of previously developed land for housing in a Small Village	
		in accordance with Policy S2 the Settlement Hierarchy (Policy S3)	
	(f)	Flood protection;	
	(g)	Affordable housing in accordance with Policy H5;	
	(h)	The extension and replacement of dwellings;	
	(i)	Expansion of all types of business and enterprise in rural areas, both through	
		conversion of existing buildings and well designed new buildings;	
	(j)	Sites for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in accordance with	
		Policy H7;	
	(k)	Small-scale employment generating development or farm diversification;	
	(I)	Community services and facilities meeting a proven local need;	
	(m)	Development by statutory undertakers or public utility providers;	
	(n)	Recreation and tourism;	
	(o)	Renewable energy;	
	(p)	Development at East Midlands Airport in accordance with Policy Ec5;	
	(q)	Development at Donington Park Racetrack in accordance with Policy Ec8;	
	(r)	Transport infrastructure;	
	(s)	Employment land in accordance with the provisions of Policy Ec2	
(3)	Devel	lopments in accordance with (2) (a) to (s) above will be supported where:	
	( <del>a</del> i)	the appearance and character of the landscape, including its historic character	
		and features such as biodiversity, views, settlement pattern, rivers,	
		watercourses, field patterns, industrial heritage and local distinctiveness is	
		safeguarded and enhanced. Decisions in respect of impact on landscape	
		character and appearance will be informed by the Leicester, Leicestershire and	
		Rutland Historic Landscape Characterisation Study, National Character Areas	
		and any subsequent pieces of evidence; and	

			(b <u>ii)</u>	it does not undermine, either individually or cumula	tively with existing or				
				proposed development, the physical and perceived	,				
				undeveloped character between nearby settlements	•				
1				contiguous extensions to existing settlements or thr	•	n			
				isolated sites on land divorced from settlement boundaries; and					
1			( <u>eiii)</u>	it does not create or exacerbate ribbon developmen	t; and				
			( <u>div</u> )	built development is well integrated with existing de	evelopment and existi	ng			
			,	buildings, including the re-use of existing buildings, v	·	_			
			(e <u>v</u> )	the development will not seriously undermine the v	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
			\	existing town and local centres and;	,				
			(vi)	The proposed development is accessible, or will be	made accessible, by	<u>a</u>			
				range of sustainable transport.	-				
MM13	41	Table 2	Table 2– Hous	ing provision as at 1 October <del>2015</del> 2016		To provide up-			
						to-date			
					Number of	information			
					dwellings				
			Cor	mpletions 1 April 2011- 31 September 2015 2016(A)	<del>2,172</del> <b>2,690</b>				
			Und	ler construction (B)	<del>322</del> <u><b>471</b></u>				
			Plar	nning permission (C)	<del>5,207</del> <b>8,851</b>				
			Res	olution to grant planning permission (D)	<del>3,506-<b>541</b></del>				
			Tota	al provision (A+B+C+D)	<del>11,207</del> <b>12,553</b>				
MM14	41/42	New	The figures ab	ove include dwellings on sites of less than 10 dwellin	gs. We have consider	red For clarity			
	,	paragraph		hould make an allowance for unidentified sites which	_				
		7.5		d. These can either be small sites (usually those of le	-				
				or example perhaps a former factory site). Whilst hist					

			provided an important part of the supply, the provision of such sites is, by its nature, very uncertain. Therefore, for the purposes of estimating housing supply we have not made any allowance for such sites coming forward. However, should such sites come forward and planning permission be granted then they would count towards the housing supply at that point in time just as those which currently have planning permission are included in the figures at Table 2.	
MM15	42	7.8	As a result of these considerations we think it is likely that only about 9,600 dwellings in total will be built up to 2031 across the district (11,207 committed dwellings less 1,600 dwellings at south east Coalville that are not expected to be built by 2031). Therefore, we need to make provision for an additional 800 dwellings to ensure that the required number of dwellings (10,400) will be achieved.	To provide up- to-date information
MM16	42	7.9	We have considered whether we should make an allowance for unidentified sites which may come forward over the plan period. These can either be small sites (usually those of less than 10 dwellings) or larger sites (for example perhaps a former factory site). Whilst historically such sites have provided an important part of the supply, the provision of such sites is, by its nature, very uncertain. Therefore, for the purposes of estimating housing supply we have not made any allowance for such sites coming forward. However, should such sites come forward and planning permission be granted then they would count towards the housing supply at that point in time just as those which currently have planning permission are included in the figures at Table 2.	Paragraph moved for clarity
MM17	42	New paragraph 7.8	In addition, two of the sites with permission at Kegworth for 260 dwellings (Ashby Road Kegworth and south of A6, Derby Road, Kegworth) are both potentially affected by the route of HS2. There is, therefore, considerable doubt as to whether these sites will be able to be built during the plan period.	To ensure that the housing requirement will be met

MM18	42/43	New	Allowing for	the sites referred to above, together with uncertainties	s in respect of a small	To ensure that
		paragraph	number of o	ther sites with planning permission, it is estimated that	about 9,000 dwelling	the housing
		7.9	(including th	ose already built) will be built in the plan period. There	fore, we need to mak	e requirement
				at least a further 600 dwellings.	•	will be met
			_			
MM19	43/44	Policy H1	Policy H1 – H	ousing provision : planning permissions		To provide up
						-to-date
				g sites have the benefit of planning permission for housir	-	miormation
			event that pl	anning permission lapses on these sites it will be renewe	ed subject to the polici	es
			of this Local	Plan and any other material considerations including any	evidence in respect o	f
			deliverability	of any particular site.		
			A al a a l a	and the state of t	atawatan bata tha NAsa	
				ment provided for within this policy which discharges wa		
				ill be subject to the provisions of policy En2. Any such de	evelopment which doe	es es
			not meet the			
				Site	Capacity	
			H1a	Off Measham Road, Appleby Magna	39 dwellings	
			H1b	Off Top Street, Appleby Magna	29 dwellings	
			H1c	3 Top Street, Appleby Magna	12 dwellings	
			H1d	Holywell Spring Farm, Burton Road Ashby de la Zouch	400 dwellings	
			H1e <u>a</u>	Holywell Mill, Ashby de la Zouch	44 dwellings	
			H1b	Land north of Ashby de la Zouch	605 dwellings	
			H1f	Off Leicester Road, Ashby de la Zouch	101 dwellings	
			H1g	South of Burton road, Ashby de la Zouch	<del>275 dwellings</del>	
			H1h	Former depot Kilwardby Street, Ashby de la Zouch	32 dwellings	
			H1 <u>ic</u>	South of Park Lane, Castle Donington	895 dwellings	
			H1j	Rear of 138 Bardon Road, Coalville	132 dwellings	
			H1k	Rear of 164-222 Bardon Road, Coalville	<del>77 dwellings</del>	
			H11	Former deport Highfield Street, Coalville	<del>28 dwellings</del>	
			H1m	Land off North Avenue, Coalville	17 dwellings	
			H1 <del>n</del> <b>d</b>	Standard Hill/West of Highfield Street, Coalville	400 dwellings	

			H1f H1o H1pg	Off Kane Close Coalville The Farm, Manor Road, Donington-le-Heath	21 dwellings	
			l -	The Farm, Manor Road, Donington-le-Heath	1.4 durollings	
			H1 <del>p</del> g		14 dwellings	
				Acresford Road, Donisthorpe	36 dwellings	
			H1 <del>q<b>h</b></del>	Land north and south of Grange Road , Hugglescote	<del>800</del> -3,500	
			-		dwellings	
			H1r	Castle Inn, Dennis Street, Hugglescote	10 dwellings	
			H1s	Rear of Frearson Road, Hugglescote	188 dwellings	
			H1ŧ <u>i</u>	South of Grange Road, Hugglescote	105 dwellings	
			H1 <del>u</del> j	Station Road, Ibstock	142 dwellings	
			H1 <b>∀<u>k</u></b>	Ashby Road, Kegworth	110 dwellings	
			<u>H1I</u>	Slack & Parr , Long Lane, Kegworth	188 dwellings	
			<u>H1m</u>	Land south of A6, Derby Road, Kegworth	150 dwellings	
			H1w	New Street, Measham	20 dwellings	
			H1x	Atherstone Road, Measham	77 dwellings	
			H1y	Off Measham Road, Moira	80 dwellings	
			H1 <u>z</u> n	Cresswells Coaches, Shortheath Road, Moira	24 dwellings	
			H1 <del>aa</del> o	Home Farm, Main Street, Oakthorpe	29 dwellings	
			H1ab	166 Spring cottage Road, Overseal	11 dwellings	
			Н1 <del>ас</del> <b>р</b>	Dawsons Road, Osgathorpe	16 dwellings	
			H1 <del>ad</del> q	South of Normanton Road, Packington	30 dwellings	
			H1 <del>ae</del> r	Heather Lane, Ravenstone	50 dwellings	
			H1af	Loughborough Road, Thringstone	85 dwellings	
			H1ag	61-65 Grace Dieu Road, Whitwick	12 dwellings	
MM20	44/45	Policy H2	Policy H2 – H	ousing provision: resolutions		To provide up
						-to-date
			The Council h	nas resolved to grant planning permission for housing de	evelopment on the sites	information
			listed below.	The Council will work with developers and applicants to	ensure that the legal	
			agreements	associated with these developments are completed as e	fficiently as possible so	
				ion can be issued. Once planning permission is granted i		
			·		t will be subject to the	
			provisions of	POIICY H1.		

			not granted Committee	e has been a delay in the signing of a legal agreeme, it may be necessary for the application to be refer for account to be taken of any material change in cion grant permission.	red back to Planning			
			catchment	any development provided for within this policy which discharges wastewater into the Mease atchment will be subject to the provisions of policy En2. Any such development which does ot meet these provisions will not be permitted.				
				Site	Capacity			
			H2a	Off Jackson Street, Coalville	129 dwellings			
			H2b	Off Kane Close, Coalville	21 dwellings			
			<del>H2c</del>	North and south of Grange Road, Hugglescote	<del>2,700 dwellings</del>			
			H2d	Slack & Parr, Long Lane, Kegworth	188 dwellings			
			H2 <u>e</u> a	West of High Street, Measham	450 dwellings			
			H2f <b>b</b>	Land at Blackfordby Lane, Moira	18 dwellings			
MM21	45	Paragraph 7.13		at paragraph 7.8 it is necessary to identify additional following will be sufficient to meet the housing			Factual update	
MM22	45	Paragraph 7.15	concluded to details about Sustainabilithousing Lare (Former Arle (H1b). They proposed in	chat the most appropriate allocations and sites to that the most appropriate allocation would be is not ut this assessment can be found in the supporting Appraisal). This area comprises two sites which and Availability Assessment Site A5 (Land north of A a dairy, Smisby Road). Part of site A5 has planning remainder of A5 together with the whole of Ancluded as a single allocation. The overall scale to the site (including that part which has planning).	orth of Ashby de la Zouding Background Paper on are identified in our Sashby, Money Hill) and or germission for 605 december adjoin each other of development that or	ch (more and the Strategic Site A22 Iwellings and are could be	For clarity and to provide up- to-date information	

MM23	46	Paragraph	Land west of High Street Measham ( <u>H2ea</u> ) is potentially affected by the <del>currently preferred</del>	For clarity
		7.18	proposed route for HS2. In order to provide flexibility in the event that the final route of HS2	
			does impact upon the site If this route is confirmed as the final route some, if not all, of this	
			development will not be provided. It would, therefore, be necessary it is proposed to make	
			alternative provision to ensure that the overall requirement is still met. Our preference would	
			be to make this provision elsewhere in Measham. We have assessed the various sites	
			identified in the SHLAA. Whilst a number of the sites are quite small and or now have planning	
			permission there are two large areas identified in the SHLAA; one off Atherstone Road (sites	
			M6 and M7) and one off Ashby Road/ Leicester Road (sites M11 and M12). The site off	
			Atherstone Road is largely in use as a brick and pipe manufacturing works. Our preference,	
			therefore, is to identify land off Ashby Road/Leicester Road as a reserve site should the route	
			of HS2 prohibit the development of land west of High Street.	
MM24	46	New	As noted at paragraphs 7.8 two sites at Kegworth are also potentially affected by the route	To ensure that
		paragraph	of HS2. Land south of Ashby Road, Kegworth is therefore, proposed as an alternative to	the housing
		7.20	these sites in the event that the route of HS2 does prohibit their development. The site is	requirement
			capable of accommodating about 110 dwellings so it will not make up all the shortfall that	will be met
			would result from the loss of these two sites.	
MM25	47	Policy H3	Policy H3 – Housing provision: new allocations	
			The following sites are allocated for housing development, subject to meeting the specified	
			requirements set out below. These sites will be subject to a Section 106 Agreement to secure	
			the provision of any specific requirements including on and off-site infrastructure.	To provide
			H3a - Land north of Ashby de la Zouch ( <u>about 2,050</u> <del>1,750</del> dwellings in total)	clarity as to
			Development will be subject to the following requirements:	the overall scale of
			(i) provision for suitable and safe access from the A511 (the principal vehicular access	development
			route), Smisby Road (the secondary vehicular access point) and Nottingham Road	

<ul> <li>(iv) provision of a range of infrastructure including a new primary school, extensions to secondary schools, affordable housing, open spaces, green infrastructure and community facilities and enhanced public transport provision; and</li> <li>(v) design and layout of the proposed development should have due regard to the protection and enhancement of Heritage Assets including minimise the impact upon the setting of Ashby de la Zouch Conservation Area and the Ashby Castle Scheduled Ancient Monument, Parish Church of St Helen and Ashby de la Zouch Conservation Area; and</li> <li>(vi) provision for the discharge of wastewater into the Mease catchment in accordance with the provisions of policy En2. Development which does not meet these provisions will not be permitted. In addition, development will not be permitted until a second 'development window' for the Developer Contributions Scheme has been agreed and no more than 600 dwellings will be allowed to be built until provision is made for pumping wastewater from the sewage treatment works at Packington out of the</li> </ul>	To provide clarity  To provide clarity
·	

		-		
			(vii) provision of a mineral assessment identifying the potential effect of the proposed	
			development on the mineral resources beneath and adjacent to the site; and	
			(viii) A comprehensive Masterplan prepared in consultation with stakeholders, including	
			both the district and town council and agreed in writing with the local planning	
			authority for the comprehensive development of the site which identifies a range	To reflect
			of land uses (including residential, employment and commercial uses, green	discussions at
			infrastructure and open spaces, pedestrian and cycle links within and beyond the	the
			site and community facilities) and their relationship to each other and existing	examination
			development in the vicinity of the site and what measures will be put in place to	regarding the
			protect amenity of existing residential areas.	need for a
				masterplan to
				provide
				certainty as to
				the likely
				future
				development of the site
				or the site
MM26	48/49	Policy H3c	H3c - Land of <u>f</u> Ashby Road/Leicester Road, Measham (about 420 <u>300</u> dwellings)	To reflect the
				most up-to-
			Development of this site will be supported in the event that the proposed route of HS2, when	date
			confirmed, prohibits the development of land west of High Street Measham (Policy H2e).	information
			Development of this site will be supported when:	
			(a) The Government confirms the line of HS2 in the vicinity of Measham; and	
			(b) The confirmed route passes through land West of High Street Measham (H2a);	To provide
			and	clarity as to the
			(c) The site West of High Street Measham is not capable of being developed in its	circumstances
			entirety as a result of the alignment of HS2.	in which the
			entitiety as a result of the dilginient of fish	development

					of the site would be supported.
MM27	49	New policy	H3d - Land	south of Ashby Road, Kegworth (about 110 dwellings)	To ensure that
		H3d	Developme	ent of this site will be supported when:	sufficient provision is
			(d)	The Government confirms the line of HS2 in the vicinity of Kegworth; and	made to meet the housing
			(e)	The confirmed route passes through those sites north of Ashby Road Kegworth	requirement
				and/or south of Derby Road Kegworth which currently benefit from planning	and to
				permission for housing development; and	establish the
			(f)	Either of the two sites is not capable of being developed in their entirety as a	circumstances
				result of the alignment of HS2.	in which the development
			Developme	ent will be subject to the following:	of the site would be
			(i)	Provision of vehicular access from Ashby Road; and	supported.
			(ii)	The proposed development being compatible with the route of the proposed	
				Kegworth bypass; and	
			(iii)	Provision of walking and cycling connections from the site to Kegworth centre;	
				<u>and</u>	
			(iv)	Provision of a range of infrastructure including contributions towards education	
				provision, affordable housing, open spaces, green infrastructure and	
				community facilities and enhanced public transport provision; and	
			(v)	The incorporation of appropriate measures to mitigate the impact of any noise	
				issues associated with the M1, HS2 or East Midlands Airport.	
MM28	50	Paragraph	The NPPF r	equires that the Council maintain a 5 year supply of housing sites. As at 1 October	To provide up
		7.22		for the 5 year period to October 202 $ extstyle{01}$ and based on the projected completions in	to-date
			the trajecto	ory there is a supply of <u>between</u> 5.4 <u>6.34</u> years <u>(with a 20% buffer) and 7.24 years</u>	information

		(now	(with a 5% buffer). More deta	ails about this are set out	in the Housing Background Pa	<del>oer</del>	
		paragraph	published alongside this Local	<del>Plan</del> .	<u> </u>		
		7.23)					
		,					
MM29	50	Paragraph	The trajectory identifies that 8	<del>,597</del> <b>7,902</b> dwellings will	be developed by 2031, in addi	tion to	To provide up-
		7.23	the <del>2,172</del> <b>2,690</b> which were b	uilt from April 2011 to 1	October 2015 <u>6</u> . Therefore, it is		to-date
			projected that <del>10,769</del> <b>10,592</b> (	dwellings will be develope	ed over the plan period. This is	more	information
		(now	than the identified requirement		<u> </u>		and to provide
		paragraph )	additional provision in supply				clarity
		7.24	some of the identified sites de	o not come forward as pr	redicted. In addition, as alread	y noted	
			there may be a need to accon	nmodate additional hous	ing development from elsewh	ere in	
			the Housing Market Area. The	erefore, this level of supp	oly will help to make an immed	<u>liate</u>	
			contribution to any additiona	I provision required and	so will ensure that supply con	<u>tinues to</u>	
			be made whilst the review of	the Plan which is commi	tted to in Policy S1 takes place	<u>e.</u>	
MM30	51/52/53	Policy H4	Policy H4: Affordable Housing				
			(1) To support the provisi	on of mixed sustainable	communities the Council will s	eek the	
					g developments. <b>The provision</b>		
			-	•	ving thresholds above which <del>pr</del>		
				level of contributions wi	_		
				<u></u>			
			Greenfield Sites				
			<u>Greenieu Sites</u>				
			Settlement	Minimum Affordable	Threshold		
				Housing Contribution			To provide a
			Ashby de la Zouch	30%	15 or more dwellings		consistent
					11 or more dwellings OR		threshold
					1,000sqm (gross floor		above which
					space)		affordable
			Castle Donington	30%	15 or more dwellings		

			11 or more dwellings OR	housing will be
			1,000sqm (gross floor	required.
			space)	
	Coalville Urban Area	20%	15 or more dwellings	]
			11 or more dwellings OR	
			1,000sqm (gross floor	
			space)	
	Ibstock	20%	11 or more dwellings OR	]
			1,000 (gross) floor space	
	Kegworth	30%	11 or more dwellings OR	]
			1,000 (gross) floor space	
	Measham	30%	11 or more dwellings OR	]
			1,000 (gross) floor space	
	All other settlements	30%	11 or more dwellings OR	]
			1 000 /24222   1224	
	Previously Developed L	and	1,000 (gross) floor space	
	-			
	Previously Developed L  Settlement	Minimum	Threshold	
	-	Minimum Affordable Housing		
	Settlement	Minimum  Affordable Housing  Contribution	<u>Threshold</u>	
	-	Minimum Affordable Housing	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR	
	Settlement  Ashby de la Zouch	Minimum Affordable Housing Contribution 15%	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more	
	Settlement	Minimum  Affordable Housing  Contribution	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR	To support the
	Settlement  Ashby de la Zouch  Castle Donington	Minimum Affordable Housing Contribution 15% 5%	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more	development
	Settlement  Ashby de la Zouch	Minimum Affordable Housing Contribution 15%	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 310 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more	development of previously
	Settlement  Ashby de la Zouch  Castle Donington  Coalville Urban Area	Minimum Affordable Housing Contribution 15% 5%	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 31 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more	development of previously developed
	Settlement  Ashby de la Zouch  Castle Donington	Minimum Affordable Housing Contribution 15% 5%	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR	development of previously developed land for
	Settlement  Ashby de la Zouch  Castle Donington  Coalville Urban Area  Ibstock	Minimum Affordable Housing Contribution  15%  5%  5%  5%	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more	development of previously developed land for housing by
	Settlement  Ashby de la Zouch  Castle Donington  Coalville Urban Area	Minimum Affordable Housing Contribution 15% 5%	Threshold  30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more 30 or more dwellings OR	development of previously developed land for

<u>Measham</u>	<u>15%</u>	30 or more dwellings OR sites of 1Ha or more	onerous requirements
All other	5%	30 or more dwellings OR	requirements
settlements	370	sites of 1Ha or more	
<ul> <li>(2) In agreeing the provision</li> <li>site size and site size and size size size and size size size size size size size size</li></ul>	te constraints; and ty, having regard to the strated that the full ability of a proposed of to increase viability	sing account will be taken of:  the individual circumstances of the affordable housing requirement will development then the Council will in accordance with Policy IM1 al Plan) before agreeing to a lesser	rould agree to
of affordable housing s  (3) The Council's preference		on of part (4) below.	uld:
	of types and tenure the application is deterr	nat reflects the type and nature of mined; and	any need
	_	l layout of a development such tha m market housing on the same site	•
agreed amount of afformation and the longer term the longer te	rdable housing. When	al agreement to secure the provision re a site is likely to be developed in Ilude a suitable mechanism to revie r time as viability improves.	n phases

(5) The Council will encourage the provision of affordable homes to meet the need of

elderly people. Where bungalow provision is made the Council will consider reducing

			the overall level of affordable housing contribution, having regard to the type and size of other affordable housing provided across the site.	
MM31	54	New paragraphs 7.35 to 7.38	In regards to previously developed land, the Viability Study tested a range of scenarios to assess the viability of affordable housing on both greenfield and brownfield sites. The Study identified that affordable housing viability on brownfield sites is generally more constrained compared to greenfield sites.  The Viability Study identifies that brownfield sites in areas such as Coalville and Castle Donington, for example, have a higher value for employment land compared to residential.  The generally higher development costs of previously developed land (compared to greenfield sites) can impact upon site viability and so will require a different approach in respect of associated development costs, including affordable housing.  Therefore, to ensure the Local Plan responds to this evidence and to assist the viability and therefore delivery of brownfield sites Policy H4 provides for different affordable housing requirements for greenfield and brownfield sites.	To provide clarity as to the justification for the approach taken to affordable housing on previously developed land.
MM32	63	8.16	The PACEC study, which has been used to inform our future employment needs, identified that in 2010 just under half of all jobs were provided by the employment sector. It is by far the most significant sector for job opportunities and the creation of prosperity. It is important therefore, that the local plan ensures the provision of sufficient land for such uses. This requires not only the provision of new land but also the protection of key employment areas against non-employment uses.	To provide up- to-date information
MM33	64	Paragraph 8.17 (new paragraph 8.14)	As noted in policy S2, provision needs to be made for a minimum of 9666 Hectares of land for employment purposes during the plan period. As with housing, we are not starting with a blank sheet of paper; a number of developments have taken place since 2011 and there are also a number of sites where planning permission has previously been granted for some form of employment development.	To provide up- to-date information

MM34	64	Paragraph	In addition to the existing provision, we need to consider whether some land currently in use	To provide up-
		8.18	for employment purposes could be lost to other uses. For example, older stock which may	to-date
			become not-fit-for purpose during the plan period. Over the period 1991-2011 a total of 23.54	information
		(new	hectares of employment land was lost to housing. This equates to 1.18 hectares each year. If	
		paragraph	this rate were to be repeated over the period of this plan then there would be a loss of about	
		8.15)	24 hectares (i.e. 20 X 1.18). More recently for 2011-14 some 8.53 hectares of employment	
			land has been lost to housing (an annual average of 2.84 hectares). If this rate were to be	
			repeated during the remainder of the plan period (17 years) then about 48 hectares of	
			employment land would be lost. An Assessment of Employment Sites study was a	
			comprehensive survey of employment sites in the district which considered which the most	
			suitable sites were to retain in employment use and which might reasonably be considered	
			for other uses. Those sites which were identified as being potentially suitable for release to	
			other uses totalled 25.8ha. Of these 15.4ha has already been (or are being) redeveloped for	
			other uses, principally housing. Therefore, this leaves only 10.4ha as potentially suitable for	
			release to other uses.	
MM35	64	8.19	It is not possible to predict with any great certainty as to how much existing employment land	Issue now
			might be lost in the future. The fact that the average figure for 2011-14 is higher than the	considered in
			previous 20 years possibly reflects the state of the economy (i.e. limited demand for	new paragrapl
			employment space) and the governments stated aim of boosting housing supply (i.e.	8.15
			competing alternative uses which are more commercially viable). The average figure for 2011-	
			14 may, therefore, be considered too high. However, we have only considered employment	
			land lost to housing. It is likely that employment land will be lost other uses as well. Whilst	
			these uses may generate jobs in their own right, they would be non-employment uses.	
MM36	64	8.20	Having considered these factors it would be prudent to be cautious and so a figure of 45	Issue now
			hectares of employment land lost to other uses is assumed for the purpose of calculating the	considered in
			need for additional employment land.	new paragrapl
				8.15

MM37	65	Table 5	Table 5 – Employment land provision	as at 1 October <del>20</del>	<del>5</del> 2016		To provide up-
					T .	1	to-date
			Requirement 2011-31	<del>96</del> 66 Ha	Α		information
			Starts 2011- <del>15</del> 16	<del>7.75</del> <b>6.81</b> Ha	В		
			Commitments	127.04 29.86Ha	С		
			Residual requirement (A-B	- 38.79 <b>29.33Ha</b>	D		
			Allowance for potential los of employment land	s 45- <u>10Ha</u>	E		
			Residual requirement (D-E)	<del>-6.21</del> 39На			
MM38	65	Paragraph	It can be seen that the effect of this a	llowance is that ther	e is a s	mall shortfall of about 6 <u><b>39</b></u>	To provide up-
		8.22	hectares compared to the requireme				to-date
		Inou	the potential loss of existing employ	ment land). This sho	rtfall is	addressed at Policy Ec2.	information
		(new					
		paragraph 8.17)					
		0.17)					
MM39	64/65	Policy Ec1	Policy Ec1 - Employment provision: po	ermissions			To provide up-
					•		to-date
			The following sites have the benefit of	. • .		• •	information
			where development has yet to comm		-	• ,	
			these sites it will be renewed subject	•		•	
			considerations including any evidence	e in respect of delive	rability	of any particular site.	

		1					
			1	pment provided for within this policy which dischar	_		
			catchment	will be subject to the provisions of policy En2. Any	such develop	ment which does	
			not meet t	hese provisions will not be permitted.			
			<u> </u>				
				Site	Site Area	Use	
					(Hectares)	Class	
			EC1a	Former Lounge disposal point, Ashby de la Zouch	25.5	B8	
			EC1b	Rear of Charnwood Arms, Bardon	1.2	B1	
			EC1c	Off Beveridge Lane/South Lane, Bardon	3.9	<del>B1, 2.8</del>	
			EC1d	Off Beveridge Lane, Ellistown	<del>25.0</del>	<del>B2,8</del>	
			EC1ec	Land at Sawley crossroads, Sawley	24.88	B1, B8	
			north of Ea	ermission has also been granted for a Strategic Rail ast Midlands Airport/west of Junction 24 of the M1 (at that the permission lapses the Council will suppor Significant Infrastructure Project process (or any eq	(site EC1f <u>d</u> or t its renewal	the policies map). through the	
				the policies of this Local Plan and any other materian respect of deliverability.	l consideratio	ons including any	
MM40	67/68	Policy Ec2	Policy Ec2	- Employment allocations: new allocations New Em	ployment sit	es	
			within the P1 Hea Class, and			To ensure that the amenity of	

	1
(d) The provision of appropriate landscaping, planting and other features so as to	residential
minimise the impact upon the adjoining housing development proposed under	properties in
Policy H3a as well as the impacts on the wider landscape and biodiversity; and	the vicinity is
(e) Design and layout of the proposed development should have due regard to the	protected
protection and enhancement of Heritage Assets including Ashby Castle	
Scheduled Ancient Monument, Parish Church of St Helen and Ashby de la Zouch	
Conservation Area; minimise the impact upon the setting of Ashby de la Zouch	To provide
Conservation Area and the Ashby Castle Scheduled Ancient Monument; and	clarity
(f) The provision of cycle and walking links to the adjoining housing development proposed under Policy H3a; and	
(g) The provision of green infrastructure links, providing both a <u>n</u> ecological connectivity and footpath and cycle links, within the development and to the wider area; and	
<ul> <li>(h) A comprehensive Masterplan prepared in consultation with stakeholders, including both the district and town council and agreed in writing with the local planning authority for the comprehensive development of the site which identifies a range of land uses (including residential, employment and commercial uses, green infrastructure and open spaces, pedestrian and cycle links within and beyond the site and community facilities) and their relationship to each other and existing development in the vicinity of the site and what measures will be put in place to protect amenity of existing residential areas; and</li> <li>(i) Provision for the discharge of wastewater into the Mease catchment in accordance with the provisions of policy En2. Development which does not meet these provisions will not be permitted. In addition, development will not be permitted until a second 'development window' for the Developer Contributions Scheme has been agreed.</li> </ul>	To reflect discussions at the examination regarding the need for a masterplan to provide certainty as to the likely future

			(2) Where evidence indicates an immediate need or demand for additional employment	development
			land (B1, B2 and B8) in North West Leicestershire that cannot be met from land	of the site.
			allocated in this plan, the Council will consider favourably proposals that meet the	
			identified need in appropriate locations subject to the proposal:	
			(a) Being accessible or will be made accessible by a choice of means of transport,	
			including sustainable transport modes, as a consequence of planning permission	
			being granted for the development; and	
			(b) Having good access to the strategic highway network (M1, M42/A42 and A50)	To establish
			and an acceptable impact on the capacity of that network, including any	criteria for
			junctions; and	considering
			, and the second	proposals for
			(c) Not being detrimental to the amenities of any nearby residential properties or	employment
			the wider environment.	land not
				specifically
				allowed for in
				the plan.
MM41	68	New	The provision of this site will result in a shortfall compared to the HEDNA (excluding an	To provide up-
		paragraph	allowance for the potential loss of existing employment land) of about 13 hectares. This will	to-date
		8.26	be addressed through the review of the Local Plan committed to in Policy S1 (taking account	information
			of any additional employment land which might be redistribution to the district from	and for clarity
			elsewhere in the HMA) and through the determination, against Ec2 (2) of any planning	,
			applications which come forward in the meantime.	
MM42	73/74	Policy Ec4	Policy Ec4: East Midlands Airport	
			(1) The growth of East Midlands Airport will be supported provided development that gives	For
			rise to a material increase in airport capacity or capability:	clarification

			/2)	Is limited to that passessary to support an airport canable of handling up to 10	
			(a)	Is limited to that necessary to support an airport capable of handling up to 10	
				million passenger and 1.2 million tonnes of cargo per year; and	
			(b)	Incorporates measures that will reduce the number of local residents affected	
				by noise as a result of the airport's operation, as well as the impact of noise on	
				the wider landscape; and	
			(c)	Incorporates measures to ensure that local air quality satisfies relevant	
				standards; and	
			(d)	Is accompanied by improvements in public transport access to the airport and	
				other measures that will reduce the level of airport-generated road traffic (per	
				passenger); and	
			(e)	Will protect and enhance heritage assets within the vicinity of the airport.	
			(2) Within	the boundaries of the airport, as defined on the Policies Map, development will	
			be limit	red to:	
			(a)	Operational facilities and infrastructure; and	
			(b)	Passenger and terminal facilities; and	
			(c)	Cargo facilities; and	
			(d)	Airport ancillary infrastructure where the proposed development requires and	
				benefits from an airport location and is of a scale that is appropriate to that	
				relationship; and	
			(e)	Landscape works; and	
			(f)	Internal highways and infrastructure; and	
			(g)	Improvements to public transport and airport customer car parking.	
MM43	96/97	Policy IF4		nsport Infrastructure and new development	
			(1) The Co		
				ncil, working with the highway authorities, will ensure that development takes of the impact upon the highway network and the environment, including climate	
				and incorporates safe and accessible connections to the transport network to	To ensure that
			-	ravel choice, including by non-car modes, for residents and commuters,	new
					development
					Gevelopinent

<ul> <li>businesses and employees. In assessing proposals regard will be had to any Transport Assessment/Statement and Travel Plan prepared to support the application.</li> <li>(2) New development will be expected to maximise accessibility by sustainable modes of transport, having regard to the nature and location of the development site and contribute towards improvement of the following where there is a demonstrable impact as a result of the proposed development:</li> <li>(a) The provision of cycle links within and beyond sites so as to create a network of cycleways across the district, including linkages to key Green Infrastructure;</li> <li>(b) The provision of public footpath links within and beyond sites so as to enhance the network of footpaths across the district, including linkages to key Green Infrastructure;</li> <li>(c) The provision of new public transport services, or the enhancement of existing services, to serve new developments so that accessibility by non-car modes to essential services and facilities, such as shops, schools and employment, is maximised.</li> </ul>	takes full account of the need to minimise its impact on climate change factors
(3) Where new development has a demonstrable impact upon the highway network contributions towards improvements will be sought commensurate with the impact.  The following specific highway improvements are identified as priorities:	
(d) Strategic road improvements  • J22 of M1  • J13 of A42	
<ul> <li>(e) Local road improvements</li> <li>the A511 corridor between J22 of the M1 and J13 of the A42</li> </ul>	

MM44	107/108	Policy En2	Amend part 3 to state:	
			In the event that there is no headroom capacity available at the appropriate wastewater treatment works, or there is no capacity available within the Developer Contributions  Scheme in operation at the time that an application is determined or exceptionally where as part of the development it is proposed to use a non-mains drainage solution for the disposal of foul water and this is supported by the Environment Agency, development will only be allowed where it can be demonstrated that the proposed development, on its own and cumulatively with other development, will not have an adverse impact, directly or indirectly, upon the integrity of the river Mease Special Area of Conservation.	To provide clarity
MM45	118/119	Policy He1	(2) There will be a presumption against development that will lead to substantial harm to, or total loss of significance of a designated heritage asset. Proposals will be refused consent, unless it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh the harm or loss or all of the following apply:	
			<ul> <li>The nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and</li> <li>no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term through appropriate marketing that will enable its conservation; and</li> <li>conservation by grant-funding or some form of charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and</li> <li>The harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.</li> </ul>	
			Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefit s of the proposal, including securing its optimum viable use.	

			(2) There will be a presumption in favour of development that would conserve the significance of a heritage asset and its setting. Any development proposal which would cause harm to a heritage asset will not be supported unless the works are justified, there are overriding public benefits and mitigation measures are secured.	As agreed with Historic England so as to provide clarity
MM46	123/124	Policy Cc1	<ul> <li>(1) Planning applications for renewable energy including any new grid connection lines and any ancillary infrastructure and buildings associated with the development will be supported where:         <ul> <li>(a) There is no unacceptable impact on residential amenity in terms of noise, shadow flicker, vibration and visual dominance; and</li> <li>(b) There is no adverse impact on the landscape character taking account of the special qualities set out within the individual National Character Areas; and</li> <li>(c) All impacts on, biodiversity have been adequately mitigated or enhanced; and</li> <li>(d) The special qualities—of all heritage designations including their settings are conserved or enhanced; Heritage assets and their settings are conserved or enhanced; and</li> <li>(e) Proposals take account of the cumulative effect that would result from the proposal in conjunction with permitted and existing renewable energy schemes; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	As agreed with Historic England so as to provide clarity