

**TABLE I – HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT**

**Policy He1 – Conservation and enhancement of North West Leicestershire’s historic environment**

<b>Question 47</b>	<b>NWL Response</b>	<b>Any change required</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Business or organisation name;</b>
<b>Do you agree with our suggested approach to the Historic Environment? If not what changes do you suggest? - Please provide further comments;</b>				
Yes	Noted	No change.	Lisa Marron	Resident
Yes	Noted	No change.	Mr s Whitehouse	Personal
Yes	Noted	No change.	Nigel Garnham	Packington Nook Residents Association
Yes	Noted	No change.	Mark Chadbourn	Emerald Eye Ltd
Yes	Noted	No change.	Alison Wright	Heather Parish Council
page 108 para 10.7 These aims cannot be achieved without advice from a Conservation Officer, A further aim should be " The permanent appointment on the District Councils staff of a suitably qualified and experienced Conservation Officer".	Noted. However the Local Plan deals with land use planning issues and not to identify the Council's staffing resource.	No change.	Gerald Dalby	NA
Yes	Noted	No change.	Sue McGlynn - Clerk to Coleorton Parish Council	Coleorton Parish Council
See attached document and plan which refer to the draft Limits to Development for Packington village.	Noted. See previous response to Question 3.		Keith and Sandra Goodwin	NA
Yes	Noted	No change.	Samantha Lockwood	Long Whatton and Diseworth Parish Council
Yes	Noted	No change.	David Harris	Pyrotect Ltd
The Plan should make provision for the allocation of Local Green Space. There is none identified in the plan as it stands. The Bath Grounds Green Corridor between the Royal Hotel and Ashby Castle should be protected and designated as Local Green Space.	<p>The Local Plan recognises the importance for local communities to have access to high quality open space. Policy IF3 protects against the inappropriate loss of an open space, sport and recreational facility.</p> <p>The NPPF advises that Local Green Spaces can be designated through either a local plan or a neighbourhood plan provided that they meet the specified criteria contained within the National Planning Policy Framework. It is not proposed in this instance for the Council to designate this area as a Local Green Space but rather enable designation through Neighbourhood Plans as these are better placed to be able to justify their designation. The Draft Ashby Neighbourhood Plan identifies a number of Local Green spaces including the Bath Grounds.</p>	No change.	Colin Eaton	Colin Eaton
Protect the history of the area not build on top of it	Noted. Policy He1 seeks to conserve and enhance the district's historic environment.	No change.	Simon Litchfield	Resident
Supportive of the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment.	Noted.	No change.	Karen Edwards, Deputy Town Clerk	Ashby de la Zouch Town Council
Yes	Noted.	No change.	Andrew Stone	NA
Yes	Noted.	No change.	Trevor Davis	None

Mostly agree, but Measham has an ancient ridge and furrow fields that has been ignored in order to build houses (see page 109, 10 & 11).	Noted. The Ridge and Furrow was taken into consideration when the planning application for the Measham Waterside site was determined.	No change.	Measham Parish Council	Measham Parish Council
Sir John Moore primary school in Appleby Magna is a grade I listed building, built over 300 years ago. The setting of the school, in open farmland, with very limited development around it on the edge of the village, should also be protected from development. This was recently stated by English Heritage, in their submission to planning regarding a planning application submitted for 60 homes next to the school.	Noted. Policy He1 seeks to conserve and enhance the district's historic environment, including its heritage assets, such as listed buildings.	No change.	Mr Richard Hine	Resident of Appleby Magna
There is loads of interesting industrial history in the area it needs to be preserved.	Noted and support welcomed.	No change.	Paul McCaffrey	NA
It is important to protect historic assets; it should be noted that many historic sites have wildlife value (not always the oldest ones). The policy wording appears too ready to allow development of sites.	It is not the role of policy to stop development. The NPPF requires Local Plan policies to provide a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. Policy HEE1 specifies a presumption against development that will be harmful to heritage assets. The remainder of the policy plans positively to seek to ensure the conservation and enhancement of the district's heritage assets. A balance needs to be struck between meeting the future development needs of the district and protecting heritage assets. Other policies in the Local Plan protect the natural environment, and all policies in the Local Plan have to be read together.		Andrew and Anne Heaton	NA
Yes	Noted	No change.	Mrs Melanie Warren	Mrs Melanie Warren
Yes	Noted	No change.	Lance Wiggins	Landmark Planning

<p>Bloor Homes Limited (BHL) consider the wording of Policy He1 is not consistent with the policies of the Framework, paragraphs 131 to 134. The requirements of criteria 1a and 1b of the policy for new development to conserve and enhance, or retain the significance of heritage assets, including views into and out of conservation areas, is not consistent with the policy approach in the Framework that requires a balanced approach to weighing harm against other considerations. In addition, the presumption against development as set out in criteria 2 is contrary to the approach set out in paragraphs 133 and 134 of the Framework which refer to matters other than public benefits.</p>	<p>It is proposed to amend the wording within policy HE1 to better reflect the NPPF.</p>	<p>Amend the wording within policy HE1 (1) to state " To ensure the conservation .....of a heritage asset, must:'. The end of HE1 (1) state "Provide clear convincing justification for any works that would lead to harm to a heritage assets and, there are overriding public benefits and mitigation measures are secured". Part 2 of the policy will be amended to state " There will be a presumption against development, alteration, advertising or demolition that will be harmful to the significance of a heritage asset and their setting. Any development proposal that would cause harm to a heritage asset will be refused permission, unless the works are justified, there are overriding public benefits or one or all of the following apply: ●the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and ● no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term through appropriate marketing that will enable its conservation; and ● conservation by grant-funding or some form of charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and ● the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.</p>	<p>Jennifer Towers</p>	<p>Bloor Homes Limited</p>
<p>No reference to working with English Heritage or describing the townscape heritage ambition for Coalville and this needs to be shown in a cultural/heritage strategy. There needs to be a plan to engage those previously involved and knowledgeable about Snibston. Recognition needs to be given in NWL policy to the unique coal Ming heritage of the town. There's a sense it is being airbrushed out as the wording currently stands.</p>	<p>Policy He1 applies to all of the district's assets and the issues raised are not within the remit of the Local Plan. However it is considered that it would be beneficial to make reference to Coalville's heritage e in the supporting text. In addition, the Coalville Conservation Character Appraisal and Management Plan (May 2014) provides detail on the history of Coalville and of the buildings located within its Conservation Area. This is a more appropriate document within which to address the issues raised.</p>	<p>Amend supporting text to make reference to Coalville's heritage.</p>	<p>Ruth Hamm</p>	<p>teacher</p>

<p>The Local Plan states "There are currently 6 heritage assets listed on the Historic England Buildings at Risk Register."</p> <p>One of these is the World Heritage Site and Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) at Snibston Mine. Not that you would know, from this Local Plan. It has disappeared from view.</p> <p>I am not sure how anyone can believe a word of this Local Plan when NWLDC has sat by and watched LCC deprive the SAM of the Main Gallery that provided it with the footfall and income needed to subsidise its ongoing maintenance.</p> <p>This Plan promises to protect our heritage from changes that detract from the asset. English Heritage raised concerns during the pre-destruction consultation about the viability of LCCs proposal to drive a road through the SAM from Ashby Road. This crazy idea is still going around unchecked by the warm words in this Local Plan.</p> <p>In the aftermath of the destruction of Snibston Discovery Museum, this Local Plan gives little comfort to anyone with an interest in preserving Coalville's Heritage. There is no mention of the importance of Coalville, a Victorian new-town named by George Stephenson, in our national story. There is no recognition that major buildings in Coalville still mark out in red-brick the schisms of Victorian Protestant churches. It takes no interest in Coalville's contribution to the story of Industrial Law and the Factory Acts amended through the campaign of local hero George Smith.</p> <p>The Local Plan does not mention Coalville's Heritage by name at all.</p>	<p>The closure of Snibston Museum is not within the remit of the Local Plan. Policy He1 applies to all of the district's heritage assets. However it would be beneficial to refer to Coalville's heritage in the supporting text. In addition, the Coalville Conservation Character Appraisal and Management Plan provides detail on the history of Coalville and of the buildings located within its Conservation Area. Scheduled Ancient Monuments are protected by National Legislation.</p>	<p>Amend supporting text to make reference to Coalville's heritage.</p>	<p>Theresa Eynon</p>	<p>alderoak</p>
<p>Please see my comments under 'Strategy'. I note the three sections of The NPPF document which you either give inadequate weight or appear to disregard (sections 8 Promoting Healthy Communities, Section 11 Conserving and enhancing the natural environment and section 12 Conserving and enhancing the historic environment.) In the Strategy section of this document I refer to the specific paragraphs where I feel that your suggested approach to the historic environment is either disregarded or non-existent where it relates to Appleby Magna. I refer once again to the Council's proposals to widen the limits of development despite previous objections in writing and at representations at Planning Committee hearings and the Local Development Plan's proposal to remove 'Sensitive Site' designation from two sites in the village without proposing alternative protection. As far as this community is concerned, there is no cohesive or coherent approach to the Historic Environment leaving it open and vulnerable to disproportionate and unsustainable development.</p>	<p>The historic environment sections of the NPPF are covered by draft Local Plan environment and heritage chapters. The NPPF and other relevant legislation still applies outside of the local plan. It is important to balance the needs of the development against the conservation of an area.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Chris and Sue Nicholls</p>	<p>TMBS</p>

Yes	Noted	No change.	Mr. j Lewis	1950
In theory this sounds excellent but in practice this and previous councils have time and time again allowed the destruction of, and in the case of assets such as Snibston Discovery Park, actively encouraged it. Whitwick was once compared unfavourably to Woodhouse Eaves in a national newspaper article about heritage loss. It would be interesting to see whether the future developments on the Snibston site meet the criteria mentioned in 10.13.	In determining any future planning applications on the site these will need to be assessed against both national and local policy. However the closure of Snibston is not within the remit of the Local Plan.	No change.	Steve Johnson	YYYY
However, whilst paragraph 10.7 on page 108 indicates the Council's key role in "Strengthening the distinctive character of the District including:  a) Conserving and enhancing the character and appearance of the historic market towns of Ashby de la Zouch and Castle Donington AS WELL AS ITS RURAL SETTLEMENTS SUCH AS SNARESTONE  b) Recognising and protecting the District's industrial, transport and recreational heritage such as coalmining heritage, canals and spa heritage.  c) Protecting the rural character and landscape including historic landscape features such as ancient or mature woodland, and ridge and furrow field patterns" this does not seem clear in Policy He1 which should be amended accordingly	Noted. However it is not necessary or appropriate to include this wording within the Policy. The introductory text highlights the methods/schemes/approaches within which it can protect, conserve and enhance our heritage assets. Policy He1 identifies the approach that will be taken and the issues considered when an application for development is considered.	No change.	Lesley Birtwistle	not applicable
Yes	Noted	No change.	Mrs Paula Ashfield	Paula Ashfield
Yes	Noted	No change.	Hugh James	n/a
Yes	Noted	No change.	Paul Varty	Resident of Appleby

<p>Agree with the sentiment to conserve and enhance, but need to ensure that it is integrated as part of the vision and ambition for the district. A Heritage Investment Strategy as part of the wider plan would draw out the economic, tourism and community value of the Historic Environment, which in itself needs to be defined as the tangible and intangible heritage of the area, and provide access to the stories and meaning for those people that live there and visitors to the area.</p> <p>Public access and interpretation needs to be developed further and underpin the Local Plan. There are many museums and people working and volunteering in NWL but there is little reference to the value that they contribute to sense of place and identity. There is no reference to Snibston Colliery or the former Snibston Discovery Museum that explored that industrial and community heritage of Leicestershire or the role it played for over 20 years in the lives of local people - a place for volunteers, learning and entertainment.</p> <p>The leader of the Council led a really important Enquiry By Design for Coalville that successfully resulted in a new vision for the town, integrating heritage, economy, building for the future and listening to community desires - revisiting this for Coalville and other places in NWL would help to underpin and give focus for the ambition for the future, particularly in the context of the LLEP and other changes.</p> <p>Developments in Leicester city, where heritage-led regeneration is leading to a harmonisation of the built and historic environment to present a thriving city for the future. It is interesting to note that both the city and the universities are investing in the cultural heritage as part of their vision for the future. NWL has much to offer in this respect so rather than deny or ignore these tangible and intangible assets there is a real opportunity to build on what the council has tried to do in the past and make it part of the future.</p>	<p>The Local Plan is a land - use planning document and Policy He1 seeks to conserve and enhance the district's historic environment and heritage assets when there is a development is proposed. Therefore, although the majority of the comments are noted, they are not matters that are within the remit of the Local Plan. Comments reference Coalville's heritage are appreciated and its history and heritage is recognised and valued within its Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Plan.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Carolyn</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Welcome focus on 'distinctive character' of a), b) and c) to cover the Spa heritage of Ashby as well as its Georgian character. Also the policy support for 'non-designated' assets.</p> <p>The policy provides a good basis for detailed elaboration of policy in the Ashby Neighbourhood Plan. N.B the Conservation Area Appraisal of 2001 requires some updating!</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Tim Abbott</p>	<p>Householder</p>
<p>In the main, but more robust protection is required and should include reference to archaeological features such as the medieval ditch around the foot of Bardon Hill which dates back to the c. 13th century medieval deer park.</p>	<p>It is not the role of the Local Plan to specify each of the district's heritage assets. The policy has been worded to comply with the NPPF and advice has been sought from Historic England.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Jane Tebbatt</p>	<p>Quarrying</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Heather Parish Council</p>	<p>Heather Parish Council</p>

Yes	Noted	No change.	Lesley Colley	None
<p>We are generally supportive of the approach towards the historic environment. However we would like to see the following additions.</p> <p>Heritage assets such as Ancient monument sites and Conservation areas should be identified on the inset maps.</p> <p>b) Retain buildings, settlement patterns, features and spaces ....</p>	<p>Heritage assets have not been identified on the Proposals Map as they are administered by separate legislation. However it would be beneficial to provide a weblink from the Local Plan to the Council's website that provides before information and maps of the district's assets. It is proposed to insert settlement pattern into the policy.</p>	<p>Amend supporting text to Policy He1 to include 'heritage' web link. Amend Policy He1 (d) to state b) Retain buildings, settlement pattern, features and spaces, which form part of the significance of the heritage asset and its setting;</p>	<p>Lindsay Gene</p>	<p>Appleby Magna Parish Council</p>
<p>We are generally supportive of the approach towards the historic environment. However we would like to see the following additions.</p> <p>Heritage assets such as Ancient monument sites and Conservation areas should be identified on the inset maps.</p> <p>b) Retain buildings, settlement patterns, features and spaces ....</p>	<p>Heritage assets have not been identified on the Proposals Map as they are administered by separate legislation. However it would be beneficial to provide a weblink from the Local Plan to the Council's website that provides before information and maps of the district's assets. It is proposed to insert settlement pattern into the policy</p>	<p>Amend supporting text to Policy He1 to include 'heritage' web link. Amend Policy He1 (d) to state b) Retain buildings, settlement pattern, features and spaces, which form part of the significance of the heritage asset and its setting;</p>	<p>Sonia Liff</p>	<p>Chair Appleby Environment</p>
<p>(a) The Labour Group consider proposed policy He1 as a cruel joke and not worth the paper it is written on.</p> <p>(b) 'Dual Hatted' Conservative Portfolio Holders saw fit to close the popular Snibston Discovery Museum in Coalville – thus removing £4.2m from the local economy.</p> <p>(c) NWLDC has abandoned assisting members of the public and local action groups wishing to obtain 'listed building status'.</p> <p>(d) It needs to be proved that stated policies will be met with action from the council.</p>	<p>Policy He1 has been written to comply with the NPPF. The closure of Snibston Museum is not within the remit of the Local Plan. With respect to listed building status, it is Historic England who selects/decides whether a building is to be listed, although anyone can ask for any building to be considered.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Sue McKendrick</p>	<p>Labour Group leader</p>

<p>The Local Plan states "There are currently 6 heritage assets listed on the Historic England Buildings at Risk Register."</p> <p>One of these is the World Heritage Site and Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) at Snibston Mine. Not that you would know, from this Local Plan. It has disappeared from view.</p> <p>I am not sure how anyone can believe a word of this Local Plan when NWLDC has sat by and watched LCC deprive the SAM of the Main Gallery that provided it with the footfall and income needed to subsidise its ongoing maintenance.</p> <p>This Plan promises to protect our heritage from changes that detract from the asset. English Heritage have raised concerns during the pre-destruction consultation about the viability of LCCs proposal to drive a road through the SAM from Ashby Road. This crazy idea is still going around unchecked by the warm words in this Local Plan.</p> <p>In the aftermath of the destruction of Snibston Discovery Museum, this Local Plan gives little comfort to anyone with an interest in preserving Coalville's Heritage. There is no mention of the importance of Coalville, a Victorian new-town named by George Stephenson, in our national story. There is no recognition that major buildings in Coalville still mark out in red-brick the schisms of Victorian Protestant churches. It takes no interest in Coalville's contribution to the story of Industrial Law and the Factory Acts amended through the campaign of local hero George Smith.</p>	<p>The closure of Snibston Museum is not within the remit of the Local Plan. Policy He1 applies to all of the district's heritage assets. However it would be beneficial to refer to Coalville's heritage in the supporting text. In addition, the Coalville Conservation Character Appraisal and Management Plan provide details on the history of Coalville and of the buildings located within its Conservation Area. Scheduled Ancient Monuments are protected by National Legislation.</p>	<p>Amend supporting text to make reference to Coalville's heritage.</p>	<p>Mrs Kurpil</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>No comment.</p>	<p>Noted</p>		<p>C Tandy</p>	<p>Ashby de la Zouch Civic Society</p>
<p>Policy He1 Point (1) a) includes a provision to protect views into and out of conservation areas. The policy would also benefit from a more generic provision protecting 'significant views' which may relate to heritage assets that are not within a conservation area.</p> <p>Point (2) sets out the way in which applications causing harm to significance will be determined. As similar provisions can be found in NPPF paragraphs 132-134, it is recommended that the Council carefully compares these to ensure that there are no conflicts which may create uncertainty for decision makers.</p>	<p>Noted will amend policy HE1 to include significant views. The policy has prepared the policy in accordance with the NPPF and in consultation with Historic England.</p>	<p>Amend Policy He1 (1) (a) to refer to protecting 'significant views'</p>	<p>Kim Miller</p>	<p>National Trust</p>

<p>Policy He1: Conservation and Enhancement of North West Leicestershire's Historic Environment</p> <p>The Canal &amp; River Trust is pleased to note that the Ashby Canal is identified at paragraphs 10.10 and 10.11 as an important heritage asset within the District, having conservation area status along the navigable section (which is owned and managed by the Trust) , as well as remaining a valuable non-designated heritage asset along the disused stretch north of Snarestone. Policy He1 should help to safeguard these important heritage assets from harmful impacts from new developments and represents an appropriate approach to the historic environment.</p>	<p>Noted</p>		<p>Ian Dickinson</p>	<p>Canal &amp; River Trust</p>
<p>I write in response to the invitation to respond to the draft Local Plan. My points are offered as they relate to my community and the unique location of my conservation village of Diseworth and its proximity to East Midlands Airport.</p> <p>The focus here therefore is upon historic environment, Diseworth as a conservation village, land usage and the apparent 'employment' land advantages given to East Midlands Airport in the draft Plan as they impact upon Diseworth.</p> <p>10 Historic Environment</p> <p>Q 47. In general terms I agree with the overall proposals for safe guarding the heritage of our district but some statements need to be more detailed. I welcome, for example, the statement in Policy He1:1(a) (page108)</p> <p>"Conserve or enhance the significance of heritage assets within the district, their setting, including views into and out of conservation areas."</p> <p>but there is no attempt, unlike the previous Local Plan Written Statement (2002) Policy E9, Para, 4,39, to identify and list these conservation areas. One is the village of Diseworth and in the current proposed plan is potentially threatened by development of land to its north.</p> <p>More precise is the statement in Local Plan Written Statement (op cit), Policy E10 (page 28/29) "Development will not be permitted within Conservation Areas, or where it would affect the setting of such areas, which would:....(c) Result in the loss of open space or important views within, into and out of the Conservation Area."</p> <p>As the wording stands in the proposed Local Plan, there could be a loss of open space outside the Conservation Areas where</p>	<p>The Local Plan does not identify the Conservation Areas as this is administered by separate legislation. However it is considered that it would be beneficial to provide a web link from the Local Plan to the Council's webpage that provides information on the district's Conservation Areas. The policy has been prepared in order to comply with the NPPF. It is acknowledged that reference is not made specifically to open spaces, however should an open space be considered an important feature or space, which forms part of the significant of an asset and its setting, its protection would be supported by this policy.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Alan Leech</p>	<p>N/a</p>

<p>it will be argued that their setting or views outside the area will be unaffected. This would not be the case should land owned by East Midlands Airport between the village of Diseworth and the A453 be developed. I propose that the wording in the proposed new Local Plan should be more precise to cover the points raised here.</p>				
<p>The Manor House at Donington Le Heath is the second most visited historical site in the County (behind Bosworth Field) and is not referenced in this document neither is the National Forest cycle centre at Hicks Lodge which is even a more popular and larger tourist attraction.</p>	<p>It is not appropriate for the Local Plan to identify the district's listed building as these designations are administered by other legislation. However it is considered that it would be beneficial to provide a web link from the Local Plan to the Council's webpage that provides information on the district's heritage assets. Policy Ec15 deals with Tourism in the district and it would be beneficial to make reference to Hicks Lodge in the supporting text to this policy.</p>	<p>Amend supporting text to Policy He1 to include 'heritage' web link. Amend supporting text to Policy Ec15 to make reference to Hicks Lodge.</p>	<p>Simon Weaver</p>	<p>Hugglescote and Donington le Heath Parish Council</p>
<p>We are generally supportive of the approach towards the historic environment. However we would like to see the following additions.</p> <p>Heritage assets such as Ancient monument sites and Conservation areas should be identified on the inset maps.</p> <p>b) Retain buildings, settlement patterns, features and spaces ....</p>	<p>Heritage assets have not been identified on the Proposals Map as they are administered by separate legislation. However it would be beneficial to provide a weblink from the Local Plan to the Council's website that provides before information and maps of the district's assets. It is not considered necessary to specify 'settlement patterns' as suggested, it is considered that the proposed wording for the policy would cover this issue adequately.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Sonia Liff, Chair Appleby Environment</p>	<p>Appleby Environment, a long standing community organisation</p>

<p>The Local Plan states "There are currently 6 heritage assets listed on the Historic England Buildings at Risk Register."</p> <p>One of these is the World Heritage Site and Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) at Snibston Mine. Not that you would know, from this Local Plan. It has disappeared from view.</p> <p>I am not sure how anyone can believe a word of this Local Plan when NWLDC has sat by and watched LCC deprive the SAM of the Main Gallery that provided it with the footfall and income needed to subsidise its ongoing maintenance.</p> <p>This Plan promises to protect our heritage from changes that detract from the asset. English Heritage have raised concerns during the pre-destruction consultation about the viability of LCCs proposal to drive a road through the SAM from Ashby Road. This crazy idea is still going around unchecked by the warm words in this Local Plan.</p> <p>In the aftermath of the destruction of Snibston Discovery Museum, this Local Plan gives little comfort to anyone with an interest in preserving Coalville's Heritage. There is no mention of the importance of Coalville, a Victorian new-town named by George Stephenson, in our national story. There is no recognition that major buildings in Coalville still mark out in red-brick the schisms of Victorian Protestant churches. It takes no interest in Coalville's contribution to the story of Industrial Law and the Factory Acts amended through the campaign of local hero George Smith.</p> <p>The Local Plan does not mention Coalville's Heritage by name at all.</p>	<p>The closure of Snibston Museum is not within the remit of the Local Plan. Policy He1 applies to all of the district's heritage assets. However it would be beneficial to refer to Coalville's heritage in the supporting text. In addition, the Coalville Conservation Character Appraisal and Management Plan provides detail on the history of Coalville and of the buildings located within its Conservation Area. Scheduled Ancient Monuments are protected by National Legislation.</p>	<p>Amend Para 10.10 to make reference to Coalville's heritage.</p>	<p>Mr B Carter</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>Residents of Diseworth village have an interest in the historic environment of both the village and its setting. Diseworth is designated a Conservation Village. It contains 22 listed buildings, including two at Grade 2. It sits in a traditionally rural and agricultural landscape and enjoys views overlooking this associated landscape.</p> <p>We therefore welcome the general intent in this Local Plan to safeguard the heritage of North West Leicestershire.</p> <p>We note the following statements:-</p> <p>Page 107:-</p> <p>10.3 'Once a heritage asset is lost it cannot be replaced'.</p> <p>10.4 'The NPPF defines significance as 'the value' of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage</p>	<p>The Local Plan does not identify the Conservation Areas as this is administered by separate legislation. However it is considered that it would be beneficial to provide a web link from the Local Plan to the Council's webpage that provides information on the district's Conservation Areas. The policy has been prepared in order to comply with the NPPF. It is acknowledged that reference is not made specifically to open spaces, however should an open space be considered an important feature or space, which forms part of the significance of an asset and its setting, its protection would be supported by this policy.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>Jim Snee, Chairman WINGS</p>	<p>WINGS</p>

<p>interest. Significance derives not only from a heritage assets physical presence, but also from its setting.</p> <p>Page 108 [He1, 1[a] that [development] should:-</p> <p>‘Conserve or enhance the significance of heritage assets within the district, their setting, including views into and out of conservation areas.’</p> <p>The previous Local Plan [2002] identified and listed Conservation Areas [Policy E9, page 28]. This included the village of Diseworth.</p> <p>The policy also included a statement prohibiting development outside the immediate listed Conservation Area that would:- ‘ Result in the loss of open spaces or important views within, into and out of the Conservation Area’ . [page 29, para 4.41 c].</p> <p>The present Draft Local Plan presently removes the previously listed Conservation Areas and the constraints imposed for development both within, and surrounding such areas, notwithstanding the statement made in Policy He1, 1 [a] page 108.</p> <p>The conservation village of Diseworth sits on the boundary of agricultural land recently purchased by East Midlands Airport. The present owners, as stated elsewhere in the Draft Local Plan, are minded to ‘review’ their use of this land in the coming years.</p> <p>The scenario of Conservation Areas facing potential development, likely to adversely impact on their [and the regions] historic environment, will not be unique to Diseworth over the life of the proposed Local Plan.</p> <p>In respect of Question 47, page 110 we request that:-</p> <p>The content, principles and statements contained in Policy E9 of the Local Plan [Written Statement] 2002 be re-instated in the replacement Local Plan.</p>				
Yes	Noted		Fiona Palmer, Clerk to the Parish Council	Castle Donington Parish Council
Trying to give feedback on all this on line is very hard. Just my opinion. Have therefore given up trying to do so. I wanted to comment on the heritage section (closing Snibston is protecting heritage then is it) and about protecting local communities. Not to worry. Probably my opinions wouldn't have matters anyway.	No change.		Mrs Nita Pearson	n/a

<p>North West Leicestershire contains a wealth of heritage assets which play an important part in the local character and identity of the district. It is therefore important that this resource which includes both designated and non-designated heritage assets, is recognised, protected and, where possible, enhanced within the new Local Plan. Specifically, it is important that the local plan addresses the value of North West Leicestershire's historic environment, and the risks it faces, in a commensurate manner. An unusual degree of care will need to be taken in preparing policies so that they play to the strengths which reside in the district's heritage, and are clear how development should conserve and enhance it. We have undertaken an initial desk-based review of the policies and individual sites looking at the potential impacts of the proposals on designated heritage assets and those areas of high archaeological potential, that appear in our records, including nationally important archaeology. Clearly, you will need to seek the advice of the Historic Environment Records (HER) and County archaeological advisors on undesignated sites.</p> <p>I note reference to the Evidence base within paragraph 1.20. However, it is not clear from the reference as to the breadth or detail of this evidence base. As stressed in our letter of 16 September 2014, the evidence base is critical to the preparation of a Local Plan in accordance with para 169 and 10 of the NPPF. Particularly relevant to site and allocations and designation could include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-updating conservation area appraisal</li> <li>-undertaking characterisation studies</li> <li>producing setting studies -of specific settlements, or specify heritage assets</li> <li>- Local lists</li> <li>- Assessments of landscape sensitivity</li> </ul> <p>If these have been carried out, it would be helpful to make their location clearer.</p> <p>Reference to North West Leicestershire's Cultural Heritage within the profile 93.0) is welcomed. Within 4.0, 'what are the issues', reference should be included to 'heritage assets' rather than 'assets of heritage value'.</p> <p>The inclusion of HE1 is welcomed</p>	<p>Noted. A list of supporting evidence will be provided as a separate background paper to the draft Local Plan. Agree to amend para 4.0 to refer to heritage assets rather than assets of heritage value. Agree to amend para 10.8 to give greater detail in relation to the heritage assets identified within the para. Agree to include the changes suggested by the SA para 10.2 will be amended to reflect these changes. Support the inclusion of the text suggested by Historic England at para 10.10.</p>	<p>A background paper to be prepared to support the heritage policy and to provide the relevant links to the supporting evidence base and Conservation Area Appraisals. Amend para 4.0 to refer to heritage assets rather than assets of heritage value. Amend para 10.8 to give greater detail of the District's heritage assets. Amend para 10.2 to acknowledge the potential wider social, cultural and environmental benefits that conservation of the historic environment can bring. Amend para 10.10 to state " However, there are other key heritage assets that have not been designated that are still of significance to the architectural, social and cultural history of the district and which contribute to the character of their settlement for example the District still shows signs of its industrial heritage for example the Midland Railway signal box, the Ashby canal, former collieries, bridges and cottages. The remains of farming practices on the landscape with evidence of ridge and furrow within Appleby Magna also make the local landscape an important historic asset". Additional paragraph inserted after para 10.12 to state: "important non designated assets are set out within Conservation Area Appraisals. The identification of these buildings or features of local importance is often supported by parish councils, civic societies and historic interest groups.</p> <p>The conservation of assets which reflect the district's industrial heritage and coalmining heritage will be a particular priority for the Council. HE described Coalville in designating the town as a conservation area ' as a good example of the type of commercial and industrial settlement that grew</p>	<p>Emilie Carr</p>	<p>Historic England</p>
--	---	---	--------------------	-------------------------

<p>Inclusion of greater detail in relation to the designated heritage assets mentioned within para 10.8 such as those with the higher designations including Ashby castle Ruins Grade I, Donington Hall grade II* and The Church of St Lawrence Grade II* would enhance this paragraph.</p> <p>we note that para 13.10.14 of the SA in relation to the heritage policy, suggests that the policy could be improved by incorporating a provision within that acknowledges the potential wider social, cultural and environmental benefits that conservation of the historic environment can bring. This could be incorporated into the supporting text of para 10.7.</p> <p>para 10.10 would be strengthened in relation to non designated heritage assets with the following wording</p> <p>" However, there are other key heritage assets that have not been designated that are still of significance to the architectural, social and cultural history of the district and which contribute to the character of their settlements for example the district still show's signs of industrial heritage for example the Midland Railway Signal box, the Ashby canal, former collieries, bridges and cottages. The remains of farming practices on the landscape with evidence of ridge and furrow within Appleby Magna also make the local landscape an important heritage asset".</p> <p>It would also help to include the following wording after para 10.12</p> <p>"important non designated assets are set out within Conservation Area Appraisals. The identification of these buildings or features of local importance is often supported by parish councils, civic societies and historic interest groups. The conservation of assets which reflect the districts industrial heritage and coalmining heritage will be a particular priority for the Council. HE described Coalville in designating the town as a conservation area ' as a good example of the type of commercial and industrial settlement that grew up rapidly in the 19th century following the discovery of coal, but which in Leicestershire is somewhat unusual. its value is also an ensemble it is more than the sum of it parts due to the extent of survival. This significance has in certain areas been masked by later alterations to buildings, but this provides a rich seam of enhancement opportunities that designation would help to facilitate'.</p> <p>The council will continue to produce and revise CAA for all designated CA within the district. CAA offer an opportunity to identify ways in which significance can be reinforced and</p>		<p>up rapidly in the 19th century following the discovery of coal, but which in Leicestershire is somewhat unusual. its value is also an ensemble it is mote than the sum of it parts due to the extent of survival. This significance has in certain areas been masked by later alterations to buildings, but this provides a rich seam of enhancement opportunities that designation would help to facilitate'.</p> <p>The council will continue to produce and revise CAA for all designated CA within the district. CAA offer an opportunity to identify ways in which significance can be reinforced and strengthend such as by the removal of elements within the built environment which have a negative impact on surrounding heritage assets.</p> <p>In certain areas including Staunton Harold conservation area and Lockington Conservation are Article 4 directions to remove permitted development rights mat be appropriate.</p> <p>The Council will expect all proposals that have the potential to impact upon heritage assets to be of the highest quality to help preserve and enhance the character and significant of assets. Detailed consideration will need to be given to the appropriate siting, alignment use of materials, mass, scale in order to ensure that assets are protected and enhanced".</p>		
---	--	---	--	--

<p>strengthened such as by the removal of elements within the built environment which have a negative impact on surrounding heritage assets.</p> <p>In certain areas including Staunton Harold conservation area and Lockington Conservation are Article 4 directions to remove permitted development rights may be appropriate.</p> <p>The Council will expect all proposals that have the potential to impact upon heritage assets to be of the highest quality to help preserve and enhance the character and significant of assets. Detailed consideration will need to be given to the appropriate siting, alignment use of materials, mass, scale in order to ensure that assets are protected and enhanced".</p> <p>para 10.5 is welcomed and supported.</p>				
---	--	--	--	--