

**TABLE J – CLIMATE CHANGE**

**Policy Cc1 – Renewable Energy**

Question 48 <b>Do you agree with our suggested approach to Renewable Energy issues? If not what changes do you suggest? - Please provide further comments;</b>	NWL Response	Any change required	Name	Business or organisation name;
Yes	Noted.		Lisa Marron	Resident
Yes	Noted.		Mr s Whitehouse	Personal
Yes	Noted.		Nigel Garnham	Packington Nook Residents Association
Yes	Noted.		Mark Chadbourn	Emerald Eye Ltd
All new housing developments in our area should be fitted with some solar panels	Noted. However unfortunately the district council through planning policy cannot enforce the use of solar panels on all new builds. The draft Local Plan can only enforce higher Building Regulations and encourage sustainable construction		Alison Wright	Heather Parish Council
pg 114 para 11.8 Let us hope that wind turbines around Castle Donington will always be found to be dangerous for air traffic, despite the two wind turbines erected at the airport by the airport itself.  para 11.4 what is sustainable pattern of development? Why not "ensuring a pattern if development which includes...?"	Noted, the draft Local Plan policy Cc1 sets out a criteria based policy for assessing applications in relation to renewable energy.  The term sustainable pattern of development is used to be in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework.		Gerald Dalby	NA
All new properties should be fitted with solar panels where possible.  The plan should prevent the erection of any solar farms on what the NPPF quality as the Best and most versatile agricultural land. See NPPF page 50.	Noted. Draft Local Plan Policy Cc1 contains a criteria based policy for assessing suitable locations for renewable energy.  It is acknowledged that a statement is required within the draft Local Plan that relies on NPPF para 50 in order to protect the best and most versatile agricultural land.	Amend the supporting text to Policy S4 to make reference to the protection of the best and most versatile land.	Steve Palmer	NA
Yes	Noted		Samantha Lockwood	Long Whatton and Diseworth Parish Council
Yes	Noted		Mr Robert Harlow	Harlow Bros Ltd
This region will have no discernible effect unless there is a national approach.	Noted. The draft Local Plan needs to be in accordance with national policy and initiatives.		David Harris	Pyprotect Ltd
The Town Council welcomes the consideration of the cumulative impact of existing renewable energy schemes when considering new applications and the acknowledgement that benefits should be for the communities closest to the facility.	Noted		Karen Edwards, Deputy Town Clerk	Ashby de la Zouch Town Council
Yes	Noted		Andrew Stone	NA
In general, renewable energy is a good thing and reasonable developments of wind and solar power are a good thing. As usual, smaller developments are better than huge developments as they are much less intrusive.	Noted.		Mary Lorimer	Not applicable
Yes	Noted.		Trevor Davis	None

Yes	Noted.		Measham Parish Council	Measham Parish Council
more emphasis on household renewably energy and less building solar on farm land	The draft Local Plan can only state that new dwellings need to be built to the higher building regulations to ensure that they are more sustainable. To be in accordance with Government guidance the local plan can only encourage sustainable construction and cannot include any additional policies. Part 2 of the policy sets out how renewable energy proposals will be assessed, this will include solar farms.		Paul McCaffrey	NA
Water abstraction for hydropower schemes is non-consumptive, with all water used returned to the watercourse. Hands off Flows and maximum abstraction volumes are determined in line with the Environment Agency's Hydropower Good Practice Guidelines and based on the assessment of environmental risk for each scheme. For further information please refer to our website. "Guidance: Hydropower development: guidance for run-of-river hydropower" which can be found in our website.  When more specific sites are identified, the council would need to contact us to discuss the proposals.	Noted.		Geoff Platts	Environment Agency
This is the most critical issue facing us now. Policy Cc1 Renewable Energy needs to be more positive, and promote a wide range of renewable.	We will review the policy to take account of latest Government guidance.		Andrew and Anne Heaton	NA
Yes	Noted		Lance Wiggins	Landmark Planning
more emphasis on supporting renewable energy solar panels on council houses could be subsidised	Noted. The provision of Solar Panels on social housing is outside the remit of the Local Plan.		Mr. j Lewis	1950
There should be a greater emphasis on microgeneration. The developers should include this in new developments either wind; solar or heat pumps and better insulation. This would be costly for developers but the buildings would sell for more as they would always be cheaper to run. I feel that governments don't want too much self sufficiency in energy production, not least because of the 5% VAT they would lose on energy bills.	The Local Plan to be in accordance with Government Guidance can only require new developments to be built to the latest Building Regulations. The supporting text within the local plan can encourage sustainable design and construction however this cannot be enforced.		Steve Johnson	YYYY
Yes	Noted		Lesley Birtwistle	not applicable
Yes	Noted		Mrs Paula Ashfield	Paula Ashfield
Yes	Noted		Hugh James	n/a
Yes	Noted		Tim Abbott	Householder
More renewable energy is required in the district - make all new developments for housing have to have photovoltaics on their roof. Especially if it is new development that is not at a site identified in the Local Plan!	Noted. The Local Plan to be in accordance with Government Guidance can only require new developments to be built to the latest Building Regulations. Only the supporting text within the Local Plan can encourage sustainable design and construction.		Jane Tebbatt	Quarrying
Yes	Noted		Heather Parish Council	Heather Parish Council

<p>It is important to include the potential role of "solar farms" and to highlight that such developments can complement the income of farmers by concurrent use of the land for sheep farming, as seen on the Wymeswold solar farm.</p> <p>In terms of wind turbines, I agree that they need to be sensibly placed according to locations of best wind resource.</p> <p>I do not believe that the view should be an important a consideration; given that this does not feature in other planning decisions, this seems inconsistent.</p> <p>It must be noted that, distributed electricity (such as renewables) generated local to where it is used is far more efficient and avoids the losses in transmission of remote power stations (which currently run at 54%).</p>	<p>Noted, the policy needs to be amended to take account of the changes made to government guidance in relation to renewable wind energy.</p>	<p>A separate policy will be included for renewable wind energy specifically.</p>	<p>Elaine Robinson</p>	<p>Not applicable</p>
<p>A supplementary document for guidance on this issue is a good idea.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>		<p>Alison Saxby</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Yes. KPC will encourage appropriate renewable energy initiatives.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>		<p>Vicky Roe</p>	<p>Kegworth Parish Council</p>
<p>There should be a section on transport here since emissions from this area are significantly higher for the district than for the country as a whole. As such it needs to be part of the Council's climate change response. Suggesting that this is just dealt with through other policies as is implied by para 11.4 will only result in this dimension not getting appropriate attention – particularly as policy S3 does not give particular significance to public transport issues.</p>	<p>The Local Plan has to be read as a whole when determining planning applications. It is acknowledged that transport has a major impact on climate change issues and paragraph 11.4 seeks to highlight other policies in the local plan which will, in addition to those policies included in chapter 11, will help to address climate change issues. It would be appropriate to include reference to policy IF1 at paragraph 11.4, however inclusion of specific policies on transport and climate change would result in duplication with other parts of the local plan.</p>	<p>Include reference to policy IF1 at paragraph 11.4</p>	<p>Lindsay Gene</p>	<p>Appleby Magna Parish Council</p>
<p>There should be a section on transport here since emissions from this area are significantly higher for the district than for the country as a whole. As such it needs to be part of the Council's climate change response. Suggesting that this is just dealt with through other policies as is implied by para 11.4 will only result in this dimension not getting appropriate attention – particularly as policy S3 does not give particular significance to public transport issues.</p>	<p>The Local Plan has to be read as a whole when determining planning applications. It is acknowledged that transport has a major impact on climate change issues and paragraph 11.4 seeks to highlight other policies in the local plan which will, in addition to those policies included in chapter 11, will help to address climate change issues. It would be appropriate to include reference to policy IF1 at paragraph 11.4, however inclusion of specific policies on transport and climate change would result in duplication with other parts of the local plan.</p>	<p>Include reference to policy IF1 at paragraph 11.4</p>	<p>Sonia Liff</p>	<p>Chair Appleby Environment</p>

<p>(a) The Labour Group notes that there are no questions or policies on mitigating Climate Change measures within NWL (particularly from motor traffic).</p> <p>(b) The Labour Group are disappointed at the lack of a policy on 'Fracking' within NWL</p> <p>(c) Renewable energy needs to be encouraged within NWL and we should insist on micro renewable generation on new build</p> <p>(d) Disappointed that there is no thought or proposals for 'zero carbon' buildings. The Labour Group will want low cost or no cost heat input new build, particularly for low waged residents directed to 'affordable or social housing'.</p>	<p>(a) Mitigating climate change is covered within national policy, the need for more sustainable travel is covered by directing growth in accordance with the settlement hierarchy.</p> <p>b) Fracking applications will be dealt with by Leicestershire County Council as the minerals planning authority and therefore not dealt with within the local plan.</p> <p>(c) The inclusion of the renewable energy policy is included to encourage renewable energy developments</p> <p>(d) Due to the changes to the Building Regulations, Local Planning Authorities now cannot require higher standards e.g. zero carbon homes than those set out within the Building Regulations.</p>		Sue McKendrick	Labour Group leader
Yes.	Noted.		C Tandy	Ashby de la Zouch Civic Society
This policy is generally supported.	Noted.		Kim Miller	National Trust
<p>The lack of new road infrastructure to handle ever increasing traffic volumes along with the proposed South East Coalville / Bardon Grange developments will only serve to exacerbate the climate change issues identified in the future.</p> <p>All new properties should be fitted with solar panels where possible. This is becoming increasingly evident in design proposals submitted to the Parish Council.</p>	<p>Due to the recent changes to Building Regulations in October 2015, local planning authorities can no longer ask for higher standards such as solar panels to be fitted to all new builds.</p>		Simon Weaver	Hugglescote and Donington le Heath Parish Council
<p>Climate change</p> <p>Additional Comment</p> <p>There should be a section on transport here since emissions from this area are significantly higher for the district than for the country as a whole. As such it needs to be part of the Council's climate change response. Suggesting that this is just dealt with through other policies as is implied by para 11.4 will only result in this dimension not getting appropriate attention – particularly as policy S3 does not give particular significance to public transport issues.</p>	<p>The Local Plan has to be read as a whole when determining planning applications. It is acknowledged that transport has a major impact on climate change issues and paragraph 11.4 seeks to highlight other policies in the local plan which will, in addition to those policies included in chapter 11, will help to address climate change issues. It would be appropriate to include reference to policy IF1 at paragraph 11.4, however inclusion of specific policies on transport and climate change would result in duplication with other parts of the local plan.</p>	<p>Include reference to policy IF1 at paragraph 11.4</p>	Sonia Liff, Chair Appleby Environment	Appleby Environment, a long standing community organisation
Yes. A supplementary document for guidance on this issue is a good idea.	Noted.		Mrs. A Saxby	n/a

<p>Yes however all new industrial developments should be capable of supporting voltaic panels and not use agricultural land.</p>	<p>The Local Plan can only require new developments to be built to the latest Building Regulations and for non residential developments to BREAM, in order to be in accordance with the latest Government guidance.</p> <p>The Local Plan supporting text can encourage sustainable design and construction and the development of renewable energy sources.</p>		<p>Fiona Palmer, Clerk to the Parish Council</p>	<p>Castle Donington Parish Council</p>
--	--	--	--	--

Policy Cc2 – Sustainable design and construction

<p><b>Question 49</b></p> <p><b>Do you agree with our suggested approach to achieve sustainable Design and construction as part of new development? If not what changes do you suggest? - Please provide further comments;</b></p>	<p><b>NWL Response</b></p>	<p><b>Any change required</b></p>	<p><b>Name</b></p>	<p><b>Business or organisation name;</b></p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Lisa Marron</p>	<p>Resident</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Mr s Whitehouse</p>	<p>Personal</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Nigel Garnham</p>	<p>Packington Nook Residents Association</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Mark Chadbourn</p>	<p>Emerald Eye Ltd</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Alison Wright</p>	<p>Heather Parish Council</p>
<p>pg 115 para 11.11 "The sustainable design and construction.." omit the word sustainable and the sense would be the same.</p> <p>11.12 and 11.14 it is good to learn that the Code for Sustainable Home is to be replaced by the Building Regulations.</p>	<p>The word sustainable is use to be in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Gerald Dalby</p>	<p>NA</p>

Yes	Noted.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Samantha Lockwood	Long Whatton and Diseworth Parish Council
Yes	Noted.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Mr Robert Harlow	Harlow Bros Ltd
No	Noted.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	David Harris	Pyrotect Ltd
The Town Council supports the approach to sustainable design and construction in new developments.	Noted.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Karen Edwards, Deputy Town Clerk	Ashby de la Zouch Town Council
Yes	Noted.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Andrew Stone	NA
Sustainable development must include sustainable transport: build near employment, reopen the rail line, include cycling and pedestrian routes to local town centres.	<p>Sustainable development is encouraged by directing growth in accordance with the settlement hierarchy which reduces the need to travel. Draft local plan policy IF1 supports the delivery of footpaths, cycle ways and public transport as part of new development.</p> <p>Draft policy IF5 supports the protected route of the Leicester to Burton Line. The overall policy is designed to enable the sustainable construction of development. However it is proposed to remove this policy from the Local Plan, para 11.5 will be incorporated into the amended design policy.</p>	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Mary Lorimer	Not applicable

Yes	Noted.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Measham Parish Council	Measham Parish Council
<p>Maximising solar gain must be a priority when new housing is planned and build, even if it reduces the number of houses on a development.</p> <p>All developments should be build to the most current building regulations when each house starts not when the development starts.</p>	<p>Agree houses need to be built to the latest Building Regulations.</p> <p>The local plan can only encourage sustainable construction and design of new developments, to be in accordance with Government guidance local plan policies cannot set any further requirements for sustainable design and construction than those set by the current Building Regulations.</p>	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Paul McCaffrey	NA
Policy Cc2 - Opportunities to incorporate sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) in new development or retrofit to existing development should be sought wherever possible.	The draft Local Plan policy is required to be amended to take account of the recent changes to the Building Regulations in October 2015, which do not allow local plans to set higher levels of construction than those set out within the current Building Regulations. The draft Local Plan cannot require retro fitting however any proposals will be assessed against policy Cc1.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Geoff Platts	Environment Agency
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Lance Wiggins	Landmark Planning
All existing and projected warehousing or distribution facilities should incorporate solar panels on their roofs .McVitie's in Ashby is a perfect example of a wasted south facing roof structure....and it is VERY BIG.	Part 2 of policy Cc1 sets out how proposals for renewable energy will be assessed. The Local Plan cannot enforce retro-fitting of buildings or set out that renewable energy technologies should be included as part of new development, due to the changes in Government Legislation Local Plans can only require new developments to be built in accordance with Building Regulations.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Mrs Margaret Murton	In Context
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Mr. j Lewis	1950

Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Steve Johnson	YYYY
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Lesley Birtwistle	not applicable
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Mrs Paula Ashfield	Paula Ashfield
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Hugh James	n/a
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Tim Abbott	Householder
<p>But please stop the current trend for painting houses ridiculous colours which are not in keeping with the National or Charnwood Forests.</p> <p>They have just painted a house blue at the 79 house David Wilson development in the Charnwood countryside. Who allowed that to happen? It looks ridiculous and will be out of trend in a couple of years but look ridiculous for life!</p> <p>Please help with Policies that are actually enforced. We are told everything must be in keeping with the Charnwood Forest but I cannot see how this is the case with a blue house!</p>	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Jane Tebbatt	Quarrying

Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Heather Parish Council	Heather Parish Council
<p>I am researcher in the complex area and the policy needs more thorough consideration.</p> <p>It terms of non-domestic development, what level of BREEAM certification is needed?</p> <p>In terms of domestic housing:</p> <p>What is needed is a fabric-first approach, whereby high levels of insulation and air-tightness help to reduce the amount of energy needed to heat a home.</p> <p>Furthermore, the risk of summer over-heating needs to be considered.</p> <p>For this reason, I would caution against 'maximising' solar gain, and instead suggest 'optimising'. I would like to see (1) an independently recognised standard, such as Passivhaus or AECB standards (2) developers to illustrate how they have considered design to achieve occupant thermal comfort and avoid overheating using appropriate building simulation.</p>	The supporting text notably para 11.15 will be included within the supporting text for the design policy. However policy Cc2 will be removed from the draft Local Plan, due to the changes in national legislation the Local Plan can only require new developments to meet Building Regulations and no further requirements.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Elaine Robinson	Not applicable
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Alison Saxby	N/A
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Vicky Roe	Kegworth Parish Council
<p>(a) We understand &amp; disappointed that proposed policy Cc2 will not apply to new social housing</p> <p>(b) Within responsibilities and influence NWLDC needs to place stronger measure to mitigate Climate Change. Zero carbon new build must be minimum requirements.</p>	a) The draft local plan can no longer enforce higher sustainability criteria to new dwellings other than those set out within the current Building Regulations. This applies to both social and market housing. B) The draft Local Plan cannot place stronger measures to mitigate against climate change or enforce Zero Carbon builds as this would be contrary to Government guidance and legislation.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Sue McKendrick	Labour Group leader

Policy Cc2 should be deleted as it duplicates control afforded by other legislation (Building Regulations) and other policies in the plan which seek to secure high quality design and layout in all new development.	Noted and agree policy Cc2 will be removed from the Local Plan.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Andrew Thomas	Thomas Taylor Planning
Policy Cc2 refers to the incorporation of water efficiency measures in development in compliance with the optional higher requirements of 110 litres per person per day. The Housing Standards Review is clear that reduced water consumption is only applicable to water stressed areas. The National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) advises that local planning authorities should gather evidence and justify appropriate policies. Impacts should be considered as part of local plan viability assessments. Where there is a clear local need based on evidence, local planning authorities can set out policies requiring the tighter optional requirement. The Council needs to provide a clear justification supported by local evidence for the intended application of the optional tighter requirements.	The Water Cycle Study 2010 provides the relevant evidence to support the higher requirements of 110 litres per day.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Guy Longley,	Pegasus Group on behalf of Davidsons Developments Limited.
Cannot comment as insufficient detail.	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	C Tandy	Ashby de la Zouch Civic Society
Policy CC2 – Sustainable Design & Construction under Bullet Point (2) proposes the optional higher standard on water efficiency of 110 litres per day per person. The Housing Standards Review was explicit that reduced water consumption was solely applicable to water stressed areas. The acceptable approach is set out in the NPPG - Housing Optional Technical Standards – Water Efficiency Standards section (ID 56-013-20150327 to 56-017-20150327). The Council should justify its proposal accordingly.	The Water Cycle Study 2010 provides the relevant evidence to support the higher requirements of 110 litres per day.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Susan E Green	HBF
Yes	Noted	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Sonia Liff, Chair Appleby Environment	Appleby Environment, a long standing community organisation
Yes	Noted. This policy needs to be amended to take account to the changes to Building Regulations in October 2015, which states Local Authorities cannot require anything over and above the current Building Regulations.	It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.	Mrs. A Saxby	n/a

<p>The Council should not set any additional local technical standards relating to the construction internal layout or performance of new dwellings other than the nationally described space standard, an optional requirement of water usage and optional requirements for adaptable/ accessible dwellings where these are supported by evidence of need and viability.</p> <p>The provision within Policy Cc2 must be considered in terms of viability cumulatively with other requirement's being sought within the plan and as part of planning applications.</p>	<p>The Water Cycle Study 2010 provides the relevant evidence to support the higher requirements of 110 litres per day.</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Phil Bamford</p>	<p>Gladman on behalf of Wilton Balmore.</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Fiona Palmer, Clerk to the Parish Council</p>	<p>Castle Donington Parish Council</p>
<p>(page 115) This approach is welcomed, particularly with the inclusion of car charging points.</p>	<p>Noted. The supporting text includes car charging points it is proposed that this supporting text is included within the design policy.</p>	<p>It is proposed to delete this policy as a result of the outcome of the housing standards review in March 2015, which announced a new approach to the setting of technical household standards.</p>	<p>Grant Butterworth</p>	<p>Leicester City Council</p>

**Policy Cc3 – Water – flood risk**

Question 50 Do you agree with our suggested approach to dealing with flood risk as part of new development? If not what changes do you suggest? - Please provide further comments;	NWL Response	Any change required	Name	Business or organisation name;
Yes	Noted.		Lisa Marron	Resident
Yes	Noted.		Mr s Whitehouse	Personal
Yes	Noted.		Nigel Garnham	Packington Nook Residents Association
Yes	Noted.		Mark Chadbourn	Emerald Eye Ltd
Yes	Noted.		Alison Wright	Heather Parish Council
Agree – Essential. This should take into account industrial development e.g balancing ponds to control water runoff into local brooks and streams.	Noted. All new development will need to be assessed against the flood risk policy and flood risk maps provided by the Environment Agency.		Samantha Lockwood	Long Whatton and Diseworth Parish Council
Yes	Noted		David Harris	Pyrotect Ltd
Do more	The approach within the draft Local Plan is consistent with National Policy and guidance.		Andrew Stone	NA
Don't build on flood plains!	The approach within the draft Local Plan is consistent with National Policy and guidance.		Mary Lorimer	Not applicable
Yes	Noted		Trevor Davis	None
The more new developments allowed in Measham will obviously affect the River Mease and its flood plain and as a result will affect areas already close to the River Mease, ie Siskin & Mallard Close (Riverway), the Trading Estate (Westminster) and Burns Close, Masefield Drive and Wordsworth Way.	The policy seeks to ensure that new development in one location does not result in problems elsewhere. As part of determining planning applications the Environment Agency and Leicestershire County Council (as the lead flood authority)are consulted, in order to raise any issues and ensure that the policy is complied with.		Measham Parish Council	Measham Parish Council

<p>Paragraph 11.16 – Suggest re-wording – Increased rainfall ..etc will result in increasing the risk of flooding from rivers.</p> <p>Question 50</p> <p>We agree with the proposed approach to dealing with flood risk as part of new development. We suggest that wherever possible, opportunities should be taken to reduce flood risk by incorporating natural flood risk management features into plans which can provide multiple benefits for local communities. Where feasible, opportunities to open up culverted watercourses should also be sought. Such measures should be funded by the developer.</p> <p>We would like to make you aware that revised climate change allowances will be published in autumn 2015. The allowances are being revised to reflect the latest climate projections in UKCP09 and wider flood risk research published since 2009. The main changes will be allowances for peak river flow and will be based on river basin districts. Until the new allowances are published, you can use the allowances and guidance in 'Adapting to climate change: Guidance for flood risk management authorities' as a guide (but be aware that the allowances numbers for planners are likely to be slightly different).</p>	<p>Changes to para 11.16 agreed.</p> <p>Opportunities for opening of culvert watercourses will need to be assessed on a site by site basis and the opportunities arise at the planning application stage.</p>	<p>Amend the wording to para 11.16 to state "Increased rainfall, which is one of the predicted consequences of climate change, will result in increasing the risk of flooding from rivers".</p>	<p>Geoff Platts</p>	<p>Environment Agency</p>
<p>Cc3 (flooding) – This is alright, but needs to recognise the role of the Environment Agency.</p>	<p>Noted. The Environment Agency are consulted as part of the preparation of the local plan.</p>		<p>Andrew and Anne Heaton</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>The first bullet point of Policy Cc3 (2) should include reference to site specific flood risk assessments as these are finer grain than the Environment Agency maps or the SFRA.</p>	<p>This is covered by the second bullet point of Cc3(2).</p>		<p>Lance Wiggins</p>	<p>Landmark Planning</p>
<p>The council seem to think the only area at risk of flooding is the Soar valley. in fact there is a serious risk of flooding in the Greenhill area of Coalville, the Meadow Lane area, and in Whitwick. this flooding is caused by the policy of allowing developers to build housing estates all over the catchment areas of watercourses such as the Grace dieu Brook, without any flood mitigation measures. the most recent example is Botts Way, where new houses are flooded</p> <p>to a depth of several feet by flash flooding. it is a disgrace that neither NWLDC or the Environment Agency have carried out any investigations into this problem which has caused millions of pounds worth of damage over the last 40 years.</p>	<p>A Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has been prepared that covers the whole district and identifies those areas at risk from different types of flooding. This piece of evidence is used to provide evidence on both preparing the local plan and determining planning applications. The relevant authorities including the Environment Agency are consulted on the preparation of the Local Plan and on planning applications.</p>		<p>Yvonne Willars</p>	<p>retired</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted</p>		<p>Mr. j Lewis</p>	<p>1950</p>
<p>Of course; any permitted development will be hard to sell if it is not insurable or prohibitively expensive to insure, against flood risk.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>		<p>Steve Johnson</p>	<p>YYYY</p>

Very important to take into account the overall impact of so much development in the District because of the consequent reduction of permeable areas and the increased indirect flood risk in locations beyond the development site by increasing the amount of run-off from the developed area.	Noted		Lesley Birtwistle	not applicable
Yes	Noted		Mrs Paula Ashfield	Paula Ashfield
Yes	Noted		Tim Abbott	Householder
References to flooding solely refer to existing main river flooding. The District has a history of flooding in a number of locations. Some of those land drain/tertiary unnamed rivers fall under EA and LLFA control. This does not make them any less likely to flood.  There is a history of significant flooding in the District, many flood events are connected to overloading in the surface water drainage system (and in some cases also the sewer system) elsewhere. These areas must be protected from inappropriate development via Policies as the EA and LLFA do not have sufficient tools to object to inappropriate development. Often schemes are approved where the proposed structures/flood storage facility is lazy and merely a means to an end to create cheap flood storage and does not make for suitable, appropriate development for local or the new residents. The Council must protect the District from inappropriate schemes. The EA and LLFA do not consider external factors for example archaeology when reviewing flood schemes. Therefore you cannot rely on Statutory Consultees to manage development in the district and Policies become more vital to Officers.	The draft Local Plan policy Cc3 states that site specific flood risk assessments should consider the issues of flooding from sewers, canal infrastructure failure and groundwater rising from former coal mining areas. The SFRA has also been prepared and identifies those areas at risk of flooding from different types of flooding.		Jane Tebbatt	Quarrying
Yes	Noted		Heather Parish Council	Heather Parish Council
The plan says surface water run-off should be attenuated by 20% on the site. However, I would qualify that this should really state surface water run-off from the site should not result in increased surface water at adjacent existing properties at lower positions than the development side and that surface water run-off should be attenuated by at least 20% on the site. This is because in some cases 20% may not be sufficient to prevent existing properties from experiencing higher levels of surface water flooding as a result of the development and in such cases higher attenuation would be required.	Draft Local Plan policy states as part of section one '....Ensuring development does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere...'. It would be appropriate to amend the final bullet point to reflect more recent guidance.	Amend the final bullet point to state: "There will be no increase in the risk of flooding for properties elsewhere, For previously undeveloped sites the rate of runoff from the development sites should be no greater than the existing (greenfield) rate of runoff from the site. For developments on previously developed (brownfield) sites the rate of runoff should not exceed the runoff of the site in its previously developed condition."	Alison Saxby	N/A
Yes	Noted		Vicky Roe	Kegworth Parish Council
Yes	Noted		Sonia Liff	Chair Appleby Environment

<p>(a) Climate Change has and will continue to bring about unpredictable changes in weather patterns over the next 20 years. New build being discussed in the draft Local Plan will have a 'shelf life' of (perhaps) 100+ years. The draft local plan does not put into place 'planning principles' that would prevent new build being placed in areas with a high likelihood of flooding.</p> <p>(b) The Local Plan must identify responsibility in perpetuity for the long term maintenance of SUDS (and or its successor drainage methods)</p> <p>(c) Considerable flooding occurs in urban areas due to ancient and poorly maintained Victorian drainage systems. The Local Plan must take the initiative of not simply identifying land and new build at risk, but ensure that drainage infrastructure is improved throughout to ensure flood risk is reduced elsewhere.</p> <p>(d) Flooding also occurs due to poorly maintained road gullies. The Local Plan must ensure that the appropriate highway authorities maintain flood free roads.</p>	<p>A) The local plan considers flood risk and the location of development, flood risk is also covered by the NPPF.  B) The management of SUDs is covered by Cc4  C) The SFRA and water Cycle Study have considered the issues associated with drainage (surface water run- off and foul) and will also be included within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.  D) Noted, but not within the role of the Local Plan.</p>		Sue McKendrick	Labour Group leader
<p>Summary of issues does not include the largest and most important issue Infrastructure. There is already pressure on small brooks and water causeways. Local residents of properties which back on to the river contact the Parish Council regarding flooded gardens so any new developments will add to this problem, potentially creating 100 year floods on a more regular basis. Severn Trent has already raised concerns over the capacity of the River Sence and sewerage systems at Kelham Bridge.</p>	<p>Severn Trent Water, the Environment Agency and Leicestershire County Council (Lead Flood Authority) are consulted on the preparation of the local plan. Future planning applications are also assessed against the most up to date flood risk maps and the relevant authorities consulted, before an application can be determined.</p>		Simon Weaver	Hugglescote and Donington le Heath Parish Council
<p>Yes. The plan says surface water run-off should be attenuated by 20% on the site. However, I would qualify that this should really state surface water run-off from the site should not result in increased surface water at adjacent existing properties at lower positions than the development side and that surface water run-off should be attenuated by at least 20% on the site. This is because in some cases 20% may not be sufficient to prevent existing properties from experiencing higher levels of surface water flooding as a result of the development and in such cases higher attenuation would be required.</p>	<p>Draft Local Plan policy states as part of section one '....Ensuring development does not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere...'. It would be appropriate to amend the final bullet point to reflect more recent guidance.</p>	<p>Amend the final bullet point to state: "There will be no increase in the risk of flooding for properties elsewhere, For previously undeveloped sites the rate of runoff from the development sites should be no greater than the existing (greenfield) rate of runoff from the site. For developments on previously developed (brownfield) sites the rate of runoff should not exceed the runoff of the site in its previously developed condition."</p>	Mrs. A Saxby	n/a
<p>No policy CC3 should be amended to include at point 1 – there will be a presumption against development on sites that are at risk of flooding according to the environment agency flood risk map .....</p>	<p>The Local Plan has to be written positively and to be in accordance with national policy, mitigation measures can be put in place as not to rule out all types of development, even in areas of higher flood risk.</p>		Fiona Palmer, Clerk to the Parish Council	Castle Donington Parish Council

**Policy Cc4 – Water – Sustainable Drainage Systems**

<b>Question 51</b>	<b>NWL Response</b>	<b>Any change required</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Business or organisation name;</b>
<b>Do you agree with our suggested approach to Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems? If not what changes do you suggest? - Please provide further comments;</b>				
Yes	Noted.	No change	Lisa Marron	Resident
Yes	Noted.	No change	Mr s Whitehouse	Personal
Yes	Noted.	No change	Nigel Garnham	Packington Nook Residents Association
Yes	Noted.	No change	Mark Chadbourn	Emerald Eye Ltd
Yes	Noted.	No change	Alison Wright	Heather Parish Council
pg 118 para 11.19 to 11.21 Why "sustainable" ? The stated aim for incorporating "sustainable drainage systems" in new developments is all very commendable, but surely a drainage system which is not sustainable is a system which does not function properly and such a system is unlikely to be proposed in conjunction with any new development.	Noted.	No change	Gerald Dalby	NA
NO COMMENT	Noted.	No change	Samantha Lockwood	Long Whatton and Diseworth Parish Council
what approach? look at each situation on its merits is common sense so why is this in the plan?	Each application will be judged on its own merits, the policy is included within the local plan to set out how sustainable drainage systems will be assessed.	No change	David Harris	Pyprotect Ltd
Yes	Noted.	No change	Andrew Stone	NA
Yes	Noted.	No change	Trevor Davis	None
Yes	Noted.	No change	Measham Parish Council	Measham Parish Council

<p>Paragraph 11.19 – Suggest that the word “urban” is removed from the title of this section and also from the second line of this paragraph. This section and Policy Cc4 should be headed ‘Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) – The ‘urban’ label was dropped a number of years ago as their use is not limited to urban situations.</p> <p>Paragraph 11.21 – Leicestershire County Council is now the Lead Local Flood Authority and is the lead organisation for providing advice and guidance on surface water runoff and run off rates.</p> <p>There is a lack of information on what SUDS can achieve, we suggest a new paragraph 11.22 that informs that they can reduce flood risk, increase biodiversity and improve water quality.</p> <p>Question 51</p> <p>We agree with your suggested approach to Sustainable Drainage Systems. Management of surface water should follow the SuDS hierarchy as set out in the North West Leicestershire Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2015 Update.</p>	<p>Noted change agreed to the heading and to paragraph 11.9.</p> <p>Para 11.21 will be amended to reflect Leicestershire County Council as the Lead Local Flood Authority.</p> <p>Agree to include an additional information to the supporting text to support draft policy Cc4 in relation to reducing flood risk ,increasing biodiversity and improving water quality.</p>	<p>Amend heading of policy to state Sustainable Drainage Systems and to para 11.9 para 11.21 to state that Leicestershire County Council is the lead Flood Authority. Include additional information to support draft policy Cc4 in relation to reducing flood risk, increasing biodiversity and improving water quality.</p>	<p>Geoff Platts</p>	<p>Environment Agency</p>
<p>– SUDS are important but there is a need to recognise practical problems such as maintenance of assets; NWLDC should take a more positive approach.</p>	<p>Section three of the policy states that “Arrangements in accordance with national policy will need to be put in place for the management and maintenance of the SuDs over the whole plan period during which they are needed”. A Supplementary Planning Document is also being prepared to support this policy.</p>	<p>No change</p>	<p>Andrew and Anne Heaton</p>	<p>NA</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>No change</p>	<p>Lance Wiggins</p>	<p>Landmark Planning</p>
<p>Bardon Hill quarry will soon be exhausted, perhaps this could be used to store excess rainwater that pours off the north side of the hill during violent storms instead of flooding homes in Dauphine Close,,Drome Close, Kirton Road, Vercor Close, Kenmore Crescent, Abbott’s Oak Drive, and The City of Dan area of Whitwick. the quarry could use this water for dust suppression and washing the crushed granite.</p>	<p>A Sustainable Urban Drainage System aim to mimic the natural drainage process whilst also removing pollutants from urban runoff at source before entering a watercourse. There are a wide range of run-off techniques available including green roofs, permeable paving swales, detention basins, ponds and wetlands. In addition to controlling run-off they also offer opportunities to enhance the biodiversity in an area. SuDs are required to be a suitable size for the development they are incorporated into, SUDs will normally be on a site and are to deal with runoff from a particular development and not general run -off.</p>	<p>No change required</p>	<p>Yvonne Willars</p>	<p>retired</p>
<p>Paragraph 2 should be re-written to state that where possible SUDS should be located within areas of green infrastructure and be specifically designed to have biodiversity benefits in addition to managing storm water.</p>	<p>The importance of SuDs being designed to have additional biodiversity benefits is covered within part two of the policy and draft Local Plan Policy En1.</p>	<p>No change</p>	<p>Philip Metcalfe</p>	<p>The National Forest Company</p>

Packington sewage works cannot sustain more housing development in Ashby.	Severn Trent have been consulted as part of the preparation of the Local Plan and there are currently no capacity issues at Packington Sewage Works.	No change	Mrs Margaret Murton	In Context
Yes	Noted	No change	Mr. j Lewis	1950
I do wonder if there are too many escape clauses in this for developers. Time will tell.	Sustainable Drainage Systems will need to be conditioned as part of any planning permission, on sites where they are required. The drainage conditions will need to be discharged by officers before any further construction on site can commence.	No change	Steve Johnson	YYYY
Yes	Noted	No change	Lesley Birtwistle	not applicable
Yes	Noted	No change	Mrs Paula Ashfield	Paula Ashfield
Yes	Noted	No change	Tim Abbott	Householder
There is insufficient capacity currently identified.  Please protect local residents from ridiculous schemes (such as holding waste in pipes then tanking it away) to get around this problem in the short term as they are not acceptable for residents either old or new.	There a currently no known capacity issues highlighted by Severn Trent. Capacity issues previously identified have since been resolved. Furthermore, SUDS deal with rain water runoff and not sewage.	No change	Jane Tebbatt	Quarrying
Yes	Noted	No change	Heather Parish Council	Heather Parish Council
If surface water drainage is necessary but the scheme would adversely affect the environment of safety then the proposed development should need to demonstrate another suitable method for surface water drainage or it cannot be approved. Policy Cc4 (1) b needs to be more clear that a surface water drainage solution must be in the proposal if it is deemed necessary as part of the drainage assessment, otherwise the development is unsuitable. So if SUDS is not suitable another solution needs to be presented.	The draft policy clearly states that "SuDs should be incorporated into developments in accordance with national and local standards unless it can be clearly demonstrated;  a) that suds are not technically, operationally or financially deliverable or viable and that surface water drainage issues from the development can be alternatively mitigated ...".	Prepare an SPD to support the policy on the delivery of SUDS and other mitigation mechanisms.	Alison Saxby	N/A
(a) SUDS can and should provide a low cost 'environmentally friendly' method of land drainage.  (b) But SUDS can only be effective in the long term if there is a responsible body to ensure maintenance and repair. The draft Local Plan does not discuss this issue.	Different solutions will call for different types of SUD, according to their effectiveness and efficiency depending upon the local geology- solution in one place may not be suitable somewhere else.  Part 3 of draft Local Plan Policy Cc4 states "Arrangements in accordance with national policy will need to be put in place for the management and maintenance of the SuDs over the whole plan period during which they are needed".	No change	Sue McKendrick	Labour Group leader
Yes	Noted	No change	C Tandy	Ashby de la Zouch Civic Society

<p>No. If surface water drainage is necessary but the scheme would adversely affect the environment of safety then the proposed development should need to demonstrate another suitable method for surface water drainage or it cannot be approved. Policy Cc4 (1) b needs to be more clear that a surface water drainage solution must be in the proposal if it is deemed necessary as part of the drainage assessment, otherwise the development is unsuitable. So if SUDS is not suitable another solution needs to be presented.</p>	<p>The draft policy clearly states that "SuDs should be incorporated into developments in accordance with national and local standards unless it can be clearly demonstrated;</p> <p>a) that suds are not technically, operationally or financially deliverable or viable and that surface water drainage issues from the development can be alternatively mitigated ...". An SPD will be prepared to support this policy.</p>	<p>Prepare an SPD to support the policy on the delivery of SUDS and other mitigation mechanisms.</p>	<p>Mrs. A Saxby</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Noted</p>	<p>No change</p>	<p>Fiona Palmer, Clerk to the Parish Council</p>	<p>Castle Donington Parish Council</p>