



# LOCAL PLAN

22/11/2016

Ref:
(For official use only)

## Publication Stage Representation Form

### North West Leicestershire Local Plan Proposed Submission

Please return this form to North West Leicestershire District Council either by post:

Planning Policy, North West Leicestershire District Council, Council Offices, Whitwick Road, Coalville LE67 3FJ or email [planning.policy@nwleicestershire.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@nwleicestershire.gov.uk) no later than **5pm on 15 August 2016**.

This form has two parts-

**Part A** – Personal Details

**Part B** – Your Representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

### Part A

#### 1. Personal Details

#### 2. Agent Details

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details for the agent in 2.*

Title	MR - MRS	
First Name	DAVID · JENNIFER	
Last Name	HUMPHRIES	
Job Title <i>(Where relevant)</i>		
Organisation <i>(Where relevant)</i>		
Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
Email Address <i>(Where relevant)</i>		

**Part B - Please use a separate sheet for each representation**

**Name or Organisation:**

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph(s)  Policy  Policies Map

4. Do you Consider the Local Plan is:  
(Please tick as appropriate)

- |  |     |                          |    |                                     |
|--|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| i) Legally Compliant                         | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox" value="NO"/> |
| ii) Sound                                    | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| iii) Complies with the<br>Duty to co-operate | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox" value="NO"/> |

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We Requested the Limits of Line<sup>be</sup> Retained in its ORIGINAL Position on the current LOCAL PLAN of which there is a building Plot and is sustainable. We wrote to the PLANNING officer JENNY DAVIS who wrote back and identified a building Plot within the Limits of development and is sustainable. Further letters were sent she replied back

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

stating that she would not give this plot, officer recommendation she has already passed & on the same site in the current Limits of development we request that the Local Plan Line be put Back to the current Limits of development reinstating the building Plot

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Reinstate Limits of Line Development  
Reinstate identified Building Plot  
THAT the PLANNING officer identified is there

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination.

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Having received correspondence from the planning officer on the PPI that was sent to her she wrote back copies of letter are enclosed so that I have view as to why she should go against what she has said

**Please note** the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

3.8.2016



No 111

14.11.2015

NORTH West Leics  
CONVILLE

Planning Department

Senior Planning Officer

Jenny Davis

INSIDE Limits of Development

In response to your letter of the 17 June 2015 my  
apologies for the delay in responding back to you

Proposed Plan is MASEFIELD close MASHAM

Enclosed are plans relating to Land adjacent to  
No 18 MASEFIELD close MASHAM we await your comments

18 MASEFIELD close MASHAM

You mention a dwelling being refused in May 1989

You noted that a dwelling had approval opposite adjacent  
to No 13 MASEFIELD close I bring to your attention a plot  
was refused ~~1/100~~ where you have now passed

### Flood Risk

The Plot is in Flood Zone (1) building Land. inside Limits Develop  
it ~~is~~ out ~~into~~ Flood Zone 2

If a sequential test is needed, I will supply one  
Question. Can you tell me why when it has come to my  
Property building Plot you say it is in an area of  
undeveloped Land

Continuation of use of Land No 12 Burns Close

Enclosed Planning Permission 05/00724/RET

10 MAY 2005 Case officer yourself Jenny Davis

The handstand was built across Flood Zone 2 the garden  
is in Flood Zone 3 this area was undeveloped Land it was  
owned by us. enclosed photo with me on undeveloped Land  
it shows the boundary fence of No 12 Burns Close the garage  
in the garden was built by me



Question 1

Why is the Limits of development now being proposed to be moved therefore eliminating building LAND on Flood Zone 1

Question 2 why has the LAND on No 12 GURNS close been encompassed in the limits of development? this LAND is in Flood Zone 2 & 3

Question 3

Why did you allow a ~~handstanding~~ = ~~pass~~ = ~~the~~ ~~road~~ at 12 GURNS close raising the LAND over a foot high across Flood Zone 2 & 3 the handstanding slopes down to the river bank it is 13<sup>FE</sup> Feet from the edge of the river bank

Should you wish to discuss the issue of the building Plot or any of the questions raised in my letter we will be pleased for you to arrange a site meeting with me my wife & Solicitor Nick MAKIN on site

Yours











3.8.2016

Jenny Davis

PLANNING department  
North west Leics  
PLANNING def

IN Reply Referring to No 18 MaseFIELD  
close you rely on a Refusal of Planning  
Permission in 1989. you fail to mention it was  
refused because your Council designated it  
as public open space how can that be used  
against the present situation the land is  
privately owned by us you could <sup>not</sup> enforce this  
public open space this not used by public

*Jenny Davis*

3.8.2016

