

NWLDC Response to Examiners Questions – 31/01/2019

The Examiners original questions are shown in black below. The NWLDC response is shown in red beneath each question.

1. I have concerns about the scale of Figure 2 of the Plan for effective development management. Figure 2 shows the Limits of Development at Ellistown. Figure 4 shows the proposed Local Green Spaces (LGS). Therefore, I request that I am provided with a map which combines the proposals onto a Figure 2 Inset map for Ellistown village at the scale of Figure 4, which would show the Parish boundary, the Limits of Development and the two LGS. Figure 4 could remain as it is, but retitled to refer to the evidence document where the various open spaces are listed, including the two sites (13 and 14) which are described as “Not eligible”.

Please see high resolution version of the map provided in Appendix 1.

2. Please also provide an Inset Map for the extreme eastern section of Figure 2, where the Limits of Development are irregular.

Please see high resolution version of the map provided in Appendix 2.

3. What is the background to the 6.6ha LGS15 Penny Wakefield community woodland? It appears to be defined in the North West Leicestershire Local Plan (NWLLP) Inset 10 as Ec3 – Primary Employment Area. However, it could be land left over after the development of the large warehouse immediately to the east (Amazon?) and so is surplus to requirements. Alternatively, the land may have been part of an arranged community use when planning permission was granted for the warehouse. Should it become LGS, is there any conflict with the Ec3 definition in the NWLLP, the policy for which includes the statement that the Primary Employment Areas defined on the Policies map will be retained for employment generating uses within the Use Classes B1, B2 and B8?

The land in question is a SUDS, the developer had a woodland put in there as well to create a buffer between the houses and the warehousing and also to make it a space that the community could use. This was secured as part of s106 agreement.

4. Figure 4 of the Plan shows LGS, Sport and Recreation Open Space, Amenity Open Space and the two “Not eligible” sites. Am I correct in assuming that the Open Spaces, other than the LGS, are not intended to have LGS policy applied to them?

No they are not intended to have LGS policy applied.